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# A reference grammar of Paresi-Haliti (Arawak) 

Ana Paula Barros Brandão, Ph.D.<br>The University of Texas at Austin, 2014

Supervisor: Patience Epps
This dissertation is a description of the grammar of Paresi. The Paresi people live in the State of Mato Grosso, near the city of Cuiabá. Paresi belongs to the Arawak family, and it is classified in a branch called Paresi-Xingu (Aikhenvald, 1999; Ramirez, 2001). This language is spoken by approximately 2000 speakers. The data for this thesis were collected mostly in the Formoso area.

In this dissertation, I expand on the work of Rowan (1969, 1978, among other works), Silva (2009), and on my own work conducted in my Master's report (Brandão, 2010) in order to provide a comprehensive analysis of aspects of phonology, morphology, and syntax. The grammar is presented in eight chapters and an appendix with text samples. The first chapter includes general information about the speakers and the language. The second chapter describes the sound system. The segmental phonology is simple, with morphophonemic alternations on some roots and morphemes. The third chapter describes the closed words classes (pronouns, demonstratives, indefinites, numerals, quantifiers, postpositions, adverbs, interjections and ideophones). The fourth chapter examines nouns and the structure of noun phrases. The fifth and sixth chapters are descriptions of verb classes, valency, tense, aspect and modality. Verb roots can be intransitive, transitive, or ditransitive. There are three mechanisms to decrease valency and six mechanisms to increase valency. Paresi expresses time through tense, aspect, and temporal adverbs. It also distinguishes three modalities. The seventh chapter is about simple clauses and negation. In this chapter, evidence is presented for describing Paresi as an OV language.

Finally, the eighth chapter, on clause combining, describes coordination and the three types of subordination: relative clauses, complementation and adverbial clauses. Grounded primarily in "basic linguistic theory", this dissertation uses a FunctionalTypological linguistic framework, informed by discussions about particular phenomena in the general linguistics literature.
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## Chapter 1 - Introduction

### 1.0 Introduction

In this section, I present background information about the Paresi speakers, their society and their language. This socio-cultural description is based on previous literature about the Paresi people and on my own observations during various visits to the Paresi communities.

The Paresi number around 2000 persons (Siasi/Sesai, 2012 ${ }^{1}$ ), approximately 1800 of whom speak Paresi. The Paresi speakers constitute approximately $90 \%$ of this population. They live in the State of Mato Grosso (the circled area in Figure 1), approximately 500 km northwest of the city of Cuiabá, in the region of the tributaries of the Juruena, a branch of the Tapajós river. They inhabit the dry and sandy ridges of their landscape, which is a savannah cut through with rivers. There are nine non-contiguous Paresi indigenous territories ${ }^{2}$ (Portuguese: Terras Indígenas): Rio Formoso, Utiariti, Estação Parecis, Estivadinho, Pareci, Juininha, Figueira, Ponte de Pedra, and Uirapuru. Paresi ${ }^{3}$ (and its variants Parecís or Pareci) is the term used to refer to the Haliti people (as they call themselves). The terms "Paresi-Haliti" or "Haliti-Paresi" are used by some Paresi speakers to refer to the language they speak. I will use the term "Paresi" to refer to both the language and the people. The information provided in this dissertation was gathered during many field trips to three indigenous territories: Rio Formoso, Pareci, and Utiariti.

[^0]

Figure 1: Map of Brazil and localization of the Paresi villages (map from Queixalos \& Renault-Lescure, 2000)


Figure 2: Paresi indigenous territories (from ISA): 1-Uirapuru, 2-Juininha, 3-Figueiras, 4Estivadinho, 5-Pareci, 6-Utiariti, 7-Rio Formoso, 8-Ponte de Pedra, and 9-Estação Parecis

There are around 146 Paresi people in the Rio Formoso territory, which includes the villages of Formoso (Hohako), JM (Korehete), Cachoeirinha (Wamolotse), Jatobá, and Queimada (Koteroko). I gathered most of my data in Formoso, Cachoeirinha, and JM. The territory is located approximately 82 km , or 2 hours by car, from the nearest city, Tangará da Serra. Approximately 50-70 Paresi people live in Formoso and Queimada; while Jatobá has around 30 people, and Cachoeirinha six.

Based on information from ISA, in the eleven villages of the Paresi territory there are around 838 people. I have visited the Rio Verde (Batsaji), Manene, and Kotitiko villages. In the Utiariti territory there are 6 villages, around 250 people. In this territory, I only visited the Bacaval village.

### 1.1 Linguistic profile of Paresi

In this section I present a set of Paresi grammar highlights that show what is particularly interesting and significant about the language. I introduce each phenomenon and situate it in its wider grammatical context.

Paresi has 14 consonants and four vowels. Stress is generally not contrastive, and stress assignment depends on syllable weight and number. There are morphophonological processes such as palatalization, coronalization and vowel harmony occurring within morphemes and across morpheme boundaries. The syllable structure is (C)V(V).

Paresi morphology is polysynthetic, head-marking and agglutinative, like other Arawak languages. Its morphology consists of several morphemes with clear-cut boundaries (i.e. there no fused formatives) and some allomorphic variation. Open word classes include nouns and verbs. Closed classes are pronouns, demonstratives, indefinites, numerals, quantifiers, postpositions, adjectives, adverbs, interjections, and ideophones. Verbs, nouns, and postpositions inflect for person. Person marking on the verb is generally determined by the semantic feature of control; agentive verbs take one set of personal clitics and non-agentive verbs take another.

Nominal categories in Paresi are number, classifiers, and nominal tense. Gender is a feature that has been lost; however vestiges can be found only in nominalizations.

Paresi distinguishes singular and plural number on nouns by marking the plural with the suffix -nae. Most of the verb morphology consists of suffixes, with only a few prefixes. Verb roots can be intransitive, transitive, or ditransitive. There are three mechanisms to decrease valency: middle voice, reflexive, and reciprocal constructions; and three mechanisms to increase valency: two morphological causatives and one periphrastic causative construction. Similar to most Arawak languages, Paresi distinguishes transitional, imperfective, regressive, and iterative aspects in nonnegative constructions. Paresi exhibits realis/irrealis distinction in the future tense, and a rich modal system, including frustrative, dubitative, irrealis, and desiderative moods.

The syntax of simple and complex clauses were the least studied parts of the Paresi grammar in previous works (Rowan \& Burgess, 1969; Derbyshire, 1986; Silva, 2013; for more details see §1.3). Though constituent order is relatively flexible in Paresi (provided the verb does not come first), there is strong evidence from text frequency and interpretation of ambiguous sentences that the default order is SOV. Complex clauses include three types of subordination strategies: nominalization, juxtaposition, and the use of subordinators. The nominalization strategy is used for relative clauses, complement relations, and some adverbial clauses.

Among the typologically interesting aspects of Paresi grammar are its nominal classification system, which exhibits multiple classifiers, similar to other Amazonian languages (see §4.5); the incorporation of postpositions (§5.3.3.3), which may be evidence that postpositions are sources of valency affixes such as applicatives in some Arawak languages (Danielsen, 2011); and nominalization with the suffix -re which occurs in lexical (§4.6) and clause nominalizations with different functions (§8.2).

### 1.2 Genetic affiliation

Aikhenvald (2012:32) considers the Arawak family the largest and most widespread in South America, with some 40 languages (Aikhenvald, 1999; Ramirez, 2001). Modern comparative studies on Arawak are Payne (1991); Aikhenvald (1999), Ramirez (2001); and Facundes \& Brandão (2011). The first three have presented a largely
similar classification while the last one is a comparison of these works, which points out differences with regard to the internal classification of the groups. Each of these proposals will be considered in turn.

Payne's classification (1991) was based on lexical retention (see classification in Table 1). In this work, 203 items were reconstructed for Proto-Maipuran, an alternative term for Arawak, making use of 24 Arawak languages from all the main branches of the family. Payne then classified these languages into five groups: Western, Central, Southern, Eastern, and Northern, placing Waurá and Paresi in a Central branch because they share the highest number of cognate pairs (out of the whole set).

Aikhenvald (1999) classified all Arawak languages according to their geographic distribution and grouped them into fourteen groups forming two main divisions within Arawak. divided Arawak into two large groups: South and South-western Arawak(with six branches) and North-Arawak (eight branches). She placed Paresi in the South \& Southwestern, in a branch called Paresi-Xingu with two subgroups: Xingu and ParesiSaraveca. She also grouped Enawenê-nawê in the South Arawak branch, but she did so without presenting the data justifying such classification.

Ramirez's classification of 47 Arawak languages (2001) was similar to Payne's (1991) in that it was also based on lexical retention, not geographic proximity. He classified the family into Occidental (with eight branches) and Oriental (with two branches), and grouped Paresi in the Paresi-Xingu branch, in agreement with Aikhenvald (1999). All classifications were preliminary works without evidence from innovations.

Michael (2009) in his review about Ramirez's work has argued that classifications based solely on shared lexical retentions are not reliable, and suggests that future classifications of Arawak should rely on the comparative method for more sound results.

More recent work focusing on the Paresi-Xingu branch (Fabre, 2005; Brandão \& Facundes, 2007) places Enawenê-nawê ${ }^{4}$ in the same branch as Paresi. Brandão \& Facundes (2007) consider Paresi and Enawenê-nawê to form a subgroup since they

[^1]appear to show many cognate pairs. However, since Enawenê-nawê has received limited scholarly attention (Rezende, 2003) this assertion is tentative and more work based on the comparative method needs to be done to cleave Paresi and Enawenê-nawê together in a subgroup to the exclusion of the other Arawak languages in the Xingu territory.

Table 1: Payne's internal classification for 24 languages

## I WESTERN

Amuesha
Chamicuro
II CENTRAL
Parecís
Waurá
III SOUTHERN
Bolivia-Paraná (subgroup)
Terêna
Bauré
Ignaciano
Purus
Piro
Apurinã
Campa
Machiguenga
Ashéninca

## IV EASTERN

Palikur
v NORTHERN
Wapishana
Caribbean
Garífuna
TA-Arawakan
Lokono
Guajiro
Inland
North-Amazon
Resígaro
Rio Negro
Achagua
Cabiyari
Curripaco
Piapoco
Tariano
Yucuna
Yavitero

### 1.3 Previous linguistic studies of Paresi

To date, there has been some documentation of Paresi: a sketch grammar (Rowan \& Burgess, 1969 [2009]), a preliminary dictionary based on the variety spoken in the Utiariti area (Rowan \& Rowan, 1978 [2001]), other works on phonology by missionaries of SIL (Rowan, 1961, 1963, 1964a, 1964b, 1967, 1972, 1977), and text collections (Rowan, 1983; Rowan \& Rowan, 1993, 1994, 1995; Rowan, 1993). Other works on phonology include those by Drude (1995) and Silva (2009). My work on the language includes research on descriptive words (Brandão, 2009), on verb morphology (2010), on causatives (Brandão, forthcoming), and on negation (Brandão, forthcoming), as well as documentary materials. A more recent description is a dissertation on the morphosyntax by Silva (2013). There are also works by Paresi speakers, including undergraduate theses by students in the Licenciatura Indígena Intercultural (Intercultural Indigenous Program, which is equivalent to a US bachelor's degree) at the State University of Mato Grosso (UNEMAT) and other pedagogical materials (Paresi \& Januário, 2011)

I will give a brief overview of the main works. Rowan and Burgess (1969) provide a preliminary grammatical description that includes some aspects of discourse, clause, and word structures, in the tagmemic framework. The grammar is not comprehensive, nor does it provide enough examples. Most of the grammar consists of descriptions of forms without information about their use or frequency. Drude (1995) describes the phonetics and phonology of the Waimaré dialect, and Silva (2009) provides a preliminary phonetic and phonological description of the major variants of Paresi based on the Feature Geometry approach. Brandão (2010) gives a preliminary analysis of verbal morphology, including descriptions of verb classes, valency changing mechanisms, tense, aspect, modality, and negation.

Silva (2013) is divided into twelve chapters (not including introduction and conclusion) with three appendices, including a collection of photos, a text, and a preliminary lexicon. He provides an overview of the phonology, and discusses word classes, functional morphemes related to negation, aspect, and mood (TAM). He also
gives some preliminary analyses of the syntax; word order constituency, negation, TAM, types of clauses, and subordinate clauses are addressed. The last chapter provides a formal essay following the minimalism program (Chomsky, 2000).

In this grammar I provide a more thorough treatment of syntax beyond Silva's focus on open and closed classes. Throughout this work, new data will be introduced which do not support some of Silva's analyses. Different analyses were made in this work such as analyses of alienable nouns (§4.3.2), adjectives (which I call classifiers, see §4.5), the suffix -oa (§5.3.1.1), TAM suffixes (chapter 6), and constituent order (§7.2.1). Some topics presented here that were not included in his work are coordination and the use of nominalization in all types of subordinate clauses.

### 1.4 Language variation

Silva (2009) describes two dialectal variants which he calls the minority and majority variants. These variants may be associated with the different social groups of Paresi people. This dissertation describes the Paresi variety spoken by the people in the Rio Formoso area, who speak the majority variety.

Paresi is divided up into six social groups: Waimaré, Kaxiniti, Kozarene, Enomaniere, Warere, and Kawali. In the literature and among the Paresi, the majority variety is related to the Kozarene group while the minority is related to the Waimare group. However, nowadays the intermarriage of speakers of different groups confounds an easy separation of the speakers into neat dialect groups. Therefore the phonological and lexical variation in the two dialects is no longer related to social grouping, but may be related to geographic distribution (the minority variety is spoken in the Bacaval village, while the majority variety is spoken in the other villages). For more information about the minority variety see Silva (2009) and (Drude, 1995).

Approximately half a dozen or fewer speak the minority variety (only elders are fluent). The Waimaré people live in the Bacaval village, but there are a few people who speak the minority variety (they have higher proficiency in the majority variety). The first language of Waimaré people is Portuguese, and the minority variety is not used in the
everyday life. Some speakers say there is a third variety, the variety spoken by the Kaxiniti people, which is almost extinct, with only one or two speakers remaining (but I have not contacted these people).

It is also interesting to notice a special register, with specialized vocabulary (mostly animal names) used only in formal speech events (Kezomae, 2006). Some examples are the words: menetse and anakitxihore 'anaconda'; the first name is a common name, and the second one is used only in rituals. This variation may also be associated to the age of the speakers as the younger generations are no longer learning this vocabulary.

### 1.5 Cultural context

In this section, I give a brief overview of some aspects of Paresi culture. Part of the information presented is from the ethnographic study by Costa (1985), one of the principal ethnographical contributions on the Paresi, and from my own experiences in the field. Other important ethnographic, anthropological, and historical works dedicated to the Paresi people are Schmidt $(1914,1943)$, Métraux (1948), Machado (1994), Bortoletto (1999), Gonçalves (2000), Canova (2003), and Barbio (2005).

From the end of the 18 th century there are references to the Paresi people in documents by Portuguese colonizers. Since this period, the contact with non-indigenous people was intense and led to a great socio-cultural impact on the Paresi society.

The language Paresi is most in contact with is Brazilian Portuguese. The first mention of the Paresi people was by Pires Campos, a scout who went to the savanna Chapadão dos Parecis in 1718 in order to capture indigenous people, most likely Kaxiniti Parecis. Campos also met some Indians of the subgroup Waimaré in the northern area of this region. From 1731 until the end of the 19th century, the Paresi people were enslaved to work the mines of Mato Grosso.

In 1884, with a rubber boom, many Paresi people were forcibly exploited by rubber tappers as guides. Because of the high concentration of rubber trees along the rivers where they lived, many Paresi were expelled from their territories. By the 20th century, the Paresi population was almost extinguished by to exploitation as labor for
mining and rubber tapping.
Most of the documents from this period come from the 1907 Relatórios da Comissão Rondon. Early in the 20th century, a commission led by Cândido Mariano da Silva Rondon contacted the Paresi. Colonel Marechal Rondon, who was later the founder of the Indian Protection Service, was responsible for the laying of a telegraphic line west from Cuiabá. He convinced some Paresi to live near the telegraphic lines and to go to the schools and work for him. Later on, the lines were abandoned. Several other contacts followed by missionaries and government organizations such as Serviço de Proteção ao Índio (SPI), and the Fundação Nacional do Índio (FUNAI).

From 1946 until 1973, the missionaries belonging to the Anchieta congregation had control of the Utiariti area. They constructed boarding schools where children were prohibited to speak their native languages. In 1960, a couple of missionaries from the Summer Institute of Linguistics (SIL) came to live in one of the villages. Rowan and Burgess (1969) said that the Paresi group was made of 450 people at that time.

The Paresi inhabitants were divided in at least three subgroups: Kashíniti (Kaxiniti), Waimaré, and Korázini (Kozarene) (Métraux, 1948). According to information from elders in the villages there were three more subgroups: Enomaniere, Warere and Káwali. These subgroups were in separate territories but after 1930, the disruptions and relocations following contact with Brazilian society collapsed any former territorial distinctions. The first Paresi subgroups to be in contact with non-Indians were the Waimaré and the Kaxiniti. The Utiariti area, where there are some Waimaré people, was controlled by the Anchieta missionaries from 1946 through 1973. Many Kaxiniti and Waimaré people were taken as slaves, and others were forced to live in the Catholic schools by missionaries.

Costa (1985) stated that the Paresi subgroups autoclassify themselves according to how they maintain their culture. The Kozarene people maintain the traditional practices such as making chicha (a traditional beer), beiju (a type of flat bread), and using traditional adornments (cocar 'adornment made of feathers to put on the head', xiriba 'a
traditional skirt made of cotton') in specific situations; in addition they also speak Paresi. On the other hand, the Waimare people are not considered to be real Paresi Indians by other Paresi people because they do not follow their traditional cultural practices any more, and they speak Portuguese as their first language.

These contacts with non-Indians have produced many changes in Paresi society. Nowadays, the majority of the population identifies as Kozarene subgroups. There are still some Waimaré people in Bacaval, Formoso, and Sacre villages, and very few people identified as Kaxiniti. I was not able to attest the existence of descendents of the Káwali people during my field trips and there is only one family of Warére people.

The Paresi people are organized in autonomous communities. Each of these communities has its own leader, the ezekoahatseti, who is responsible for the organization of socio-economic activities. The cultivation of cassava and hunter-gathering were important economic activities in the past for the Paresi people. Nowadays, they are secondary activities since the introduction of soybeans and cattle ranching.

Since the 1980s, farmers have grown soybeans in fields close to the Paresi areas. The soybean cultivation has expanded into areas belonging to the Paresi people. The Paresi lost part of their territories, and roads were constructed inside of their lands to facilitate the transportation of soybeans. More recently, some Paresi have leased part of their lands to farmers and have gotten involved in soybean cultivation. Another source of social income are the tolls in the roads passing through their lands. Some Paresi people collect the toll and they share the income among all the members in the communities.

### 1.6 Language contact

Orlando Rowan stated that there were few bilingual Paresi people during his visits to the area. More recent information from my fieldwork indicates that the majority of the population is bilingual in Paresi and Portuguese, with Paresi as their first language, though the level of bilingualism varies across communities. In Formoso and Rio Verde, people are more fluent in Paresi than Portuguese, whereas Bacaval is shifting to Portuguese, and there have been efforts to revitalize and maintain Paresi there. There are
few cases of inter-ethnic marriage and the number of marriages in which one of the spouses is a non-Indian is increasing (in the Rio Formoso area I know of at least three cases).

According to information I collected in a questionnaire in 2009, the majority of the people in the Formoso area were fluent in Paresi. On the other hand, only some adults and young people were fluent in Portuguese while the children (up to seven years old) and elders were not. However, the proficiency of Portuguese in the Formoso area has increased during the last few years, and now even the children speak Portuguese with fluency.

In general, dominance relation, population sizes, and the degree and duration of bilingualism are some of the relevant factors in a borrowing situation. In the situation of Paresi, only recently has the bilingualism increased. Strong structural linguistic effects have not yet emerged, but they certainly could in the future. Among the five categories of borrowing situations discussed in Thomason and Kaufman (1988), Paresi exhibits casual contact, where there is borrowing of content words for cultural and functional reasons.

### 1.7 Statement of endangerment

The number of extinct languages has been increasing drastically, and about half of the known languages of the world have vanished in the last 500 years (Nettle and Romaine, 2000). Adelaar (2007:99) stated that all the indigenous languages of South and Central America are considered to be endangered (except Paraguayan Guaraní).

In Brazil, there are approximately 155 indigenous languages spoken. Of these, 39 are listed as urgently endangered owing to their lack of transmission and low number of speakers (Moore, 2005). Paresi, compared to other Amazonian languages, is not immediately endangered, but it is still threatened by the lack of transmission to future generations, and by its relatively low number of speakers. Although Paresi is mostly used as the everyday language (in conversation, rituals, to tell stories), language shift toward Portuguese is taking place. There are some situations in which the Paresi need to use the dominant language, such as when they have to go to the city to request health services, to
receive payments or in other situations. One example of this is that Portuguese has been used in the schools as the language of oral instruction; before only the written Portuguese materials were used.

The exposure to Brazilian culture that Paresi have experienced within the last generation has led to extreme changes in their culture and in linguistic styles and registers, which may be considered as a stage preceding a more profound language endangerment. For example, certain genres of ritual languages such as the shamanic offerings to their deities are known only by a few elders.

One positive aspect of language contact is the implementation of programs for bilingual education. These programs aim for an intercultural bilingualism in which the native language is the first language and both languages are regarded equally. Until 1990, in the Formoso village, all the teachers working at the school were non-Indian people. Since then, the Paresi people have begun training in order to become Paresi teachers. Although the children learn how to write in their language and have classes about their mythology, the schools in the villages still have teaching Portuguese as their main goal. For the Paresi people it is important to be involved in the Brazilian society, and learning Portuguese is an instrument to having more access to information and technology (Paes, 2002).

### 1.8 Methods and data collection

The methodology for collecting and analyzing the Paresi data is based on the traditional methodology of linguistic fieldwork, including best-practice methods of documentation and analysis (such as Simons and Bird, 2003). The data for this grammar was gathered during field trips to the Formoso and Rio Verde villages between 2006 and 2012, totaling 17 months. The longest trips were three to four months in fall of 2011 and summer of 2012.

The area in which I did field work is approximately four hours by car from the city of Tangará da Serra. From there to Formoso, it takes approximately two hours by car, and four to five hours to Rio Verde. Most of the times I have gone to these places, the

Paresi people have given me a ride. The first few times I went to Formoso (between 2006 and 2008) there was no electricity, so they had to use power generators. On those visits, I had to bring solar panels and car batteries in order to use my equipment. Since 2009, they have had electricity and the people have started to buy many electronic devices such as televisions, DVD players, refrigerators, washing machines, etc.

In my day-to-day life in the villages, I spent at least two hours working with consultants, around six hours working on preparing the elicitation tasks, writing or reading about some topic of my dissertation, or doing backups of my data. In addition, it was important to have daily interaction with people in the community, so I reserved time in the evening to spend with them. I listened to their conversations in Paresi, and tried to practice my speaking. I lived with a family in order to be more immersed in the language. They usually do not work in the manioc fields or go hunting, but they have traditional festivals where they have to do these activities. I had many opportunities to participate in and document activities such as working in the manioc field, gathering fruits in the savanna, fishing with the women, and attending festivals. During one naming festival, they gave me the name Aezokero.

All the Paresi speakers in the villages where I worked have agreed in a written document to allow me to work in their villages. In addition to their agreement, I had authorization from the National Foundation of Indigenous people (FUNAI). I worked with at least two speakers in both communities. In most locations there were interested younger people, most of them teachers at the school. There were also speakers, ages 25 to 90 , who have worked with me mainly as storytellers for shorter periods of time, typically less than two hours a day. My returning to the communities more than eight times, and the 'giving back' attitude of the project since the beginning has been essential for creating a trusting working relationship with the speakers. Because of this, I also had free access to their communities.

Data were collected in two ways: (i) recording of natural conversation and storytelling sessions and (ii) direct elicitation. I collected a range of naturally-occurring
speech types to transcribe and translate. Some of the Paresi people and I have recorded a variety of discourse forms such as myths, songs, oratory, advice, ritual speech, offering made to deities, and prayers used in particular circumstances and that are known by only a few people elders who are shamans. We recorded speakers in spontaneous conversations to collect words and structures of the language employed in daily communication. Life stories and descriptions of actual events in the village (such as fishing or preparing medicine) have also been important in building a recorded set of diverse genres to inform the grammar.

I have also used direct elicitation based on data gathered from the texts and from questionnaires in order to collect some aspects of the grammar such as paradigms, as well as to collect judgments on the grammaticality and use of possible constructions. For instance, I have used the Lingua Descriptive Studies Questionnaire by Comrie \& Smith (1977) to collect general information on syntax such as subordination, and the questionnaire on complement clauses by Hengeveld (2008). I have also collected data on tense and aspect by using the Tense, Aspect, and Mood questionnaire by Dahl (1985). In addition, I have used the Max Planck Institute elicitation materials such as the topological relations (Bowerman \& Pederson, 1992), the reciprocity videos (Evans et al., 2004), and the shape classifier task (Seifart, 2003). The elicitation was an ongoing process even when I was not in the field, as there was need for clarification on some items during the writing process. This was done by means of internet or telephone, which are accessible to some of the speakers.

The data have been recorded in digital audio (using a Zoom H4n, a Marantz portable recorder, and a Shure headset microphone) and in digital video (using a digital Sony video camera DCR-SR100, a Canon XA10 HD, and external Seinnheiser microphone). I had access to some equipment from the Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi (MPEG) institution and equipment bought during a project funded by the Endangered Language Documentation Programme (ELDP). The data were transferred, cataloged, edited, and transcribed in collaboration with Paresi speakers.

The texts were transcribed in ELAN or Transcriber in order to align the audio with the transcription. I asked my consultants to make the transcriptions in the linguistic programs; consultants who were not familiar with the use of computers transcribed using notebooks and a digital audio player. Consultants who were not comfortable doing the transcription by themselves, either because they were not used to this type of task or have some difficulty working alone, worked with me in sessions repeating each sentence of the text to clarify parts of the recordings that were not intelligible. The recordings were transcribed in the Paresi orthography. ${ }^{5}$ The free translations to Portuguese were done by Paresi speakers, then I reviewed the Portuguese translations and translated them to English for the grammar.

The lexical database was compiled by lexical extraction from text corpora by using the linguistic database tool FLEx. The entries have information in fields such as lexeme and citation forms, gloss, grammatical information, notes, source, semantic domain, and variants, as seen in Figure 3.

[^2]

Figure 3: Lexical entry in FLEx

The texts were also analyzed in FLEx, which aids in organizing texts and lexical databases. The analyses have the following: information on morpheme segmentation, gloss, word class, and translation, as shown below in Figure 4.


Figure 4: Interlinearized text in FLEx

The training of Paresi speakers in language documentation started in 2011. I trained four speakers during workshops. I trained them by teaching them how to use the recording equipment, what to document, and what ethical issues to consider when recording. Some of them were also trained to transcribe and translate texts in ELAN and to use the video editing program Pinnacle Studio. After the training, most of the recordings were done by Noezonakemae. I accompanied him during his work in three villages: Nova Esperança, Bacaval and Kotitiko. In 2013, Noezonakemae and Zezokiware went to the Goeldi Museum in Belém for more training. Twenty-seven DVDs and six CDs were made during the whole documentation project. Copies of all the DVDs and CDs were given to the communities. Figure 5 shows the cover of a DVD:


Figure 5: DVD documenting a traditional festival

Nowadays, the Paresi people have a good infrastructure for documentation work. Seven people have received training through documentation projects with me or with Silva, who conducted fieldwork from 2007 to 2012. The schools at the communities where I have been, have computer labs, libraries and teachers who have bachelors' degree
in linguistics and literature (two teachers in one community and one in another). The Formoso village has the equipment used during the ELDP project. Most of the speakers are conscious of the need to preserve this part of their culture, which is in danger of extinction. They are willing to list all of the discourse genres that local people feel are important to document.

To date, in my Paresi database, I have a total of more than 90 hours of recordings, 12 hours of transcribed and translated texts in ELAN (eight transcribed during the ELDP project), approximately 2500 lexical entries, and five hours of texts interlinearized in FLEx. Text metadata information have been recorded in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet (such as the content of the recording, who is participating, etc). The data were organized and archived in the Goeldi Museum and in the Endangered Language Archives (ELAR), and it will be archived also at the Archive of the Indigenous Languages of Latin America (AILLA).

The description of Paresi is theoretically informed, and grounded in "basic linguistic theory" (Dryer 2001, 2006; Dixon 2009, 2012). My analysis is based on my original data, especially texts, using a Functional-Typological linguistic framework and informed by discussions about particular phenomena in the general linguistic literature (e.g. Comrie, 1989; Dryer, 2006; Dixon, 2009; Givón, 2001; Miestamo, 2007; Palmer, 1986).

The examples used in the grammar are from texts (coded with the names of the texts) and elicitations, coded as (E). The abbreviations used in the glossing are listed in page xxiv, and the orthographic conventions used are discussed in §2.7.

## Chapter 2 - Phonology

### 2.0 Introduction

This chapter presents an overview of Paresi phonology, addressing segmental phonology §2.1, palatalization and neutralization §2.2, previous phonological analyses §2.3, syllable types and structure §2.4, prosody §2.5, morphophonemics §2.6, and orthographic conventions §2.7.

### 2.1 Phonemes

In this section I describe vocalic and consonantal segments.

### 2.1.1 Vowels

Paresi has four vowel phonemes, as seen in Table 2. Lengthening and nasalization are marginally contrastive (the vowels are between parentheses), as will be described in §2.1.2.3.

Table 2: Paresi vowel phoneme inventory

|  | Front | Central | Back |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| High | $/ \mathrm{i} /($ /̌::/) |  |  |
| Mid | /e/ (/ẽ:/) |  | $/ \mathrm{o} /$ |
| Low |  | $/ \mathrm{a} /$ |  |

In Table 3, I give minimal sets to illustrate the contrasts distinguishing the Paresi vowels in oral contexts, see Silva (2009) and (2013) for more examples of minimal pairs).

Table 3: Paresi vowels contrasts in oral contexts

| a | e | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /'wata/ 'type of fruit, <br> jatobá' | /'weta/ 'early' | /'witia/ 'come!' |  |
| /'tiha/ 'it is cold' | /'tihe/ 'bitter' |  | /'tiho/ 'face' |
| /'haka/ 's/he worked' | /'heka/ 's/he is drunk' |  | /'hoka/ <br> 'connective' |
| /aho/ 'fish stupefying <br> plant' | /e'hoka/ 's/he <br> shattered' | /'iho/ 'tail' |  |
| /'ira/ 'AFF' |  | /'iri/ <br> 'grasshopper' | /'airo/ 'type of <br> fruit' |
| /'hatia/ 'that' | /'hati/ 'house' | /ha'tio/ '3sg' |  |
| /'kala/ 'DUB' | /'kali/ 'frog' | /'kalo/ 'scarlet <br> macaw' |  |
| /'awa/ 'NEG' | /'kawe/ 'it hurts' |  | /'awo/ 'emu' |

### 2.1.2 Vowel allophones and vowel processes

### 2.1.2.1 Vowel/a/ and its allophones

The low central vowel /a/ has two allophones: [a] and [e]. The reduced vowel [e] occurs in word-final unstressed syllables while the unreduced vowel occurs elsewhere.
unreduced and reduced vowels

| /a/ | [飞] |
| :--- | :--- |
| /'awo/ ['awv] 'emu' | /'tema/ ['tem:] 's/he ran' |
| /'abali/ [a'bali] 'sieve' | /ha'nama/ [ha'name] 'three' |
| /ha'tsero/ [ha'tserv] 'your grandmother' | /ka'faka/ [ka'fake] 'yesterday' |

The low central vowel /a/ has also an allophone $[\varepsilon]$ when in a diphthong preceded by a palatalized consonant or palatal consonant, as seen in examples (2a) through (4). There is only one case known where raising occurs outside a diphthong (2). The evidence
for considering $[\varepsilon]$ to be an allophone of $/ \mathrm{a} /$ and not of $/ \mathrm{e} /$ comes from the verbs in (3) and (4). The verbs waiya 'see' and waini 'die' have the vowel/a/ in their roots, which changes to $[\varepsilon]$ when the consonant $/ \mathrm{w} /$ becomes palatal or is palatalized.
(2)
a. /tiairi/
[tivjdr] 'mountain'
b. /no=timela- $\theta \mathrm{i} /$ [notimi $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ lafi] 'my blood'
1sg=blood-POSSED
(3)
a. /hi=waija/
[hijeija] 'you saw'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ see
b. $/ n o=$ waija/ [nowaija] 'I saw'
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ see
(4)
a. /hi=waini-hena/ [hiwizjnihena] 'you are going to die'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ die-TRS
b. /no=waini-hena/ [nowainihena] 'you are going to die' $1 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{die}-\mathrm{TRS}$

### 2.1.2.2 Vowel/o/ and its allophones

The vowel /o/ has three allophones: [o], [u] and [ $\tau]$. [ $₹$ ] occurs in unstressed final syllables, as shown in (5).
(5)
unreduced and reduced vowels

| /o/ | [v] |
| :--- | :--- |
| /kalore/ [kalo're] 'big' | /tseko/ ['tsekv] 'far away' |
| /tota/ ['tota] 'flat' | /kalo/ ['kalv] 'scarlet macaw' |
| /toloko/ [to'lokv] 'whole' | /hito/ ['hitv] 'bow' |

[u] occurs when the following vowel is $[\mathrm{i}]$ or $[\mathrm{u}]^{6}$, when adjacent to a palatalized

[^3]consonant, or when in a final stressed syllable, [ o ] occurs elsewhere. Inside the root, regressive assimilation occurs when the vowel assimilates the high feature of the following vowel or palatal consonant, as shown in (6):
(6)
[u]
a. /owi/ ['uwi] 'snake'
b. /tiotia/ ['tiutie] 'all'
c. /ohiro/ [uhi'dju] 'woman'
d. /tioka/ ['tiuke] 'he sat'
[o]
a. /toka/ ['toke] 'he grabbed'
b. /one/['one] 'water'
c. /notera/ [no'tere] 'I drank'
d. /kalore/ [ka'lore] 'big '

Finally, some speakers of the Formoso community who consider themselves to be descendents of the Waimare or Kaxiniti subgroups use [ o ] where [ u ] is used by the majority of the speakers (i.e. descendents of the Kozarene and Enomaniere subgroups). Vowel height assimilation is not found in the speech of Waimare speakers. In (8), the vowel [i] of the proclitic $h i=$ does not influence the following vowel [o], as seen in the above example from the majority dialect (90b). More study is needed with Waimare speakers to analyze dialect variation of $[\mathrm{o}]$ and $[\mathrm{u}]$.
/hi=kolotia/ [hikjolo'tia] 'you are fat'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{be} . \mathrm{fat}$

### 2.1.2.3 Vowel nasality, rhinoglottophilia, and vowel lengthening

There is phonemic contrast between nasal consonants, but there is only marginal phonemic contrast between nasal and oral vowels. According to Silva (2009), nasal vowels occur when they precede a nasal consonant (through nasal spread or assimilation),
or when preceded by the glottal fricative [h], and oral vowels occur elsewhere. However, the analysis of spectrograms of words where nasal vowels are expected to occur adjacent to nasal consonants show that acoustically there is no nasalization. Figure 6 shows that the vowel [e] before the nasal [ n ] is not nasalized because the F2 of the nasal does not go through the vowel. The same occurs in Figure 7 with the vowel [i] before [ $n$ ].
(9)
a. /atiamena/ [atiamena] 'stick'
b. /inimatsero/ [inimiatsero] 'his mother-in-law'
c. /monoli/ [munuli] 'termite'


Figure 6: non-nasalized vowel [e] in [me] before nasal


Figure 7: non-nasalized vowel [i] before nasal

Nasal vowels occur only in two contexts, in one there is complementary distribution, and in the other marginal contrast. The first context is adjacent to a glottal fricative in a word-final stressed syllable (Silva, 2009). The glottal fricative at the end of a word produces an effect on the preceding and following vowel similar to nasalization, called rhinoglottophilia. This effect is a type of spontaneous nasalization in which the spectrum of the vowel is changed because of open glottis during the phonation accompanying an [h] or breathy voice (Blevins \& Garret, 1992). The spectrograms below show the breathy voice, marked by substantial aperiodic or noisy energy in the signal of the vowels preceding and following [h].
a. /maiha/
['məịhə ] 'Neg'
b. /tiha/
[tị.h ${ }^{j}$ a.] 'it is cold'
c. /eje aho/
[ea'hu] 'on this way'


Figure 8: spontaneous nasalization of [a] in [ha]


Figure 9: spontaneous nasalization of [a] in [ha]

Nasality and lengthening are used to indicate the physical distance between the speech act participants and the referent in adverbial demonstratives. Some interjections and ideophones also exhibit lengthening and nasalization. Because of these few examples, I consider nasality and lengthening to be marginally contrastive.
a. /ita/ 'there (close to speaker and addressee)'
b. /ita/ [ĩ:te] 'there distal (far away from speaker and addressee)'
a. /e $\theta \mathrm{e} /$ 'this (close to speaker and addressee)'
b. /e $\theta \mathrm{e} /[\mathrm{e}: \circlearrowright \mathrm{e}]$ ] 'yonder (far away from speaker and addressee)'
a. /tseko/ 'far'
b. /tseko/ [tsẽ:ko] 'very far away'

In formal speech (as when giving advice), vowels are often nasalized and lengthened at the end of an intonational unit.

| owene | hamahalitinihalo | kakoãã |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| owene | ha $=$ ma- haliti | -ni | -halo | $=$ kakoa |
| there | 3sg NEG person NMLZ FEM | $=C O M$ |  |  |

'There, with the non-Paresi person.' (Xihatyoawihaliti)

### 2.1.3 Consonants

Paresi has fourteen consonants (with three additional marginal consonants). The consonant inventory is given in Table 4.

Table 4: Paresi consonants

|  | Biabial | Labio- <br> dental | Dental | Alveolar | Palato- <br> Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | b |  |  | t | $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | k |  |
| Nasal | m |  |  | n |  |  |  |  |
| Flap |  |  |  | f |  |  |  |  |
| Lateral <br> approximant <br> Fricative | f | 0 |  | $(\mathrm{f})$ |  |  | h |  |
| Affricate |  |  |  | ts | $(\mathrm{t})$ |  |  |  |
| Approximant | w |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{j})$ |  |  |

Below, I describe the Paresi consonants. Palatalized consonants (with the exception of [ti] and [lj]) occur only when simultaneously preceded by the high front vowel [i] and followed by a vowel other than [i]. Non-palatalized consonants occur elsewhere. Palatalization will be described in §2.2.

### 2.1.3.1 Stops

There are four stop consonants: $/ \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{k} /$. The details are under the discussion of each sound. The right-hand column provides minimal pairs or other pairs which show the sounds are contrastive.
A. /b/

There are two allophones: [b] and [bij. [bi] occurs only when it is both preceded by [i] and followed by a vowel other than [i] (17), while [b] occurs elsewhere, including when [i] both precedes and follows. The voiced bilabial stop [b] in word-initial and medial positions is illustrated in examples (15) and (16). Most of the words with [b] are borrowings from Portuguese, such as baka 'pay' and abowala 'squash' (from Portuguese pagar and abóbora respectively).
/baka/ 'pay'
/waka/ 'extinguish'
/betetia/ 'sell'
/biola/ 'guitar'
/boloko/ 'pig'
/aba/ 'father' /awa/ 'stop, don't do this'
/abe/ 'grandmother'
/kolibijo/ 'type of bird'
/tabobokoa/ 'it sank'
[bi]
/t f iriba/ $\quad[\mathrm{t}$ firibie] $]$ 'skirt'
B. /t/

The voiceless alveolar stop occurs word-initially (18) and medially position (19).
/tane/ 'feather of'
/tema/ 'run'
/日ane/ 'go away'
/日ema/ 'POSP'
/timena/ 'heavy'
/tokita/ 'he is holding'
/tiokita/ 'he is sitting'
/aitfota/ 'he is sneezing' /aitfotia/ 'he weeds'
/ite/ 'FUT'
/ ete/ 'smell bad'
/nototoni/ 'my breast'
/itiho/ 'his face'
C. $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{/}$

The palatalized alveolar stop occurs in initial (20) and medial positions (21). This consonant does not occur before the anterior vowels [e] and [i], where there is neutralization of $[\mathrm{t}]$ ] and $[\mathrm{t}]$ in this environment as seen in $\S 2.2 .2$.
(20)
/tiakoliti/ 'liver'
/tiota/ 'be over' /tota/ 'straight'
/watia/ 'hot'
/aitfotia/ 'he weeds'
/hitio/ / 'your mother'
/wata/ 'type of fruit'
/aitfota/ 'he is sneezing'
/hito/ 'bow'
D. $/ \mathrm{k} /$

There are two allophones: $[\mathrm{k}]$ and $\left[\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]$. The palatalized counterpart occurs only when it is both preceded by [i] and followed by a vowel other than [i], while the former occurs elsewhere. The voiceless velar stop [k] occurs in initial and medial positions, as in (22) and (23).
/kaka/ 'squeeze' [kake] /haka/ 'work'
/ketse/ 'knife' [ketse]
/kirane/ 'small'[kidjane]
/koko/ 'my uncle' [koko] /koho/ 'basket'
(23)
/ekanatse/ 'his mouth' [ekanatse]
/tseko/ 'far'
[tseko]
/hakero/ 'your grandmother' [hakero]
/makija/ 'night' [maki'ja]
[ki]
/irikati/ [idikjati] 'fire'
/nikeretia/ [nikjereta] 'stop!'
/naikoli/ [naikjuli] 'my tooth'

### 2.1.3.2 Nasals

E. $/ \mathrm{m} /$

There are two allophones of the bilabial nasal: [m] and [mi]. [mi] occurs when it is both preceded by a [i] and followed by a vowel other than [i] and [m] occurs elsewhere. The bilabial nasal in initial and medial positions is illustrated in examples (25) and (26).
/maka/ 'hammock' /waka/ 'extinguish'
/mema/ 'ready' /ena/ 'man'
/milikoa/ 'peel'
/moitsati/ 'firewood'
/kamati/ 'death'
/amematyoa/ 'stop'
/nimi/ 'my cloth'
/hamokene/ 'you put it'
[mi]
/timalati/ [timiclati] 'blood'
/timena/ [timiene] 'heavy'
/imotiotia/ [imjutiu'tia] 'he braided'
F. /n/

There are also two allophones of the alveolar nasal: [n] and [n]. Similar to the other phonemes which have a palatalized counterpart, [ n$]$ is the allophone which only occurs when it is both preceded by [i] and followed by a vowel other than [i]. The nasal alveolar in initial and medial position is illustrated in the examples (28) and (29).
/natio/ '1sg' /hatio/ '3sg'
/nemaka/ 'I am sleeping'
/nikare/ 'like this'
/notia/ 'I remember'
/tanakoliti/ 'cheek'
/日ane/ 'he went'
/initio/ 'his mother'
/ekano/ 'arm'
[n]
/hinama/ [hina'ma] 'two'
/hatinolatene/ [hatinulatene] 'you sewed'

### 2.1.3.3 Liquids

G. /l/

The lateral approximant occurs only in medial position. The distinction between $/ 1 /$ and $/ \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}}$ / is neutralized when they are followed by [i], as described in section $\S 2.2 .2$. The contrast between $/ 1 /$ and $/ \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{is}$ also neutralized when between $[\mathrm{a}]$ or [ o ] and [i].
/walatse/ 'gourd'
/ali/ 'here'
/warata/ 'type of bird'
/ani/ 'wasp'
/holoma/ 'type of tree (lixeira tree)' /tororo/ 'throat'
/militi/ 'skin'
H. /li/

The palatalized lateral approximant occurs only in medial position. [li] was considered an allophone of [1] by other people working on Paresi (see §2.3). However, while [ $[\mathrm{i}]$ could be considered an allophone of [1] when preceded by [i], as in nokiljako 'in my nose', because it is also preceded by [a] where regular palatalization process could not explain its palatalized form. This is why I consider this consonant to be a marginal phoneme.
/aliako/ 'where' /ala/ 'FOC'
/haliare/ 'tripod'
/nokiliako/ 'inside of my nose'
/miliahotseti/ 'coal'
I. / $/$ /

There are three allophones: [r], [d], and [di]. [di] occurs only when it is both preceded by [i] and followed by a vowel other than [i]; the allophone [d] occurs when [i] both precedes and follows; and the flap allophone [r] occurs in initial position and when it is both preceded and followed by a vowel other than [i]. The alveolar flap [r] occurs in initial and medial position as seen in (33) and (34).
/rota/ 'directly' /kota/ 'ant'
/rotita/ 'immediately'
/Өera/ 'sing'
/ehare/ 'his body'
/kerehoti/ 'lip'
/hakero/ 'your grandmother'
/tororo/ 'throat'
[di]
/afiratia/ [afidja'tia] 'clean up'
/irai/ [idicici] he talked'
/koiro/ [kuidive] 'fruit sp.'
[d]
/tiairi/ [ticidi] 'mountain'
$/ \theta$ eirita/ [ $\theta$ eidita $]$ 'he is singing'

There is a phonotactic restriction which does not allow the sequence [ri]. When /r/ is both preceded and followed by [i], it has the allophone [d]. Then what happens when this phoneme is both preceded by a vowel other than [i] and followed by [i]? The distinction between the phonemes $/ \mathrm{f} /$ and $/ 1 /$ is neutralized in this environment. Neutralization is observed at morpheme boundaries, not inside of the root, and it will be described in $\S 2.2 .2$. The only contrastive context where the distribution of /f/ overlaps with /l/ is between the vowels [a] and [o]. However, there are very few examples where $/ \mathrm{f} /$ occurs with non-front vowels, e.g.: tororo 'throat'. It is not clear whether these examples are loans. It may be the case that / $\mathrm{f} /$ and $/ 1 /$ were allophones of a single historic phoneme, and recently became two different phonemes.

### 2.1.3.4 Fricatives

J. /f/

There are three allophones of the labiodental fricative: [f], [fi] and [ $\phi$ ]. [fi] occurs
in the environment in which other palatalized consonants occur (when it is both preceded by [i] and followed by a vowel other than [i]) while [f] occurs elsewhere. The environment where $[\phi]$ occurs is not clear. $[\phi]$ is found in the speech of some speakers in the Formoso area, where [f] is found in the Rio Verde village. It may be the case that there is dialect variation, but more research is needed to confirm this hypothesis. The voiceless labio-dental fricative in initial and medial position is illustrated in examples (36) and (37).
/faka/ 'be swollen'
/waka/ 'extinguish'
/ferakoa/ 'day'
/fihi/ 'straight'
/kafaka/ 'yesterday'
/nafira/ 'I cleaned up'
/afetalatia/ 'he demarcated'
[fi]
/hifakate/ [hifjakate] 'you are full'
K. / $\theta /$

The alveolar fricative has two allophones: $[\theta]$ and [ $\varnothing]$. [ $\varnothing]$ occurs between vowels, while [ $\theta$ ] occurs elsewhere. This consonant in word-initial and medial position is illustrated in examples (39) and (40). The phoneme $/ \theta /$ cannot occur preceded or followed by [i]. There is neutralization of the phonemes $/ \theta /$ and $/ \mathrm{J} /$ when $/ \theta /$ is followed by [i], and neutralization of $/ \theta /$ and $/ \mathrm{j} /$ when $/ \theta /$ is both preceded by [i] and a vowel other than [i] (see §2.2.2).
/日ana/ 'genipap fruit'
/Jana/ 'wasp'
／日era／＇he sang＇
／tera／＇drink＇
／日otiare／＇deer＇
［ð］
／aho日a／［ahoða］＇wolf＇／ahoma／＇blow＇
／a日e日e／［aðeðe］＇oldest brother＇ ／e $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ oa／［eðoa］＇he fell＇

L．／／／
The voiceless palato－alveolar fricative occurs in word－initial and medial position， followed by［a］or［i］．／$/ /$ is not a palatalized allophone of $/ \theta /$ when it is followed by［i］ because this phoneme also occurs followed by［a］．Similar to the consonant $/ \mathrm{l} /$ ，this is a marginal phoneme．
／Jana／＇wasp＇／日ana／＇genipap fruit＇
／Jaka／＇shoot＇
／ jikoke ／＇your uncle＇
／notimelafi／＇my blood＇

M．／h／
There are two glottal fricative allophones：the default allophone［h］and the allophone［ h ］which occurs only when it is both preceded by［i］and followed by a vowel other than［i］．［h］occurs elsewhere．This voiceless fricative glottal in initial and medial position is illustrated in the examples（43）and（44）．
／hati／＇house＇
／heka／＇he is drunk＇
/hinoti/ 'neck'
/hote/ 'peccary'
/ $\theta$ ekohatseti/ 'leader'
/ahekotia/ 'he thought'
/ihi/ 'his rope'
/koho/ 'basket'
[hi]
tiha [tihie] 'be.cold'
tihoti [tihjoti] 'face'

### 2.1.3.5 Affricates

N. /ts/

The voiceless alveolar affricate in initial and medial position is illustrated in examples (46) and (47). /ts/ is never followed by $/ \mathrm{i} /$, as there is a neutralization of the phonemes $/ \mathrm{ts} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t} \mathrm{f} /$ in this environment (see §2.2.2).
(46)
/tsatsalo/ 'bird sp.'
/tsehali/ 'rock'
/tsololoa/ 'he hung up'
/aitsa/ 'he killed'
/natseka/ 'I dig'
/hitso/ 'you'
O. $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{/}$

The voiceless palato-alveolar affricate occurs in initial and medial position as seen in (48) and (49). This consonant is described in Silva (2009) as an allophone of /ts/ when
it is followed by [i]. I consider $/ \mathrm{t} \mathrm{f} /$ to be a marginal phoneme because it can also be followed by the vowels [a] and [o].
(48)
/t Jabirawata/ 'swallow'
/t $\mathbf{f}$ ikiti/ 'excrement'
/t Jolai/ 'type of bird'
/notfabowali/ 'my hat'
/notfiyete/ 'my grandson'
/aitfota/ 'he is sneezing'

### 2.1.3.6 Approximant

P. /w/

There are two approximant allophones: [w] and [wi]. [wi] occurs only when it is both preceded by [i] and followed by a vowel other than [i], while the former occurs elsewhere. The voiced labial-velar approximant in initial and medial position is illustrated in (50) and (51).
/wamolo/ 'waterfall'
/wenati/ 'life'
/wikaotse/ 'we arrived'
/日otawa/ 'horn'
/holowe/ 'fly'
/hiwicitse/ 'type of monkey'
/awo/ 'bird sp.'
(52)
[ $w^{j}$ ]
aliwa [aliwie] 'eagle'
Q. $\mathrm{j} /$

The palatal approximant $/ \mathrm{j}$ / occurs in word-medial position, as is illustrated in (53). There is a neutralization of the phonemes $/ \theta /$ and $/ \mathrm{j} /$ when $/ \theta /$ occurs both preceded by [i] and followed by a vowel other than [i] at morpheme boundaries (see §2.2.2).
/eje/ 'this'
/ijete/ 'type of armadillo'
/waija/ 'he sees'

### 2.1.4 Distribution of Consonants

I will describe the restrictions on the distribution and combination of sounds in different morphophonological and syllabic contexts. These restrictions are related to the phonological processes to be described in §2.2.2. Table 5 shows that $/ \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{j} / / \mathrm{J} /$, and $/ \mathrm{t} \mathrm{f} /$ have a restricted distribution, which may be evidence of their recent phonemicization in Paresi. The restricted distribution of $/ \mathrm{f} /$ may be related to a lenition process ( $\mathrm{p}>\mathrm{h}$ ) attested in Arawak languages. According to Silva (2009), $/ \Phi /$ (in my analysis /f/) is the intermediate phase in the lenition process: $\mathrm{p}>\phi>\mathrm{h}$. Therefore, the few words with /f/ would be words that have not yet undergone the final phase of the lenition process.

Table 5: Distribution of consonants ${ }^{7}$

|  | \#\# | V_V | _a | _e | -i | _o |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b | Y | Y | Y | less common | rare | Y |
| t | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | Y | Y | Y | N | N | Y |
| k | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| m | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| n | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| r | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y (allophone [d]) | Y |
| 1 | N | Y | Y | rare | Y | Y |
| ${ }^{1}$ | N | Y | rare | N | N | N |
| f | Y | Y | less common | less common | less common | N |
| $\theta$ | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y |
| ऽ | Y | Y | less common | N | Y | N |
| h | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| ts | Y | Y | less common | Y | N | less common |
| t ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Y | Y | rare | N | Y | rare |
| w | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N |

As we can see in Table 5, /b/ has a restricted occurrence with vowels, and in general there are few words with this phoneme: loans from Portuguese, kinship terms or body parts, and onomatopoeic words. There are phonological reasons for the absence of combinations such as $t i j, t i e, \theta i$, and $t s i$ (see discussion in the next section).

[^4]
### 2.2 Palatalization and neutralization

### 2.2.1 Allophonic palatalization

The phonemes $/ b, k, m, n, r, f, h, w / h a v e ~ t w o ~ a l l o p h o n e s: ~ a) ~[b, k, m, n, f, f, h$, $\mathrm{w}]$; and b) the palatalized allophones: [ $\left.\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{fl}^{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]$. The palatalized allophones occur in the context preceded by a high front vowel [i] and followed by vowels other than the high front vowel in root-internal environments (progressive palatalization), as seen in (54). I consider the analysis of these cases of palatalization as secondary palatalization using the terminology in Bateman (2007), Hall (2000) and Kochetov $(1998,2002)$. There are no palatalized allophones of these phonemes in wordinitial position.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \text { Ci/i_a,e,oo } &  \tag{54}\\
\text { a. /irikati/ } & \text { [idikjati] 'fire' } \\
\text { b. /日oima/ } & \text { [日uim'ja] 'child' } \\
\text { c. /irai/ } & \text { [idici] 'he talked' } \\
\text { d. /tiha/ } & \text { [tihíe] 'it is cold' } \\
\text { e. /aliwa/ } & \text { [aliwia] 'eagle' }
\end{array}
$$

Palatalization may result from spreading of the [+high] feature to any of the places of articulation (Lahiri \& Evers, 1991). In Paresi, we see spreading of the [+high] feature of the vowel [i]. According to the analysis in Silva (2009: 139), based on feature geometry (Clements \& Hume, 1995), palatalization is triggered by the spreading of features in the V-Place of the vowel [coronal, -anterior] to the V-place of the consonant target. The only difference in the analyses is the assignment of a [+high] or [-anterior] feature to the triggers.

The spectrograms below show palatalization, where there is some overlap of the consonant and the high front vowel. The palatal gesture begins in the preceding vowel and affects the F2 of the following vowel. This overlap is not seen in the cases where a
vowel follows an underlying palatalized consonant such as $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{/}$, as in Figure 13.


Figure 10: Palatalized glottal fricative


Figure 11: Palatalized alveolar nasal


Figure 12: Palatalized approximant


Figure 13: phoneme [ti]

Figure 14 shows the consonant [d] is not palatalizated when it is preceded by [i]:


Figure 14: no palatalization of [d]

In (55), the initial consonants of verb roots starting with $/ \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{h}$, and w/ become palatalized by attaching a proclitic with [i], such as the personal pronominal proclitics $h i=$ ' 2 sg ', wi= ' 1 pl ', and $x i=' 2 \mathrm{pl}$ '. Figures 11 and 12 show spectrograms of (55a) both without and with palatalization of $/ \mathrm{k} /$.
a. /hi=kanatse/ [hikjanatse] 'your mouth'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ mouth
b. /wi=meta/ [wimietr] 'you all disappeared'
$2 \mathrm{pl}=$ disappear


Figure 15: $[\mathrm{k}]$ in the beginning of word


Figure 16: palatalization of [ k ] following hi=
The only case where there is no secondary palatalization is when the consonant is both preceded and followed by the vowel [i], and the general rule is (56). Silva (2009:160) expands this rule by saying that there is no palatalization when consonants are both preceded by [i] and followed by the vowels [e] or [i], as in the following examples from his thesis in (57).

$$
\begin{align*}
& * \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{i} \_\mathrm{i}  \tag{56}\\
& { }^{*} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{i} \_\_ \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

a. /tfikere/[tfikere] 'be yellow'
b. /timena/ [timene] 'be heavy'

His analysis is partly right because there are instances where there is no palatalization of consonants in the /i_e/ context. ${ }^{8}$ For example, when [e] is produced through sandhi processes the palatalization of the consonant does not occur. In the example (58b) the vowel raising of [a] to [e], when the suffix -re is attached, forbids the palatalization of $[k]$. In (59b), the same process occurs, and $/ \mathrm{t} /$ is realized as $[\mathrm{t}]$ :
a. /tfika/ [t $\left.\mathrm{ij}^{\mathrm{ija}}\right]$ 'be.yellow'
b. /t jika-re/
[ t §ikere] 'one which is yellow' *[t ${ }^{\text {jikjere }}$ ]
be yellow-NMLZ
a. /watia/ [watia] 'it is hot'
b. /wata-re/ [watere] 'the one/thing that is hot' *[watiere] hot-NMLZ


Figure 17: no palatalization of [k]

However, there are instances in my lexicon where consonants are palatalized in this environment. For example, the same word for 'heavy', which was not transcribed with [ m ] in (57), is found with the palatalized consonant.

[^5](60)
$\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{i}$ $\qquad$
a. /timena/ [timiene] 'be heavy'
b. /h=ime日a/ [himjeðr] 'you gathered'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ gather


Figure 18: Palatalization in the environment i_e

Finally, we would expect /ts/ to undergo secondary palatalization after the vowel [i] in words such as aitsa 'he killed', if we consider the trigger of palatalization as the preceding vowel [i]. However, there is no sequence ${ }^{*}$ its $^{j}$ in the language. The same is true of the phonemes $/ \mathrm{J} /, / \mathrm{t} \mathrm{f} /$, and $/ \theta /\left(*\left[\mathrm{~S}^{\top}\right], *\left[\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{j}}\right], *\left[\theta^{\mathrm{j}}\right]\right)$. Therefore, secondary palatalization does not affect fricatives nor the phoneme $/ \mathrm{t} /$, as seen in the example (61). In verb roots, the phoneme $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{j}$ undergoes dissimilation in the same context where other phonemes undergo palatalization (see §2.6.7)
a. /wi=tiaoli/
[witiaoli] 'in our forehead'
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ forehead
b. /i=tiako/ [itiako] 'his stomach'
3sg=stomach

In conclusion, we can make the following generalizations: non-fricative
consonants and palatalized consonants (except $/ \mathrm{t} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{i}} /$, $/ \mathrm{l} /$ and $/ \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}}$ ) are in complementary distribution. When consonants are both preceded by [i] and followed by a vowel other than [i], there is secondary palatalization. We see palatalization in both contexts: phonological and morphophonological.

### 2.2.2 Neutralization

As seen below in Table 6, the phonemes $/ \mathrm{t} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t} /$ have an overlapping distribution. The two phonemes can be preceded by any vowel and followed by [a] or [o]. The palatalization distinction is neutralized for the phonemes $/ \mathrm{t} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t} /$ before the vowels [i] and [e] because of the phonotactic restriction *tile.

Table 6: distribution of the phonemes $/ \mathrm{t} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{t}$

|  | i | e_ | a_ | O_ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| _1 | $\mathrm{t} / *^{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{t} / *^{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{t} / *^{\mathrm{tj}}$ | $t / * t^{\mathrm{j}}$ |
| _e | t/ * ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\mathrm{t} / *^{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{t} / *^{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{t} / *^{\mathrm{j}}$ |
| _a | $t / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}$ | $t / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}$ |
| _O | $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}$ | $t / t^{j}$ | $t / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}$ | $t / \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}$ |

Another case of overlapping distribution is the distribution of the phonemes /l/ and $/ \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}}$. They only overlap in the environment a_a, as seen in Table 7. Their distribution is more restricted than the distribution of $/ \mathrm{t} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t} /$. The distinction $/ \mathrm{l} /$ and $/ \mathrm{l} \mathrm{j} /$ is neutralized in the following environments: a) [1] occurs followed by [i] (*1ii), and in the environments o_a, a_o, o_o; b) $\left[\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]$ occurs both when preceded by $[\mathrm{i}]$ and followed by $[\mathrm{a}]$.

Table 7: distribution of the phonemes $/ 1 /$ and $/ \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{j}$

|  | i_ | e_ | $\mathbf{a}_{-}$ | O_ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| _i | 1/* ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 1/* ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ | 1/* ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ | 1/* ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |
| -e | Not attested | Not attested | Not attested | Not attested |
| _a | *1/1j | Not attested | 1/1 ${ }^{\text {j}}$ | 1/* ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |
| _o | Not attested | Not attested | $1 / *{ }^{j}$ | 1/* ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |

The phoneme /l/ also exhibits overlapping distribution with the phoneme / $\mathrm{f} / .{ }^{9}$ However, their distribution is neutralized when $/ \mathrm{f} /$ is both preceded by $/ \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{o} /$ and followed by the vowel /i/ (which is the result of vowel harmony /e/ to /i/) at morpheme boundaries. ${ }^{10}$ In (62), first vowel harmony raises /e/ to /i/ when the suffixes - $t i$ 'UNPOSS' or $-k i$ 'CAUS' are attached to the roots, then [ri] changes to [li].
a. /initio-hare-ti/ [initiohaliti] 'elder'
elder-MASC-UNPOSS
b. /tiakore-ti/ [tiakuliti] 'liver'
liver-UNPOSS
c. /e-haihare-ki-tsa-h-ene/ [ehaihalikitsa] 'they made him to be shy'

CAUS-be.shy-CAUS-TH-PL-3O

Other types of neutralization are the alternations between the following phonemes: $/ \mathrm{ts} / \sim / \mathrm{t} \mathrm{f} /$ and $/ \theta / \sim / \mathrm{J} /$ before [i], and $/ \theta / \sim / \mathrm{j} /$ after [i]. Because of the phonotactic restrictions ${ }^{*} t s i$ and $* \theta i$ in Paresi, the consonants $/ \mathrm{ts} /$ and $/ \theta /$ undergo palatalization resulting in a palato-alveolar (regressive palatalization). This alternation occurs in morphophonological contexts. Example (63) shows the alternations $/ \mathrm{ts} / \sim / \mathrm{t} \rho /$ when the suffix -ita 'IFV' is attached to the root.
(63)
a. $/ n=$ aits-ita/ [naitfita] 'I am killing'
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ kill-IFV
b. /n=halaitsoa-ita/ [nahalaitfuita] 'I am jumping'

1sg=jump-IFV

In (64), the interdental consonant [ $\theta$ ] alternates with [j] when it is after one of the

[^6]proclitics with the vowel [i]: ${ }^{11}$ In another context, as in (65), the morpheme $-\theta i$ 'POSSED' surfaces as $-\int i$ before [i], in order to avoid the sequence [ $\theta \mathrm{i}$ ] in the language.
a. /hi= 1 ane/ [hijane] 'you went away'

2sg=go.away
b. /hi= $\theta \mathrm{era} /[\mathrm{hijera}]$ 'you song'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=\operatorname{sing}$


The change of [ts] to [ t$]$ ] is not considered to be neutralization by Silva (2009). He argues that $[\mathrm{t}]$ ] only occurs before [i] and that all exceptions to this generalization are loan words. In my lexicon, there are a few words with [ t ] before the vowels [a] or [o] which are not loans, such as [tfabidawata] 'swallow' and [tfolai] 'type of bird'. Because of that, words with [ t ] are not considered to have an underlying form with $/ \mathrm{ts} / \mathrm{in}$ my analysis. Therefore, I consider that there is neutralization of the phonemes before [i].

### 2.3 Phonological analysis in Rowan \& Burgess (1969) and Silva (2009)

The consonant inventory proposed here diverges slightly from Rowan \& Burgess (1969) and Silva (2009). Table 8 compares the different phonemic inventories proposed for Paresi ${ }^{12}$. All works agree with regards to the vowel inventory of four segments.

[^7]Table 8: Phonemic inventories proposed for Paresi

|  | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | $\mathbf{t j}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{l}$ | $\mathbf{l}$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ | $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{h}$ | $\mathbf{t s}$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{w}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| S | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | Y | Y |
| B | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |

 differs from Silva's regarding the choice of which allophone is considered basic. According to Silva (2009), the basic allophone is $/ \Phi /$. I consider [ f$]$ to be the basic allophone because it is more often used in the communities. Rowan \& Burgess (1969) states that $[\phi]$ is only present in some varieties. In Formoso, some speakers use $[\phi]$ and others use [f], and thus far I cannot find a conditioning factor. People who use [ $\phi$ ] say they belong to the Waimaré group. In Rio Verde, they use [f], and the majority of the people there say they belong to a different Paresi group called Kozarene.

In addition, the lateral $/ 1 /$ and the palatalized lateral $/ \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}}$ are considered to be phonemes (similar to $/ \mathrm{t} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{i}$ ). I do not have minimal pairs distinguishing $/ 1 /$ and $/ \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}}$, but [ $[\mathrm{i}]$ occurs after [a] (e.g.: [aliako] 'where', [ala] 'FOC'). The analysis of [ $[\mathrm{i}]$ as an allophone of $/ 1 /$ in contexts after [i] does not account for this other context. Because the distribution of $/ \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j} /}$ is very restricted I call this a "marginal" consonant.
$/ \int /$ is another phoneme which is analyzed by others to be a allophone of $/ \theta /$. It is a marginal phoneme because I have only one minimal pair ([Jana] 'bee' and [ $\theta$ ana] 'genipap'), and because the distribution of [J] is restricted to contexts before [i] and [a], while [ $\theta$ ] appears before [a], [e], and [o].

### 2.4 Syllable types and structure

In this section, I will describe properties of vowel sequences and syllable types. In Paresi, there are no codas or complex onsets. The attested syllable types are: CV, CVV, VV, and V. The glides / $\mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{j} /$ can combine with vowels in the sequences jV and wV .

### 2.4.1 Vowel sequences

A vowel sequence consists of two vowels in the same syllable. Table 9 illustrates the vowel sequences which are considered to be diphthongs: /oa/, /ae/, /oe/, /ai/, /ei/, oi/, and /ao/.

Table 9: vowel sequences

|  | a | e | $\mathbf{i}$ | o |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | $*$ | ae | ai | ao |
| e | $*$ | $*$ | ei | $*$ |
| i | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| o | oa | oe | oi | $*$ |

The sequences $/ \mathrm{oa} /$, /ae/, and /oe/ can only be analyzed as diphthongs, while the other sequences may be analyzed as either diphthongs or combinations of vowel-glide. The sequence /oa/ is better analyzed as a diphthong and not/wa/. Evidence for this is the deletion of the vowel /a/ in the verb tyoa 'come' (e.g.: tyohena 'he will come'). If /oa/ were /wa/ the deletion would leave only a consonant, and then this would be the only case of consonant clusters. The vowel sequences /ae/ and /oe/ also must be interpreted as diphthongs. If they were sequences with a final glide, the glide would have triggered the process of palatalization of the phoneme $/ \theta /$ to [j] (e.g. /aj $\theta$ okero/-> [ajjokero]).
a. /e' $\theta o \mathrm{o} /$ / [e'ðoa] 'he fell'
b. /ae日oke'ro/ [aeðo'kero] 'Aezokero (proper name)'
c. /'koe日a/ ['koeða] 'he laughed'

The examples in (67) illustrate the possible combinations of the adjacent vowels /a/ and /e/ pronounced as one syllable at morpheme boundaries. This is more evidence that the vowel sequence /ae/ is a diphthong and not a vowel-glide sequence. The consonant following the diphthong is not palatalized as it would be if the sequence were /aj/.
a. /a-ekoa-ki-tsa/ [a_ekoakitsa] 'he made ran away'

## CAUS-run.away-CAUS-TH

b. $/ \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e} \theta \mathrm{et}=\mathrm{ene}$ / [na_eðetene] 'I smelled it'

1sg=CAUS-be.smelly=3O

The sequences: /ao/, /ai/, /oi/, and /ei/ are also diphthongs. They are not analyzed as a combination vowel-glide for two reasons. First, they are similar to the other diphthongs seen above. For example, all sequences are heavy syllable with two moras, which attract stress in a word. Second, this analysis would complicate the phonology. The glide in coda position would be the only exception to the restriction of having consonant in coda position. Furthermore, the inventory of vowels would have to comprise only three vowel sequences /oa/, /ae/, and/oe/.
a. /ka'mai/ [kamai] 'sun'
b. /'kaoka/ ['kaoke] 'arrive'
c. /ko'koi/ [ko'koi] 'hawk'
d. /'oina/ ['uinja] 'be thirsty'
e. /matiei/ [matiei] 'tripod'

The examples below show more combinations of vowels pronounced in the same syllable at morpheme boundaries.
a. /a-ijo-heta/
[a_ijoheta] 'he made cry'
CAUS-be.dry-PERF
b. /a-otia-ki-tsa/ [a_utiakitsa] 'he taught (made remember)'

CAUS-remember-CAUS-TH

### 2.4.2 Glides

The sequences $[\mathrm{jV}]$ and $[\mathrm{wV}]$ are better analyzed as glide-vowel combinations, not diphthongs. The glide in the glide-vowel sequence falls within the same syllable as the following vowel, an argument in favor of the diphthong analysis. However, these glides are considered to be consonant segments for two reasons. One piece of evidence is the neutralization of $/ \mathrm{j} /$ and $/ \theta /$ preceded by [i] at morpheme boundaries (see more discussion in $\S 2.2 .2$ ) in (69b). Other evidence is morphophonological. The same set of pronominal proclitics attaches to verb roots beginning with consonants and glides, while another set attaches to vowel-initial verb roots.
(69)
a. /ijeti/ [i.ji.ti] 'flower'
b. /hi= era / [hi.je.ra] 'you sang'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=\operatorname{sing}$

### 2.5 Prosody

### 2.5.1 Stress patterns

In Paresi, word stress has delimitative functions in that it indicates word boundaries. In general, stress occurs in the penultimate syllable of a word. Acoustically, vowel duration may be the main correlate of stress. However, duration may not always be important correlate since in a few examples the stressed vowel is only slightly longer than an unstressed vowel. Experimental data is needed in order to show if duration is a reliable correlate of stress.

The data used for the analysis of stress was gathered in carrier sentences of the type in (70). Further research on stress is needed to collected more words for future experimental data analysis.
(70) Hiyaya $\qquad$ , natyo atyo nowaiya $\qquad$ , $\qquad$ zoaha 'you saw a $\qquad$ , I saw a $\qquad$ and a $\qquad$

I did not use data from lists of words in isolation because in words in isolation phrasal intonation may interact with stress. A phrasal intonation in Paresi is defined by Silva (2013: 108) as a raising in pitch at the end of a phrase and a lowering at the beginning of the next phrase. In polymorphemic words in isolation, pitch is higher in the suffix, at the end of the word (which is also the end of the intonation phrase). This raising at the end of the word may be perceived as stress. That may explain why Silva (2013) described stress as falling on suffixes. His data come from words in isolation, and he says that the higher pitch in words with suffixes coincides with the primary stress in the last syllable. Contrary to my analysis in which suffixes do not get stress.

## Stress in monomorphemic words

Paresi exhibits a penultimate stress pattern, as shown in (71), contrary to the analysis in Silva (2013) where stress is not predictable in monomorphemic words. There are few trisyllabic and polysyllabic noun stems (without any suffixes) and they also follow this pattern. Figure 19 shows that the vowel in the stressed syllable [fa] of the word [kafaka] 'yesterday' is longer than the other vowels.
a. toto ['to. to] 'breast'
b. kafaka [ka.'fa.ke] 'yesterday'
c. tihanare [,ti.ha.'na.re] 'sorcerer'


Figure 19: stressed syllable [fa]
However, there are exceptions to this stress pattern. Some words receive stress on the last or antepenultimate syllable. This case can be explained by syllable weight. Paresi exhibits quantity sensitivity, in which stress falls on a heavy syllable with two moras: CVV, as shown in (72).
a. kotyoi [ko.'tiuj] 'tapir'
b. irai [i.'dicj] 'he told'
c. alatai [a.la'.tai] 'cascudinho fruit'
c. ferakoa [fe.ra.'koa] 'in the morning'
d. kaimare ['kai.ma.re] 'moon'
e. wainama ['wai.na.me] 'be. tasty'

Another exception to the penultimate stress pattern is when stress is the only feature marking meaningful contrast in a few pair of nouns. In the first word of each pair, we see a regular pattern of stress, but in the second word of the pair the stress falls on the last syllable. These pairs are presented in (73) (the only examples I have in my corpus).
(73)
a. ['ma.ke] 'night'
[ma. 'ka] 'hammock'
b. ['ka.he] 'hand '
[ka. 'hi] 'coatamundi'
c. ['ko.re] 'opossum'
[ko.'re] 'arrow'
d. ['ha.re] 'body'
[ha.'re] 'my son (voc)'
e. ['ke.tse] 'knife'
[ke.'tse] 'be.sharp'

Acoustically, Figures 20 and 21 show that the stressed vowel in one pair is longer than the same vowel in the unstressed syllable in the other pair.


Figure 20: stress in the first syllable ['maka] 'night'


Figure 21: stress in the first syllable [ma'ka] 'hammock'
Stress may also be irregular with a few nouns and verbs. I have no explanation of the assignment of stress in words such as ohiro 'woman' and hinama 'two' (different from hanama 'three' where the stress falls on the penultimate syllable). In example (74), the only examples which may have an explanation are the words ezanityo 'wife' and ezanene 'husband'. The reason why they get stress on the last syllables tyo and ne may be because these forms mark gender contrast.
a. hinama [hi.nia.'ma] 'two'
b.ezanityo [, e.ða.ni.'tio] 'wife'
c. ezanene [, e.ða.ne.'ne] 'husband'
d. ohiro ['u.hi.diu] 'woman'

There are only two examples of monosyllabic word stems in (75), and these exhibit heavy syllables. Therefore, there is a minimal word requirement that a word should have at least two moras. The root in (75)b only occurs with the thematic suffix -ka.
a. /'tioa/ ['tioa] 'he came'
b. /'ao(-ka)/ ['aoka] 'think, wish'

## Stress in polymorphemic words

Proclitics, prefixes, and the suffixes - $t i$ 'UNPOSS', -ta 'IFV', -nae 'PL', -ne 'POSSED' or -re 'NMLZ'13 are not included in the syllable count that determines the distribution of stress in the preceding syllables, see examples (77) through (79). In example (76), stress is assigned to the penultimate syllable of the root etse 'testicle', and it remains on the same syllable when - $t i$ 'UNPOSS' is attached.
a. etse
b. etse-ti
['e.tse] 'testicle of.'
testicle-UNPOSS
a. nirai
[ni.'diai]
'I said'
b. $\mathrm{n}=$ irai-ta
[ni.'djai.ta] 'I am saying'
1sg=say-IFV
a. Ø-i'tyani
[i.'tia.ni] 'his son'
$3 \mathrm{sg}=$ son
b. Ø-ityani-nae [i.'tia.ni.njae] 'his sons'
$3 \mathrm{sg}=$ son-PL
a. zaolo
['0ao.lo] 'headdress'
b. e=zaolo-ne
[e.'סao.lo.ne] 'his headdress'
3sg=headdress-POSSED

The above words have less than three syllables included in the syllable count that determines the distribution of stress, so they only get a primary stress. Words with more than three syllables get a secondary stress. Primary stress is associated with the highest prominence (longer duration) of a syllable, while secondary stress is the lower prominence (but still higher then unstressed syllables). Secondary stress goes two

[^8] own lexical stress, except $-t i$.
syllables before that syllable with primary stress.
a. zekohatse-ti [, $\mathrm{\theta}$ e.ko.'ha.tse.ti] 'leader'
leader-UNPOSS
b. kahehali-ti [.ka.he.'ha.li.ti] 'illness'
be.ill-UNPOSS

The suffixes -kala 'NMLZ', -hare 'MASC', and -hena 'TRS' have two syllables and they have their own lexical stress on their penultimate syllable, as seen below:
a. ma-i-tona-ne-hare [.mai.tu. na.ne.'ha.re] 'one who is paralyzed'

NEG-CAUS-walk-POSSED-MASC
b. m-ena-ne-hare-ti [me. na.ne.'ha.li.ti] 'immortality'

NEG-life-POSSED-MASC-UNPOSS
c. e-hana-ne-hare [,e.ha. ,na.ne.'ha.re] 'his plantation'

3sg=leaf-POSSED-MASC
d. ma-waija-ha-kala-hare [ma., wai.ja. ka.la.'ha.re] 'one who is blind'

NEG-see-NMLZ-MASC

Assignment of primary and secondary stress in polymorphemic words may be affected by syllable weight. In (82a), secondary stress falls on the diphthong ai, because this is a heavy syllable, and the primary stress shifts from the penultimate syllable he to the syllable ne (-re is not included in the distribution of stress since it is a suffix).
(82) iraihenere [i.,diai.he'ne.re] 'what was told' talk-TRS-NMLZ

In this work, I will not analyze stress in terms of foot types. Silva (2013) presents an accounting of foot types, saying that Paresi has an iambic stress pattern (where the
rightmost syllable in the feet gets the stress). One of the examples he provides as of the iambic pattern is (83). In (83)b, the stress shifts from the penultimate syllable $f i$ to the suffix -tya 'TH' when - $t i$ 'UNPOSS' is attached. This pattern is different from the one seen with nouns when $-t i$ is attached. I cannot confirm that the suffix -tya in verbs is included in the distribution of stress or not, because I do not have examples of -tya with verbs in carrier sentences. Future research is needed to investigate whether stress in Paresi is better analyzed as trochaic or iambic, and whether there are differences in the stress patterns of nouns and verbs, as seen in English and Nanti (Michael, 2008).
a. fitya $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ 'fi. $\left.\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}\right)$ ] 'he planted'
b. fitya-ti [(fi.'tia).ti] '(something) planted' plant-UNPOSS

### 2.6 Morphophonemics

This section shows phonological processes that only occur only at morpheme boundaries. These processes are: vowel harmony, vowel assimilation, vowel raising, vowel deletion, [j] insertion, palatalization, and depalatalization.

### 2.6.1 Vowel harmony $/ \mathrm{e} / \rightarrow / \mathbf{i} /$

There is alternation $/ \mathrm{e} / \rightarrow / \mathrm{i} /$. There are two contexts in which the process is applied: i) when suffixes with /i/ are attached to roots or suffixes ending with /e/, and ii) when the proclitic $e=' 3 \mathrm{sg}$ ' attaches to roots beginning with /i/ or a palatalized consonant.

In the first context, the vowel harmony occurs when the vowel/e/ at the end of noun roots or suffixes changes to [i] after the following suffixes are attached: - $t i$ 'UNPOSS' ${ }^{14}$, $-h i$ 'CLF:long', $-r i$ 'CLF:round', and $-r i$ 'POSSED'. In (84), there are examples with noun roots. In (85), /e/ in the suffix -hare 'MASC' changes to [i] when

[^9]followed by the suffix $-t i .^{15}$
/e/-> [i]
a. /kahe-ti/ [kahiti] 'hand'
hand-UNPOSS
b. /kahe-hi/ [kahihi] 'finger'
hand-CLF:long.slender
c. /toto-ne-ri/ [tutuniri] 'nipple'
breast-POSSED-CLF:round
d. /no=tiolohe-ri/ [notiolohidi] 'my cassava flour'

1sg=cassava.flour-POSSED
(85)

```
/initio-hare-ti/ [initiohaliti] 'elder'
    elder-MASC-UNPOSS
```

The other context where the vowel harmony occurs is when the proclitic $e=' 3 \mathrm{sg}^{\prime 16}$ is attached to noun roots with a vowel [i] or a palatalized consonant, as seen in the examples below. In (86c), the vowel /e/ changes to [i] before the palatalized consonant [ti].
a. /e=hino/ [ihinu] 'his neck'
$3 \mathrm{sg}=$ neck
b. $/ \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{kit} \mathrm{fi} / \quad$ [ikitfi] 'his foot'
$3 \mathrm{sg}=$ foot
c. /e=tiako/ [itiako] 'his stomach'
$3 \mathrm{sg}=$ stomach

According to Silva (2009: 88), vowel harmony is a phonological process that does

[^10]not occur only at morpheme boundaries. He says there are no stems where a syllable with [e] is followed by a syllable with [i]. However, I found a few examples of stems showing this environment in (87). Therefore, this process is morphophonological.
/eteti/ 'flesh'
/katsehi/ 'calf'

### 2.6.2 Vowel assimilation

Vowel assimilation occurs regressively and progressively at the morpheme boundaries, and it is not limited to the syllable immediately before the conditioning vowel. [ o ] changes to $[\mathrm{u}]$ in two contexts: i ) in a root when proclitics or suffixes with [i] are attached to them, and ii) in a proclitic, when a root begins with [i]. Examples (88) and (89) are regressive assimilation, while is progressive assimilation. In (88a), the root has a [ o ] in the syllable lo when the enclitic ene is attached, but it changes to [u] when -ita is attached, as in (88b). In (89a), the root has [o] in the syllable $k o$ when the suffix -re is attached, and it changes to [u] when $-t i$ is attached, as in (89b). In (90b), the proclitic $h i=$ triggers vowel assimilation in the two following syllables with the vowel [o].
a. /ha=nolok=ene/ [hanolokene] 'you pulled it'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ pull $=3 \mathrm{O}$
b. /ha=nolok-ita/ [hanulukita] 'you are pulling'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ pull-IFV
a. /e-tiakore/ [etiakore] 'his liver'

3sg=liver
b. /tiakore-ti/ [tiakuliti] 'liver'
liver-UNPOSS
(90)
a. $/ n=$ kolotia/ [nakolo'tia] 'I am fat'
$1 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{be} . \mathrm{fat}$
b. /hi=kolotia/ [hikjulu'tia] 'you are fat'

2s-be.fat

The vowel $[\mathrm{o}]$ in the proclitic $n o=$ ' 1 sg ' changes to $[\mathrm{u}]$ when it precedes a syllable with [i]:
$/$ no $=\mathrm{t}$ fijete/ [nutfijete] 'my grandson'
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ grandson

### 2.6.3 Vowel raising: /a/ $\rightarrow$ [e]/[i]

The vowel $/ \mathrm{a} /$ at the end of verb roots and of the classifier $-z a$ changes to [ e ] when suffixes with the vowel [e] or [i] are attached to the roots.
(92) shows examples of vowel raising when verb suffixes, such as the completive -heta, the transitional -hena, the nominalizers -re and -ne, are attached immediately to the verb roots:
(92)
a. /hi=tsema-hena/ [hitsemehene] 'hear!'

2sg=hear-TRS
b. /n=ija-heta/ [ijeheta] 'I caught'

1sg=catch-PERF
c. /tiaone-hete-hena/ [tiaonehetehene] 'kill!'

2sg=kill-TRS
d. /watia-re/ [watere] 'the one who is hot' hot-NMLZ
e. /wija-ne/ [wijene] 'something that is sweet' be.sweet-NMLZ

In (93), the last -hena suffix influences the preceding suffix -ita, which changes to -ite. On the other hand, the first occurrence of -hena closer to the root neither is affected by the last -hena (showing that the regressive assimilation does not influence more than one preceding syllable), nor it influences the root hikoa because of the diphthong /oa/.
/hikoa-hena-ha-ita-hena-ha/
[hikoahenahitehenaha] 'they were arriving' show.up-TRS-PL-IFV-TRS-PL

The class of transitive verbs which take the thematic suffixes -tya or -ka and the verb kera seem to be exceptions to the vowel raising process seen above. However, instead of affirming that these transitive verbs are exceptions, one can hypothesize that the thematic suffixes undergo vowel raising, and then they are dropped. For example, the transitive verb mala 'pull off' occurs with the thematic suffix - $k a$ in (94a). In (94b) the thematic suffix -ka may undergo vowel raising to $-k e$, and then is dropped (it cannot cooccur with -hena), explaining why [a] in mala does not change to [e].
a. /mala-ka-h=ene/ [malakahene] 'they pulled it off' pull.off-TH-PL=3O
b. /mala-ka-hena-h-it=ene/ [malahenahitene] 'they willl pull it off' pull.off-TH-TRS-PL-IFV=3O

The verb kera 'burn' can be intransitive or transitive. When in the transitional aspect, the transitive form of this verb does not undergo vowel raising, while the intransitive form does, as seen in (95). This apparent exception can be explained based on analogy to other transitive verbs which take thematic suffixes and do not undergo vowel raising.
a. /hi=kera-hena/ [hikjerahena] 'you are going to burn (something)'

2sg=burn-TRS
b./kera-hena/ [kerehena] 'it is going to burn' burn-TRS

The classifier -za (incorporated in the verb) is also influenced by the nominalizer -re as in (96)a. Suffixes with the vowel /i/, such as $-h i$ 'CLF:long', also influence the change /a/ to [e], as seen in (96b).
a. /katiala- $\theta$ a-re/
[katialaðere] 'mush'
sour-CLF:liq-NMLZ
b. /watia-hi-ri/ [watehidi] 'he is sweating'
be.hot-CLF:long-CLF:round

In addition, vowel raising is a process which only occurs with verbs, not with nouns. The suffix -ne 'POSSED' does not influence the preceding /a/ vowels in the following examples:
a. /hi=tfiriba-ne/ [hitfidibiane] 'your skirt'

$$
2 \mathrm{sg}=\text { skirt-POSSED }
$$

b. /en=a-wena-ne/ [enawenane] 'his life'

3sg=?-life-POSSED

Only suffixes can trigger vowel raising. Classifiers ending in /e/, such as he 'CLF:powder' and tse 'CLF:small', do not trigger assimilation when incorporated into verbs:
/n=ime日a-tse-tia/ [nimieðatsetia] 'I gathered seeds (or small things)'
1sg=gather-CLF:small-TH

### 2.6.4 Vowel deletion

Vowel deletion can occur in three contexts: i) when suffixes are attached to verb roots ending in $/ \mathrm{a} /$, ii) when proclitics are attached to verb roots beginning with vowels, and iii) when the first vowel of a second position clitic coincides with the final vowel of the preceding word.

The verb suffixes -ita 'IFV', -ene '3O', and -i 'CAUS', which end in a vowel, lead to the deletion of the final vowel of verb roots or suffixes ending in /a/, as illustrated in (99). In (99c), we see that the final vowel of the suffix -ha 'PL' is dropped when the suffix is followed by one of the suffixes seen above.

| a. /n=tsema-ita/ | [natsemita] 'I am listening' |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1sg=hear-IFV |  |
| b. /no=tera-ene/ | [noterene] 'I drank it' |
| 1sg=drink-3O |  |
| c. / $\varnothing$-ata-hena-ha-ita-hena-ha/ | [aðehenahitehenaha] 'they asked' |
| $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ ask-TRS-PL-IFV-TRS | S-PL |

The same process is applied to personal proclitics, which lose their vowels when attached to vowel initial roots:
a. $/$ no $=$ irai-ita/ [nidjaita] 'I talked'
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ talk-IFV
b. $/$ na $=$ aiko- $\mathrm{t}^{\text {tia/ }} \quad$ [naikjotia] 'I cut'
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ cut-TH

The process does not affect diphthongs, except in the verb tyoa 'come'. The last vowel of the diphthong is dropped when followed by the transitional -hena.
a. /hi=tioa-hena/
[hitsohena] 'come here!'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ come-TRS

Another type of vowel deletion occurs with second position clitics. Clitics such as ite 'FUT', ala 'FOC', have their initial vowel dropped if the preceding word begins with the same vowel.
a. /makani ite/ [makanite] 'tomorrow'
b. /wija ala/ [wijala] 'let's go'

### 2.6.5 [i] insertion

[i] insertion occurs in a few words before / $/ \mathbf{/}$ when suffixes are attached to noun or verb roots. [i] is added to trigger the change /f/ to [d], and avoid the sequence ri. (103)
a. / era -ita/
[ $\theta$ eidita] 'he is singing'
sing-IFV
b. $/ \mathrm{no}=$ ketse-ri/ [noketseidi] 'my knife'
1sg=knife-POSSED

In (103a), the final vowel [a] of the stem $/ \theta$ era/ is dropped when the suffix -ita is attached (as seen in section 2.6.4 ). Then in order to avoid the sequence $r i$ in [ $\theta$ erita], there is an insertion of the vowel [i] before $/ \mathrm{r} /$, and the root $/ \theta \mathrm{er} /$ becomes [ $\theta \mathrm{eid}$ ] (/r/ becomes [d] in the environment i_i).
a. $/ n o=\theta e r a-i t a /$
[noðeidita] 'I am singing'
1sg=sing-IFV
b. $/ \mathrm{no}=$ =tera-ita/
[noteidita] 'I am drinking' 1sg=drink-IFV

### 2.6.6 $\mathrm{w}>\mathrm{j}$ change

The example below is the only example where the glide $/ \mathrm{w} /$ changes to [j]. In (108), it is expected that/w/ would become a palatalized consonant [ $w^{\mathrm{j}}$ ], as in [hiwieije]. However, the output is [j] instead of [wij.
a. /hi=waija/ [hijeije] 'you saw'

2 s -see

### 2.6.7 Dissimilation: /t $\mathrm{t} /$ and $/ \mathbf{t} / \rightarrow / \mathbf{t s} /$

There is a neutralization of the phonemes $/ \mathrm{t} /$, / $\mathrm{t} / /$ and $/ \mathrm{ts} /$. The neutralization occurs when a proclitic with the vowel/i/ is attached to verb roots beginning with $/ \mathrm{t} /$ or $/ \mathrm{t} / .{ }^{17}$ The phoneme / $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{changes}$ to /ts/ in the environment preceded by a proclitic with /i/ and followed by [a] or [o], while /t/ changes to /ts/ in the environment preceded by a proclitic with [i] and followed by [e].

According to Kochetov (2011), the existence of a process that would produce the reverse effect of place-changing palatalization, such as $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{to} / \mathrm{ts} /$, is very rare. This type of palatalization is also very rare in Paresi.
(106) [ti $]$--> $[t \mathrm{ts}] / \mathrm{i} \_\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{a}$
a. /hi=tiaona/ [hitsaune] 'You became'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ become
b. /hi=tioa/ [hitsoa] 'you came'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ come
c. $/$ hi=tioma/ [hitsume] 'you made'

2sg=make
d. /hi=tioka/ [hitsuke] 'you sat'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{sit}$

[^11]The examples below are the only instances of /t/ changing to /ts/ in my corpus. In (107b) and (107b), - $t$ may be analyzed as a morpheme and not as part of the verb root because causative constructions do not exhibit this morpheme. $-t$ has also a very restricted occurrence (i.e. only in these words).
(107) /t/-->[ts]/i_e
a. /hi=temaka-hena/
[hitsemahene] 'Sleep!'
2sg=sleep-TRS
b. /hi=t-era-hena/
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ ?-drink-TRS $\quad[$ hitserehene ] 'drink!
c. $/ \mathrm{hi}=\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{ekoa} / \mathrm{Chitsek} \mathrm{a}]$ 'you ran away'
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ ?-escape

### 2.7 Orthographic conventions

The working and practical orthographies are shown in Tables 9 and 10. In this dissertation, I will use the working orthography proposed here, based on my phonological analysis. There are two practical orthographies used in the Paresi schools. One orthography was proposed by Rowan \& Burgess (1969), and it is used in the Nova Esperança community and in other communities in the same area. However, the communities in the other areas did not accepted this orthography. The other orthography was developed by two Paresi teachers Rony Paresi and Angelo Kezomae (2011) in the Rio Verde community (shown in Tables 9 and 10). As seen in Tables 10 and 11, it is an orthography based on the phonetic analysis, where the allophones are also represented.

Table 10: Paresi vowels in the working and practical orthographies

| Phoneme (or Allophone) | Working Orthography | Practical <br> Orthography (Paresi \& Januario, 2011) | Practical Orthography (Rowan, 2001) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /a/ | a | a | a |
| /e/ | e | e | e |
| /i/ | 1 | i | 1 |
| /o/ | o | o | o |
| [u] | o | u | o |

Table 11: Paresi consonants in the working and practical orthographies

| Phoneme (or Allophone) | Working Orthography | Practical Orthography (Paresi \& Januario, 2011) | Practical Orthography (Rowan, 2001) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /b/ | b | b | b |
| /t/ | t | t | t |
| /ti/ | ty | ty | ty |
| /k/ | k | k | k |
| /m/ | m | m | m |
| /n/ | n | n | n |
| /f/ | r | r | r |
| /1/ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $/ \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}}$ | ly | ly | 1 |
| /f/ | f | f | f |
| /8/ | z | z | z |
| / $/$ / | x | j | x |
| /h/ | h | h | h |
| /ts/ | ts | ts | s |
| / $\mathrm{t} /$ | tx | tx | j |
| /w/ | w | w | w |
| /j/ | y | y | y |
| [bi] | b | by | b |
| [ $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{i}}$ ] | k | ky | k |
| [mi] | m | my | m |
| [n] | n | ny | n |
| [di] | r | dy | r |
| [d] | r | d | r |

The linguistic efforts of the researcher to solve some of the issues related to the orthographic representation are not advanced enough as to have a practical orthography
for all the communities. There are some political aspects in the process that allowed the researcher to only train teachers, so that they can have a better idea of how to write their language. Unfortunately, each community wants to have their dialect written in the way they speak it, and there is no agreement between the communities about the subject. Therefore, there are a number of challenges, but more work on this will be done in future research.

In addition, there is another working orthography proposed by Silva (2009) based on his phonological analysis. In this orthography, the sounds [ i$]$, $[\mathrm{J}]$, and $[\mathrm{t}]$ ] are not represented orthographically because they are not considered to be phonemes in his analysis, and $<\mathrm{l}\rangle,\langle\mathrm{z}\rangle,\langle\mathrm{ts}\rangle$ are written instead.

## Chapter 3 - Morpheme categories and closed word classes

### 3.0 Introduction

This chapter presents types of morphemes and closed word classes in Paresi. It is organized into the following sections: §3.1, morpheme categories, $\S 3.2$ pronouns, $\S 3.3$ demonstratives, $\S 3.4$ indefinites, $\S 3.5$ quantification, $\S 3.6$ postpositions, $\S 3.7$ adjectives and adverbs, $\S 3.8$ interjections and ideophones.

### 3.1 Types of morphemes

Paresi is a relatively polysynthetic and agglutinative language, that is, its words consist of several morphemes with clear-cut boundaries (no fused formatives). It is also worth noting that these morphemes have some allomorphic variation. In the next sections, I will describe the morphophonological criteria for distinguishing words, affixes, clitics, and particles.

### 3.1.1 Definition of word

A phonological word in Paresi is defined according to the following features: pause phenomena, stress, and phonotactic constraints.
A) pause phenomena

Word boundaries may be marked by a pause. Dixon (2003) notes that the pause phenomena are not sufficient to demarcate all phonological words in a language, and this is the case with Paresi.
B) stress

Primary stress is a relevant cue to identify a word boundary. Phonological words in Paresi have a primary stress in the penultimate syllable. Polymorphemic words can have additional secondary stresses. In general, phonological words coincide with grammatical words. However, stress assignment shows an incongruity between grammatical and phonological words in compounds (see §4.4).
C) phonotactic constraints

Some of the phonotactic constraints discussed in §2.1.4 (e.g. [1] cannot occur at the beginning of a word, $[r]$ is rare word-initially, etc) and morphophonemic processes seen in 2.6 , such as vowel reduction (which occurs only in word-final positions) help to define the boundaries of the phonological word.

### 3.1.2 Affixes

Affixes can precede (prefixes) or follow a root (suffixes). There are 4 prefixes and approximately 14 suffixes. The prefixes are shown in Table 12:

Table 12: Prefixes in Paresi

| Form | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| a- | causativizer |
| ka- | attributive |
| ma- | negative |
| z- | nominalizer |

All prefixes occur with verbs, but $k a$ - and $m a$ - can also occur with nouns to derive stative predicates. There are five nominal suffixes, including morphemes for possession and plural, as shown in Table 12. The possession markers occur before the plural marker.

Table 13: Nominal suffixes

| Form | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| -ne/-ra/-za | possessed |
| -ti | unpossessed |
| -nae | plural |

Table 14 shows verbal suffixes including morphemes for aspect, verbal number, and valency-changing morphemes.

Table 14: Verbal suffixes in Paresi

| Suffix | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| -ita | progressive |
| -hena | transitional |
| -heta | completive |
| -ka | thematic suffix |
| -tya | thematic suffix |
| -kakoa | reciprocal |
| -i/-ki | causative |
| -(ty)oa | intransitivizer, reflexive |
| -wi | reflexive |
| -ore | emphatic |
| -ha | plural |

In general suffixes are monosyllabic except for some of the aspectual markers, and the reciprocal. The transitional suffix -hena can occur more than once in a word (but not contiguously) to indicate the iteration of an action (see more discussion in §6.3).
(1) hikoahenahitehenaha
$\varnothing=$ hikoa -hena -ha -ita -hena -ha 3sg come.out TRS PL IFV TRS PL
'They kept coming and coming.' (ximatyati)

### 3.1.3 Clitics

Clitics are grammatical words which are not complete phonological words (Dixon and Aikhenvald, 2002). The following properties of clitics defined in Zwicky and Pullum (1983) are used here to distinguish clitics from affixes in Paresi: i) clitics have low degree of selection with respect to their hosts, and ii) clitics but not affixes, can be attached to material already containing clitics. There are two types of clitics in Paresi: personal and clausal enclitics.

Person markers exhibit some properties of clitics: they have phonetic boundedness with their host (meaning they cannot receive stress) and they are in a external position relative to prefixes, which appear between the stem and the person marker. They also show variation in the type of hosts they can attach to: nouns, verbs, postpositions, numerals, quantifiers, and a few adverbs.

In Table 15, I present the personal clitics in Paresi. There are two types of proclitics, set A and set B (see discussion in §3.2.1). Personal proclitics from set A are used with nouns (to indicate the possessor) and with postpositions. Set A proclitics also occurs with a few non-agentive verbs, but with one difference: the third person singular is unmarked on verbs, but is marked by $e=/ i=$ on nouns and postpositions. Set B occurs with agentive verbs. The third-person object marker =ene is the only object used by verbs of both sets.

Table 15: Person/number clitics in Paresi

| Set A | Set B | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{no}=$ | $\mathrm{na}=$ | 1 sg |
| $\mathrm{hi}=$ | $\mathrm{ha}=$ | 2 sg |
| $\mathrm{e}=$ (nouns/postpositions) $/ \varnothing=$ | $\varnothing=$ | 3 sg |
| $\mathrm{wi}=$ | $\mathrm{wa}=$ | 1 pl |
| $\mathrm{xi}=$ | $\mathrm{za}=$ | 2 pl |
| $=$ ene |  | 3 O |

Other clitics in Paresi are clausal enclitics. They are illustrated in Table 16.

Table 16: Clausal enclitics in Paresi

| Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| $=(i) y a$ | irrealis |
| $=(i) t e$ | future |
| $=(a) l a$ | focalizer |
| $=(a)$ tyo | topicalizer |
| $=$ ene | past |

Clausal enclitics are unstressed morphemes, which occur in the second position. As such, they can be attached to different word classes (nouns, verbs, adverbs, particles).
In (13), there is an example of the enclitic ite 'FUT' attached to an adverb.
(2) Makanika ite xiyane ama? makani -ka $=$ ite $\mathrm{xi}=$ yane mama tomorrow ? =FUT 2pl go mom
'Will you all go tomorrow, mom?' (Batsaji iraiti)

In addition to the forms in Table 16, which are disyllabic, enclitics have reduced forms: =ya 'IRR', =te 'FUT', = la 'FOC', =tyo 'TOP'. The initial vowel of enclitics is dropped when it is similar to the final vowel of the host, as seen in (14). This morphophonological process of like vowel elision only occurs with clitics.
(3) Lelite awitsa naza, Leli yatyatyo akota hoka Leli =te awitsa n= aza Leli =ya =tya =tyo ako -ta hoka PN =FUT soon 1sg ask PN =IRR? =TOP LOC EMPH CON waiyehena ekakoa
waiye -hena $\mathrm{e}=$ kakoa
see TRS 3sg COM
'I will ask Leli later, if she can stay; I can leave the children with her' (Iraeti Batsaji)

In (14), the enclitics $=y a,=t y a$ and =tyo pile up. It is possible to combine up to three enclitics. The irrealis enclitic is always closer to the stem when in combination with discourse enclitics such as ala and atyo while the future enclitic is in the outmost position. The future and irrealis enclitics cannot occur together.

### 3.1.4 Particles

Particles differ from clitics with regards to their integration with the word and their position. These forms are phonologically independent morphemes which have their own stress, whereas clitics are unstressed. They do not take nominal or verbal morphology, and their functions are associated with modality, interrogation, negation, subordination, and discourse. In contrast to the clitics, which appear in second position, particles can occur in clause-initial position (in the case of modal and discourse particles), before the verb (negative and interrogative particles) or in clause-final position (subordinate particles). The particles hoka and hiyeta can be used as connectors or discourse markers. The different types of particles will be discussed in later sections: modals in 6.4 , interrogative zoana in 7.4 , negatives in 7.6 , and subordinate and connector particles in 8.2. In Table 17, I provide a list of particles described in this work.

Table 17: Particles

| Form | Function |
| :---: | :---: |
| hatyaotseta | connector |
| hiyeta | connector |
| maheta | connector |
| hoka | connector |
| ezahe | connector |
| katsani | desiderative |
| zakore | frustrative |
| motya | frustrative |
| zamani | dubitative |
| kala | dubitative |
| maiha | negative |
| xini | negative |
| awa | negative |
| zoana | interrogative |

### 3.2 Pronouns

### 3.2.1 Personal clitics

In this section, I describe the person clitics that are associated with the free forms. The forms of the person clitics are represented in Table 18:

Table 18: Personal proclitics and number

| Person | Set A |  | Set B |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Singular | Plural $^{18}$ | Singular | Plural |
| 1 | $\mathrm{na}=$ | $\mathrm{wa}=$ | $\mathrm{no}=$ | $\mathrm{wi}=$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{ha}=$ | $\mathrm{za}=$ | $\mathrm{hi}=$ | $\mathrm{xi}=$ |
| 3 | $\varnothing=$ | $\varnothing=$ | $\varnothing=($ verbs $)$, <br> $\mathrm{e}=$ (nouns) | $\varnothing=($ verbs $)$, <br> $\mathrm{e}=$ (nouns) |

The distribution of the two sets of subject pronominal markers, sets $A$ and $B$, is determined by semantics of the verb. Agentive verbs take set A markers, while nonagentive verbs take set $B$ for subject marking (see chapter 5); nouns and postpositions take set B for possessor marking (§5.2). The only difference in marking subject and possessor is in the third person, where verbs have zero realization (4), but nouns (5), and postpositions (6) have $e=$ (or its allomorphs).
(4) $\emptyset=$ zaneheta

Ø= zane
3sg go
'He went away.' (E)
(5) etsiri
$\mathrm{e}=$ tsiri
3sg head
'his head.' (E)

[^12](6) ekakoa
e= kakoa
3sg COM
'With him/her.' (E)

The proclitics have allomorphs where the last vowel of the proclitic is dropped (in first and second persons) or a consonant $/ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{is}$ inserted (in third person for nouns) when attached to roots starting with vowels. Then, because the allomorphs for both sets are the same, it is not possible to classify verbs starting with vowels into one of the sets A or B according to the type of proclitics they receive. In Table 19, the allomorphs with vowelinitial roots are shown.

In the first and second persons the clitics vowel is deleted (§2.6.4). In the third person for nouns, the form $e=$ alternates with $i=$ due to vowel harmony. $e=$ has the allomorphes en $=$ and ene $=.{ }^{19}$

Table 19: Allomorphy in proclitics

|  | Singular |  | Plural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | consonant-initial roots | vowel-initial roots | consonantinitial roots | vowel-initial roots |
| 1 | no=/na= | $\mathrm{n}=$ | wi=/wa= | w= |
| 2 | $\mathrm{hi}=/ \mathrm{ha}=$ | $\mathrm{h}=$ | $\mathrm{xi}=/ \mathrm{za}=$ | $\mathrm{x}=$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{e}=\sim \mathrm{i}=\text { (nouns) }) \\ & \emptyset= \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { en=~ene= } \sim \text { ini }=(\text { nouns }) / \\ & \emptyset= \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{e}=\sim \mathrm{i}=\text { (nouns }) / \\ & \emptyset=\ldots-\mathrm{ha} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { en=~ene } \sim \text { in }=\sim \text { ini }= \\ & \text { (nouns), } / \varnothing=\ldots \text {-ha } \end{aligned}$ |
| anap horic | ha= (nouns) | $\mathrm{h}=$ (nouns) | --- | --- |

The enclitic =ene '3O' is the only pronominal form marking an object. ${ }^{20}$ Proclitics
19 The allomorph ene $=$ occurs with a few nouns.
${ }^{20}$ Silva (2013: 97) has the form $e=$ for third person subject and $=<n>e$ for third person object. In the object third person an $n$ is inserted. He affirms $n$-insertion is a regular process seen also with the third person subject $e=$, which changes to $e n=/ e n e=$ in vowel-initial roots. Another analysis presented in Rowan \& Burgess (1969) considers the third person subject and object to be derived from different forms, $e$ and ene, respectively. A third analysis considers the original form for third person to be ene, and that there was deletion in the third person subject of consonant-initial roots. Data from other Arawak languages in Aikhenvald (1999) show that a form ni for third person object is found in most of
are obligatory on verbs and they can be used for subject cross-reference (7). The enclitic for the third person object is not used for cross-reference, therefore a noun phrase cannot co-occur with it, as seen in (8).
(7) (natyo) nokanakairene natyo no= ka- nakaira =ene 1 sg 1 sg ATTR food 30 'I ate it.' (E)
(8) nokanakairene (*kohatse)
no= ka- nakaira $=$ ene kohatse
1 sg ATTR food 30 fish
'I ate it (the fish).' (E)

Proclitics occur with non-verbal predicates, on nouns and adjectives or with the copula tyaona.

### 3.2.2 The anaphoric $\boldsymbol{h a}=$

The proclitic $h a={ }^{21}$ expresses a correference between the third person possessor and the subject of the clause. It has an endophoric reference, i.e, an expression in the same sentence or previous sentences (Bhat, 2004). Examples (9) and (10) from the same text show that the antecedent of $h a=$ in haiyanene 'her husband' is abebe 'grandmother', which is mentioned in a previous sentence.
(9) abebe $_{i}$ ene tema ala, zoare? maha kaolone wityoka hoka
abebe ene tema ala zoare maha kaolone w=ityoka hoka grandmother PST run FOC what honey ? 1 pl cut, cut down CON nakawitxita
na= kawitx -ita
1sg shout IFV
'My deceased grandmother ran, (and she asked): what (happened)? "We cut kaolone
honey", I shouted.' (JT nawenane)

[^13](10) Hatyaotseta haiyanene ene kohone $\quad \varnothing=$ kolatya hatyo
hatyaotseta $\mathbf{h a _ { i }}=$ iyanene ene koho -ne $\quad \varnothing=$ kolatya hatyo
then 3sg husband PST basket POSSED 3sg take 3sg
xikako temazaheta, $\quad$ = zane. Wiyane xikako tema -za -heta $\varnothing=$ zane wi= yane period, moment run ? PERF 3sg go 1 pl go wahikoaheta hoka $\varnothing=$ fetatyaha wa= hikoa -heta hoka $\varnothing=$ fetatya -ha
1pl come.out, show.up PERF CON 3sg bless PL
'Then my grandmother's husband took a basket, ran, and went away. Let's arrive and make an offer.' (JT nawenane)

The examples below from the same text, a narration of the events of Frog, where are you? (Mayer, 1969), illustrate the use of the anaphoric proclitic $h a=$ and the third person $e=$. In (11), $h a=$ is used to make cross-reference between the possessor of the dog and the subject of the clause Dirizonae.

| Dirizolitsetxoala |  |  | fihi | Ø=txiya |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dirizonae ${ }_{\text {i }}$-li | -tse | -txoa $=1 \mathrm{l}$ | fihi | $\emptyset=$ txiya |
| PN CLF:round | CLF:small | big =FOC | straight | 3sg pass |
| hakatxoloza | kakoa |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{h} \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ katxolo -za | kakoa |  |  |  |
| 3sg dog POSSED | COM |  |  |  |
| 'Dirizonae went straigh | with his | (own) dog.' (D | rizonae) |  |

If there are two possible antecedents in the same clause, the antecedent must be the subject. The possessor of zotawa 'horn', the form $h a=$, can only have, as its antecedent, the subject of the clause azama 'deer', and not the oblique argument expressed by $e=$.
(12) $\mathbf{a z a m a}_{\mathbf{i}}$ ala tekoa ekakoa hazotawa heno
azama ala tekoa $\mathrm{e}_{*_{i j j}}=$ kakoa $\mathbf{h a}_{\mathrm{i}^{\prime} / \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}}}=$ zotawa heno
deer FOC run away 3 sg COM 3sg horn above, on.the.top
Ø=mokene hoka
$\emptyset_{i^{1 / * j}}=$ moka $=$ ene hoka
3sg put 30 CON
'The deer ${ }_{i}$ ran away with $\operatorname{him}_{\mathrm{j}}$, he $_{\mathrm{i}^{i}{ }_{\mathrm{j}}}$ put him $_{*_{i j}}$ [Dirizonae] on his $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i} *_{\mathrm{j}}}$ antlers.'

## (Dirizonae)

The following sentence, from the same text, shows the use of the proclitic $e=$ instead of $h a=$. The possessor of the dog is not the subject of this sentence (it is the subject of the previous sentence).
(13) hoka ekatxolozahokotxoala
hoka $\mathbf{e}_{*_{i j}}=$ katxolo -za -hoko -txoa =la $\quad \mathrm{e}=$ hakakoa -ne -ta
CON 3sg dog POSSED CLF:circled big =FOC 3sg similar POSSED ?
Ø=temita
Ø= tem -ita
3 sg run IFV
'Then his [= the boy's] dog was running as well.' (Dirizonae)

### 3.2.3 Personal pronouns

The Paresi full pronouns are shown in Table 20. Free forms correspond to bound pronouns plus the form atyo (reduced to tyo, with an allomorph tso). ${ }^{22}$ The third person has the same form as the demonstratives hatyo and eze, which modify nouns (see §3.3). The other personal pronouns cannot modify nouns. Interestingly, hatyo is similar to other pronouns because it also corresponds to a bound form, the proclitic $h a=$ 'anaphoric third person'. Cross-linguistically it is not uncommon for the third person to be either identical to demonstrative pronouns or derivationally related to them (Bhat, 2004:132).

Table 20: Personal pronouns

| Person | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | natyo | witso |
| 2 | hitso | xitso |
| 3 | hatyo, eze | hatyonae, ezenae |

Silva (2013: 183; 194) considers hatyo to be a demonstrative distinct from personal pronouns. He supports his analysis with the following arguments: i) hatyo takes

[^14]-nae 'PL' with a plural or associative meaning, not for emphasis like pronouns, ii) it can co-occur with other demonstratives, and iii) it can take the comitative -kakoa. I have not found strong evidence for considering it to be different from other pronouns. -nae has the plural/associative meaning with the third person and not other persons (where it is used for emphasis) because in the other persons the plural is lexicalized. I have also not found examples of hatyo or eze co-occurring as demonstratives with other demonstratives in my corpus. Furthermore, it is not clear whether pronouns may occur with the postposition kakoa 'comitative' similar to hatyo, as in (14). There are few examples of this construction in my corpus (they often use the proclitic and the comitative), as seen in (15).
(14) hatyonae kakoa ali witso hoka witsaonita hatyo -nae =kakoa ali witso hoka wi= tyaona -ita 3sg PL COM here 1 pl CON 1 pl live IFV 'We came with them to live here.' (Cabeceira do Osso)
(15) zoaneretya hoka xitsokakoa zakarekarehalotyakakaoita zoana -re -tya hoka xitso -kakoa wi= karekare -halo -tya -kakoa -ita what NMLZ FOC? CON 2pl COM 1pl gossip? FEM TH RECIP IFV 'Why do you all gossip about each other .' (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 2)

Free pronouns marking subject are optional with verbs, and they can co-occur with a coreferential proclitic for emphasis, (16) and (17), for contrastive focus (18), and with the topicalizer atyo (19). For emphasis, in general they occur with the emphasis marker -ta.
(16) Q: Maiha iyakatyo hiwawa hitso hiyaneta?
maiha =iya -ka =tyo hi= wawa hitso hi= yane -ta
NEG $=I R R$ TH $=$ TOP 2 sg alone you 2 sg go IFV
'Will you not be able to go alone?'
A: natyo nozaniya
natyo no= zani $=y a$
$1 \mathrm{sg} \quad 1 \mathrm{sg}$ go $=I R R$
'I can go.' (Batsaji iraiti)
(17) nonityohalititsehenatyo
hoka natyota nonakairi
no = nityohaliti -tse -hena =tyo hoka natyo -ta no= nakairi
1sg old.person CLF:small TRS =TOP CON 1sg EMPH 1sg food
natawehetehena
na= tawe -hete -hena
1sg look.for PERF TRS
'I was almost an adult, and I already was looking for food myself.' (JT nawenane)
(18) maikatyo hityani kohone hitso hakolaheta
maika =tyo $\mathrm{h}=$ ityani koho -ne hitso ha= kola -heta
SUG $=$ TOP 2 sg son, daughter basket POSSED you 2sg take PERF
hoka hityani hahalota $\varnothing=$ tyoheta
hoka h= ityani hahalota $\varnothing=$ tyo -heta
CON 2sg son, daughter ? 3sg come PERF
'You will take your daughter's basket, and your daughter will come back with nothing.' (ketetse)
(19) hoka natyo atyo nawaiyolore rota kahare zakore
hoka natyo =atyo na= waiyo -lo -re rota kahare zakore
CON 1sg =TOP 1sg= know NMLZ NMLZ fast a.lot FRUST
maha iya kalini nopauza aezahetya hoka
maha =iya kalini no= pauza a- ezahe -tya hoka
NEG $=$ IRR now $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ pause THS more.than TH CON
nawaiyolota
na= waiyo -lo -ta
1sg= know NMLZ IFV
'I have learned a lot very fast, but now there is no point in increasing (my knowledge), because I won't learn.' (Katomo Aug nali)

In addition, free pronouns marking subject must precede the verb (as seen above), and free pronouns marking object must follow the verb. ${ }^{23}$
(20) baba $\varnothing=$ aotyaitsa natyo hoka
baba $\varnothing=$ a- otya -i -tsa natyo hoka
dad 3 sg TH remember CAUS TH 1sg CON
'Then my father taught me.' (Katomo nawenane)
(21) *baba natyo $\varnothing=$ aotyaitsa hoka
baba natyo $\varnothing=$ a- otya -i -tsa hoka
dad 1sg 3sg TH remember CAUS TH CON
${ }^{23}$ The preferred constituent order with full lexical nouns is SOV, as seen in §7.2.1.
'Then my father taught me.' (Katomo nawenane)

Free pronouns on non-verbal predicates are illustrated in affirmative sentences ${ }^{24}$ (22) and (23), and also in negative sentences with the negative focus marker xini (24).
(22) ah natyo Zomoizokae natyo
natyo Zomoizokae natyo
1sg Zomoizokae 1sg
'Ah, I am Zomoizokae.' (Katomo nawenane)
(23) natyo atyo Enomaniere
natyo atyo Enomaniere
1sg FOC Enomaniere
'I belong to the Enomaniere group.' (Formoso onetse)
(24) maiha witso xini kala zala zamani $\varnothing=$ malahetene maiha witso xini kala zala zamani $\varnothing=$ mala -heta $=$ ene NEG 1pl NEG DUB who DUB 3sg pull.off PERF 30 'It was not us, I don't know who pulled it off' (ketetse)

The use of free versus bound pronouns with nominalized verbs depends in part on the type of nominalization. For example, in a non-verbal predicate with the nominalizer -tiye, a free pronoun must be used, as in (25). In a nominalized verb form with the nominalizer -re, it is necessary to use a bound pronoun, and the free pronoun is optional, as seen in (26).
(25) natyo maotseratya-ti-ye
natyo maotseratya -ti -ye
1sg lie UNPOSS NMLZ
'I am a deceiver.' (E)
(26) (natyo) n=maotseratya-re
natyo na= maotseratya -re
1sg 1sg lie NMLZ
'I am the one who deceives.' (E)

Derivational processes applied to nouns are not applicable to pronouns. A number

[^15]distinction is lexically encoded in pronouns (except third person, see details on number in §4.2). However, the second plural person xitso with -nae 'PL' can indicate more than two addressees.

### 3.3 Demonstratives

Demonstratives are deictic expressions used to orient and focus hearers' attention on objects or locations in the speech situation, serving specific syntactic functions, and characterized by semantic features relative to a deictic center (Diessel, 1999: 2). In Diessel's typology, Paresi is a language in which the adnominal and the pronominal demonstrative forms are the same (used as independent pronouns in an noun phrase and as modifiers of a noun), and adverbial forms are distinct (as verb modifiers). There are four adnominal demonstratives and five adverbial demonstratives, as shown in Table 21. The deictic feature relative to distance is relevant for all of them, and visibility is relevant only for a few demonstratives. Some of the demonstratives also have a pragmatic function because of their anaphoric use in discourse, such as the discourse deictic demonstratives ezehare 'this thing' and hatyohare 'that thing', which are derived from adnominal demonstratives. I used Wilkins' demonstrative questionnaire (1999) to identify the uses of demonstratives in Paresi.

Table 21: Demonstratives

|  | Adnominal Demonstratives |  |  |  | Adverbial Demonstratives |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Proximal | Medial (near <br> hearer) | Distal | Non- <br> visual | Proximal | Medial | Distal |
| singular | eze | hatyo | ẽeze | etake | ali | owene, <br> ita | nali, ĩita |
| plural | ezenae | hatyonae | ẽezenae | etakenae |  |  |  |

### 3.3.1 Adnominal demonstratives

Demonstratives always precede nouns in a noun phrase. They are distinguished for number, as seen in Table 21, and they can also have a classifier attached to them. Semantically, they encode information about the location of the referent relative to a deictic center taking into account the distance and visibility. Below I show the different types of adnominal demonstratives.

### 3.3.1.1 Proximal demonstrative

The form of the proximal demonstrative is eze with its variant eye. The demonstrative eye is used when the form functions modifying a noun, and eze when functioning as a pronoun. The latter is stressed and there is a pause after it, while the former is not stressed and it is pronounced as one phonological word with the noun (29). It refers to a referent within the immediate range of the speaker. In conversation, the form is usually accompanied by a pointing gesture.
(27) eze hibaberaza?
eze hi= babera -za
this 2sg paper POSSED
'Is this your book? (the book is close to the speaker or in a distance within speaker's reach).' (E)

| (28) eze | eze | koxiye | kitxihi | etake | Celio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eze | eze | koxiye | kitxi | -hi | etake |
| Celio |  |  |  |  |  |

The demonstrative eze does not exhibit agreement in number with the noun head. In (29), the plural occurs in both appositional noun phrases, and in (30) the plural occurs in the demonstrative because it is a noun phrase head. eze can also occur with a classifier in an appositional noun phrase, as in (31).
(29) kala eyenae wahetakomaniyereharenae $\quad \varnothing=$ fitita
kala eze -nae w= ahetako maniya -yere -hare -nae $\varnothing=$ fe -tya -ita DUB this PL 2pl ? side NMLZ 2pl PL 3sg plant TH IFV haka
haka
cará
'I think these ones, our ancestors planted cará.' (Toahiyereharenae-DB)
(30) ezenae hibaberaza?
eze -nae hi= babera -za
this PL 2sg= paper POSSED
'Are these your books?' (E)
(31) ezetse naikoli $\emptyset=$ kaweta
eze -tse $\quad \mathrm{n}=$ aikoli $\varnothing=$ kawe -ta
this CLF:small 1sg tooth 3sg hurt IFV
'This one, my tooth hurts.' (E)

In addition to the exophoric use of eze with reference to entities in the speech situation, the demonstrative also has an endophoric use, referring to elements in the discourse. According to Diessel (1999), endophoric uses can be subdivided into anaphoric, discourse deictics, and recognitional uses. The anaphoric use of hatyo and the discourse deictic use of hatyohare will be described in §3.3. The demonstrative eze is used to activate specific shared knowledge not mentioned in the preceding discourse
(recognitional use). For example, (32) is part of a conversation in which people discussed getting new clothes for a party in the village. The reference to a store known by the Paresi people in that village as lojinha ('little store' in Portuguese) is introduced by eye:
(32) Eye lojinha eye lojinha waowitereako
eye lojinha eye lojinha w=aowi te -re -ako this little. store this little. store 1 pl say IFV NMLZ LOC 'It is in this little store we call lojinha.' (iraiti Batsaji)

When one of the speakers starts talking about my presence in the house (while I was sleeping on a hammock), she uses the demonstrative eye in (33), even though I was not previously mentioned in the discourse. Then someone else arrives in the house and asks her who she is talking about, and again she uses eye, and clarifies that she is talking about the non-Indian person.
(33) Alitatyo eye $\varnothing=$ tyaonita eye haloti
ali -ta =tyo eye $\varnothing=$ tyaona -ita eye haloti
here EMPH $=$ TOP this 3 sg stay IFV this person
'This one is staying here, this Paresi woman.' (iraiti Batsaji)
(34) Q: zala $\varnothing=$ nemakakaweta?
zala $\varnothing=$ nema ka kawe -ta
who 3sg sleep TH hurt IFV
'Who is sleepy?'
A: eye mahalotihalo
eye ma- haloti -halo
this NEG Paresi FEM
'This non-Indian woman' (iraiti Batsaji)

Silva (2013) describes the existence of the form eege, saying the $g$ indicates a voiced uvular obstruent. He analyzes eege as a proximate non-visual demonstrative. If that is the case, then the semantic feature of visibility is not only relevant to differentiate between the two distal forms éeze and etake, but also the proximate forms eze and eege. He also mentions the anaphoric demonstrative eetake, also not attested in my corpus. I
have not attested the forms eege and eetake in my texts, and more investigation is needed to confirm the existence of this other demonstrative. Silva concludes that the lengthening of the vowels is related to the feature [-visible]. However, the distal éeze, described below, has a long vowel and it indicates a visible referent. The description here then leads to the conclusion that the lengthening of the vowels is not related to visibility, but rather to distance.

### 3.3.1.2 Medial demonstrative: hatyo

The form hatyo 'that ${ }^{125}$ is a demonstrative that refers to a referent that is near the hearer but away from the speaker. Example shows the hearer was the deictic center.
(35) hatyo hibaberaza?
hatyo hi= babera -za
that 2sg paper POSSED
'Is that your book? (the book is in front of the addressee but not within speaker's reach).' (E)
(36) hatyo (or ẽeze) hiyerone?
hatyo ẽeze $h i=$ zero -ne that yonder 2 sg flute POSSED
'Is that your flute?' (where the referent is distant from speaker and in front of hearer) (E)

It is interesting to notice that both forms hatyo and éeze are acceptable in (36). éeze is used considering the speaker as the deictic center, while hatyo can also be used considering that the hearer is close to the referent. Therefore, Paresi has a person-oriented system.

Similar to the demonstrative eze, the demonstrative hatyo may exhibit number marking (37), and it occurs with classifiers (38) when in an appositional noun phrase .
(37) hatyonae imitinae
hatyo -nae ima -nae
that PL cloth PL
'These ones, the clothes.' (E)

[^16]| (38) hoka hatyonatse | ala | katxolo ani hiye | $\varnothing=$ kawitxita |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hoka hatyo -natse | ala | katxolo ani | hiye | $\varnothing=$ kawitx -ita |
| CON that CLF:cylindrical FOC | dog | wasp BEN | 3sg shout IFV |  |
| 'Then that one, the dog, was barking at the bee (Dirizonae) |  |  |  |  |

The demonstrative hatyo ${ }^{26}$ more frequently refers to a referent previously mentioned in the discourse. ${ }^{27}$ Diessel (1999: 98) states that when a new discourse participant is established as a topic, it is usually tracked by third person pronouns, definite articles, or pronominal affixes on the verb. On the other hand, a discourse participant previously introduced as a topic is tracked by demonstratives when it is brought to focus a second time. In the sentences below from a conversation the new information about imiti 'clothing' is introduced in (39), and after they changed the topic, it is brought to the focus of attention again in (40) by the use of hatyo.
(39) Aliako zalanihare haima inimaha $\quad$ =kikitsa ali -ako zala -ni -hare ha= ima in= ima -ha $\varnothing=$ kikitsa here LOC who NMLZ MASC 3sg cloth 3sg cloth PL 3sg separate 'Where so-and-so chose his clothes and their clothes.' (Batsaji tahi)
(40) Hatyo imitinae hitiyayatyo ezahe maiha nabakaita hoka hatyo ima -nae hitiya =ya =tyo ezahe maiha na= baka -ita hoka that cloth PL again IRR TOP CON NEG 1sg pay IFV CON nakolatya
na= kolatya
1 sg bring
'I will bring those clothes even if I do not pay.' (Batsaji tahi)

In the context as the head of an noun phrase, the demonstratives eze and hatyo have the function of third person pronouns. Similar to other personal pronouns, they occur with the emphasis marker -ta, (41) and (42), or topicalized by atyo (43).

[^17](41) Eyeta tyoa gravaita hinamakihenata tyoa eye -ta $\quad \varnothing=$ tyoa $\varnothing=$ grava $-i t a \quad$ hinama $-k i \quad$-hena $-t a \quad ~ \varnothing=$ tyoa
3sg EMPH 3sg come 3sg record IFV two time TRS EMPH 3sg come
gravaita eye niraini
$\varnothing=$ grava -ita eye $n=$ irai -ni
3sg record IFV this 1sg talk POSSED
'She came to record. Twice she came to record my speech.' (iraiti Batsaji)
(42) hatyota kore kolatya hoka zane
hatyo -ta kore $\varnothing=$ kola -tya hoka $\varnothing=$ zane
3sg EMPH arrow 3sg take TH CON 3sg go
'He took the arrow and went.' (Omati-ZK)
(43) hatyonae atyo $\varnothing=$ tyomita nomani matsene hatyo -nae atyo $\varnothing=$ tyoma -ita $n=$ om ani matsene 3sg PL TOP 3sg make IFV 1sg LK BEN field 'They prepared a field for me.' (BO nawenane)

Demonstratives as independent pronouns (head of a noun phrase) are also used in the context of copular or nonverbal predicates, as a means of identification.
(44) ezenae hitsorareze
eze -nae hi= tsorare -ze
this one PL 2sg soldier NMLZ
'These are your soldiers.' (JG nawenane)
(45) hatyonae baba mama
hatyo -nae baba mama
3sg PL dad mom
'Those are my father and my mother.' (Batsaji tahi)

The demonstratives occur with the nominalizer -hare (3.6.4) deriving the forms ezehare and hatyohare. They are discourse deictic forms that focus the hearers' attention on information expressed by a clause or sentence in the discourse. The difference between the two forms is not clear because both of them are used to track anaphoric information given in a prior clause, as seen in (46) to (48). However, ezehare can also be cataphoric, i.e, it can refer to the discourse that follows (48).
(46) zala iya ezehare irai zomana?
zala iya eze -hare irai $z=-o m$-ana
who IRR this NMLZ talk $2 p$ LK BEN
'Who is going to tell you all this thing?' (Omati-ZK)
(47) aliyakeretala hatyohare maiha zala
aliyakere -ta $=$ la hatyo -hare maiha zala
how EMPH =FOC that NMLZ NEG who
Ø=hikoareha enomana, aliyakere ala
Ø= hikoa $\quad$-re $\quad$-ha $\mathrm{e}=$-om -ana aliyakere =ala
3sg come.out, show.up NMLZ PL 3sg LK BEN how =FOC
hatyohare $\quad \varnothing=$ tsemahatiye
hatyo -hare $\quad \varnothing=$ tsema -ha -ti -ye
that NMLZ 3sg hear PL UNPOSS NMLZ
'How did they hear that thing? Nobody arrived to (tell) them, how is that?' (tolohe)
(48) hoka eaotseta atxiyakehenene Kamaehiye ana
hoka eaotseta a- txiya -ke -hen =ene Kamaehiye =ana
CON then THS pass LOC TRS 30 Kamaehiye =BEN
hetati eyehare tohiri abali
hetati eye -hare tohiri abali
in.the.old.days this NMLZ type.of.sieve type.of.sieve
Ø=tyaohetehena
$\varnothing=$ tyao -heta -hena
3sg born PERF TRS
'Then he passed it to Kamaehiye, in the old days, and tohiri and abali sieves were born. (Koho)

### 3.3.1.3 Distal demonstrative éeze

The form of the distal demonstrative is éeze. The form is different from the proximate because of the lengthening and nasalization of the first vowel. Lengthening and nasalization contrast is limited only to this form and the form iita 'distal adverbial demonstrative'. This demonstrative is used to indicate a referent far away from the speaker and the hearer, not accessible but still visible. I only found examples of éeze in elicitation.
(49) hiyaya ita ẽeze hati
$h i=$ yaya ita ẽze hati
2sg see there yonder house
'Look that house over there.' (E)
(50) ẽeze haira hihairane?

ẽeze haira hi= haira -ne
yonder ball $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ ball POSSED
'Is that ball over there yours?' (E)

### 3.3.1.4 Non-visual etake

The form of the non-visual distal is etake. It refers to a referent that is far away, not accessible to the speaker (and possibly not the hearer), and out of sight.

| eze | etake | zaheza |  | $\varnothing=$ tyaona |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eze | etake | zahe | -za | $\varnothing=$ tyaona |
| this | yonder | more than $?$ | 3 sg COP |  |

'This one is bigger than that one' (Tolohe)
(52)
etake zero $\quad \varnothing=$ waiyehare
etake zero $\varnothing=$ waiyehare
yonder flute 3sg be.beautiful
'That flute is beautiful.' (referring to a flute (not visible) behind someone, far away from both the speaker and the hearer, but the speaker knows about the object)

In addition, I have a few examples in which etake has a recognitional use, i.e., indicates the hearer is able to identify the referent based on shared knowledge (although usually additional information about the referent may be provided in a relative clause) as illustrated in (47).

| (53) eaotseore | etake | Zaloiya zekane | $\varnothing=$ nitere |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eaotse -ore | etake | Zaloiya zeka -ne | $\varnothing=$ nea -ita -re |
| ? EMPH | that | Zaloiya gift POSSED | 3sg say IFV NMLZ |
| wezene |  | nafitya |  |
| w= eze -ne | na $=$ fe |  |  |
| 1pl father POSSED | 1sg plant |  |  |
| 'I planted that gift, of Zaloiya, the one our father talked about.' (tolohe) |  |  |  |

I did not find examples in which etake is used anaphorically, as presented in Silva (2013). It is not clear in the examples in his work whether etake has an anaphoric function because he does not provide examples in which the referent was previously mentioned in the discourse. Furthermore, some of the examples he gives have recognitional uses instead of anaphoric uses. For example, in (54), etake can be used, even though the referent was not previously mentioned in the discourse, because the knowledge about the referent is shared by the speech act participants:
(54) etake awo
etake awo
that emu
'That emu.' (context: the one we saw in the savanna)

### 3.3.2 Adverbial demonstratives

There are five locational deictics, indicating the location of an event or situation. In general they occupy the first position in a clause.

### 3.3.2.1 The proximate ali 'here'

In example (55), ali is used with the noun maniya to indicate direction, similar to a demonstrative. Example (56) shows it in a non-verbal predicate, and (53) in a negative clause, where it can be negated by maiha and the negative focus particle xini.
(55) $\varnothing=$ kolatyahena baba mama ali maniya $\varnothing=$ tyoa
$\varnothing=$ kolatya - hena baba mama ali maniya $\varnothing=$ tyoa
3sg take TRS dad mom here side 3sg come
'They took my father and my mother, and they came here, to this side.' (Bacaval tahi)
(56) Q: ali kore hitso azaira?
ali kore hitso aza =ira
here DUB? 2sg my.elder.sister AFF?
'Are you here my dear sister?!'

| A: ha, | ali | natyo | kolira |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ha | ali | natyo | koli |
| AFFIR | here | 1sg | my.young.sister AFF? |
| 'Yeah, I am here my sister.' (tolohe) |  |  |  |

(57) maiha ali xini $\varnothing=$ tyaonahitaha
maiha ali xini $\varnothing=$ tyaona -h -ita -ha
NEG here NEG 3sg live PL IFV PL
'They did not live here.' (Batsaji tahi)

### 3.3.2.2 The medial owene and ita

The adverbial demonstrative owene is used to indicate a medial position between the speaker and the referent, as in examples (58) to (60).
(58) oweneta $\quad \varnothing=$ ehokotyoita
owene -ta $\quad$ = ehoko -tyoa -ita
right.here EMPH 3sg lay down MM IFV
'She is lying down right here.' (Batsaji iraiti)
(59) hoka maiha oweneze xini estrageiro $\varnothing=$ zane $\quad \varnothing=$ tyaona
hoka maiha owene -ze xini estrangeiro $\varnothing=$ zane $\varnothing=$ tyaona
CON NEG right.here NMLZ NEG foreigner 3sg go 3sg live
'It is why the foreigner does not live close by.' (toahiyereharenae)
(60) owene zoalini toli tyokahitaha
owene zoare -ni toli tyoka -h -ita -ha
right.here what NMLZ a lot sit PL IFV PL
'Right here there is something, a lot of them are sitting' (iraiti Katomo nali)

The demonstrative ita also indicates a medial position, and it is used in the same context as owene. Example (61) follows the sentence where owene occurred, and both have the same referent. The difference may be that in order to use ita the referent must be equidistant from both speaker and hearer, while in order to use owene, the referent may be close to hearer.
(61) ita tyairi kilihi
ita tyairi kili -hi
there mountain nose CLF:thin
'There on the edge of the mountain.' (iraiti Katomo nali)
(62) oloniti ita badeakore
oloniti ita bade -ako -re
chicha there bucket LOC.inside NMLZ
'The chicha is there in the bucket.' (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 1)
(63) ali hetati $\varnothing=$ tyaonehenaha ita ahoti
ali hetati $\quad \varnothing=$ tyaone -hena -ha ita aho -ti
here in.the.old.days 3sg live TRS PL there road UNPOSS
kilihi maihatyo alimaniya xini ita halakoiya
kili -hi maiha =tyo ali maniya xini ita halakoiya nose CLF:thin NEG $=$ TOP here side NEG there other.side maniyatyo maniya =tyo
side $=T O P$
'For the first time, they lived here, but not here on this side, it is there on the other side, on the roadside.' (Batsahi tahi)

### 3.3.2.3 The distal nali 'there' and the distal inta 'there'

Examples (64) and (65) illustrate the use of nali 'there' while (66) and (67) of ïta 'there'. The difference between the two distals is that iita is used when the referent is visible. ĩta has the same vowel lengthening and nasalization as the form éeze, and both forms indicate a referent far away from the speaker but visible.
(64) kala nali maniya, nali maniyala
kala nali maniya nali maniya $=1 \mathrm{a}$
DUB there side there side $=$ FOC
'I think it is there on that side, on that side.' (Bacaval tahi)
(65) kala nali witsaona $\varnothing=$ tyaonaha wikakoa
kala nali wi= tsaona $\varnothing=$ tyaona -ha wi= =kakoa
DUB there 1 pl live 3sg live $\mathrm{PL} 1 \mathrm{pl}=\mathrm{COM}$
'We lived there, they lived with us.' (Aug nawenane)
(66) hatya kinota, hakinota eze zema iyatya
hatya kino -ta ha= kino -ta eze =zema =iya -tya
IND1 tree EMPH 3sg tree EMPH this $=\mathrm{COM}=\mathrm{IRR}$ ? ĩita zema zoaha iyatya $\quad$ =gradiatya hoka ita =zema zoaha =iya -tya $\varnothing=$ gradia -tya hoka there.distal $=\mathrm{COM}$ and $=\mathrm{IRR}$ ? 3sg fence.in TH CON 'There are some trees there and he can fence it in there.' (tolohe)
iita $\quad$ hi= zero -ne there.distal 2sg flute POSSED 'Is your flute there?' (where the referent is distant from speaker and in front of hearer) (E)

### 3.4 Indefinites

### 3.4.1 Indefinite demonstratives

There are two indefinite demonstratives hatya and haiya, which function as independent pronouns and modifiers of a noun. Both indefinite forms are prehead modifiers. They can be pluralized with -nae in the two syntactic contexts in which they occur. The indefinite pronoun hatya ${ }^{28}$ has the meaning 'someone, somebody' when occurring as an independent pronoun, as shown in (68). It also occurs modifying a noun (as a determiner) with the meaning of 'another', as in (69) and (70), or with a meaning similar to a indefinite article, referring to a referent that is not physically present, as in (71).
(68) hatya zema notyaonakoaitaene hoka
hatya zema no=tyaona -koa -ita =ene hoka
IND1 COM2 1sg COP LOC IFV 30 CON
'Then, I was following someone.' (Kamoro nawenane)
(69) eze hatyaone ityani $\quad \varnothing=$ kolatya, maiha hatya ityani
eze ha= tyaone ityani $\quad \emptyset=$ kolatya maiha hatya ityani
this 3sg cousin son, daughter 3sg take NEG IND1 son, daughter
xini zaore $\quad \emptyset=$ kolatya
xini zaore $\quad \varnothing=$ kolatya
NEG FRUST 3sg take
'This one took the son of her cousin , it is not another son who she took.' (tolohe)
(70) hatya wenakala tserigao zane tawa enomanaha
hatya wenakala tserigao $\varnothing=$ zane $\varnothing=$ tawa $\mathrm{e}=$ nomana -ha
IND1 village latex 3sg go 3sg look.for 3sg BEN PL
'And they went to look for latex for them in another village.' (Bacaval tahi)

28 The forms hatya and hatyo '3sg' may be related to the anaphoric $h a=$.
(71) hatya babera associação maiha nali aka hoka hatyo hatyo hatya babera associação maiha nali aka hoka hatyo hatyo IND1 paper organization NEG LOC have CON that that zaokakatyo imoti certidão $\mathrm{z}=$ aoka -ka $=$ tyo imoti certidão 2 pl say $\mathrm{TH}=\mathrm{TOP}$ non-Indian certificate 'The organization did not have a document, that one which the non-indigenous people call a certificate.' (Batsaji tahi)

Another indefinite demonstrative is haiya, which means 'somebody, something, other' as an independent pronoun, as shown in (72) to (74), or 'some' as a noun modifier, as seen in (75) and (76).
(72) haiya $\varnothing=$ tyakekota niraini haiya maiha $\varnothing=$ tyakekore
haiya $\varnothing=$ tyakeko -ta $\mathrm{n}=$ irai -ni haiya maiha $\varnothing=$ tyakeko -re
IND2 3sg believe IFV 1sg talk POSSED IND2 NEG 3sg believe NMLZ
niraini haiya niraini tsemehena hoka
$\mathrm{n}=$ irai -ni haiya $\mathrm{n}=$ irai -ni $\quad \varnothing=$ tseme -hena hoka
1sg talk POSSED IND2 1sg talk POSSED 3sg hear TRS CON
$\varnothing=$ kaweta $\quad$ ihiye
Ø= kawe -ta $\quad i=$ hiye
3sg hurt IFV 3sg BEN
'There are some people who believe in what I say, there are some who do not believe, and some listen to what I say and it hurts them.' (Kamoro nawenane)
(73) Alatya natyotya kalini nezanityo haiya ite iraeti
$=$ ala tya natyo -tya kalini $\mathrm{n}=$ ezanityo haiya $=$ ite irae -ti
$=$ FOC ? 1sg FOC now 1sg= wife IND2 =FUT talk UNPOSS
hare nawaiyekehalakaita
hare na= waiyekehalaka -ita
also $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ understand IFV
'It was me who explained something to my wife, for example words.' (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 1)
(74) wihinaeharenae $\quad \varnothing=$ zaneta ala aliyo
wi= hinae -hare -nae $\varnothing=$ zane -ta kala aliyo
1pl relatives MASC PL 3sg go EMPH DUB where
$\emptyset=$ zanetaha zoana $\emptyset=$ hakahitaha zamani haiya Tangara
$\varnothing=$ zane -ta -ha zoana $\varnothing=$ ha -h -ita -ha zamani haiya Tangara
3sg go IFV PL what 3sg work PL IFV PL DUB IND2 Tangara
Ø=zaneta, haiya Sapeza $\varnothing=$ zaneta
$\varnothing=$ zane -ta haiya Sapeza $\varnothing=$ zane -ta
3sg go EMPH IND2 Sapeza 3sg go EMPH
'Our relatives are going, and I do not know where they are going. I do not know whether they are working. Some people go to Tangará, others go to Sapeza.'
(demarcação)
(75) haiyanae wihinaehare kakoa
haiya -nae wi= hinae -hare -nae =kakoa
IND2 PL 1 pl relatives MASC PL COM
'With some of my relatives.' (cabeceira)
(76) haiya mokotsenae wihinaehare $\quad \varnothing=$ waini hitiya
haiya mokotse -nae wi= hinae -hare $\varnothing=$ waini hitiya
IND2 baby PL 1 pl relatives MASC 3sg die also
'Some newborns, our relatives, also died.' (cabeceira)

### 3.4.2 Indefinite pronouns

Indefinite pronouns are interrogative-based indefinites, that is, they are formed by using the dubitative zamani or the negative maiha plus the indefinite forms. They will be described in §7.4.1.

### 3.5 Quantification

### 3.5.1 Numerals

Numerals from one to four are lexical terms ${ }^{29}$ (Table 22), while numerals above

[^18]four follow a base-five system, using hands, feet, fingers, and toes (see Table 23). Portuguese numerals may be substituted for native numerals, especially above 'four'.

Table 22: Numerals up to four

|  | Numeral | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | hatita | one |
| 2 | hinama | two |
| 3 | hanama | three |
| 4 | zalakakoa | four |

Numeral terms do not take the plural -nae, and the nouns they modify do not bear this morpheme. Syntactically, numerals always precede the noun head, as in examples (77) and (78). They are rarely used alone as the head of a noun phrase, as in (79) and (80). ${ }^{30}$ They can also occur with classifiers, as in (79) and (81).
(77) hatita ohiro kakoa
hatita ohiro =kakoa
one woman COM
'With one woman.' (hitsehaliti)
(78) hamitxini, hinama mitxini
$\mathbf{h a}=$ mitxini hinama mitxini
one? month two month
'One, two months.' (JT nawenane)
(79) hinamali ala konare $\quad \varnothing=$ noloka
hinama -li ala konare $\quad \varnothing=$ noloka
two CLF:round FOC cará.fish 3sg pull
'She caught two cará fishes.' (ximatyati)
(80) hatita waiye aka hare waiyane $\quad \varnothing=$ aokaha hoka
hatita waiye aka hare waiya -ne $\varnothing=$ aoka -ha hoka one good have ? see NMLZ 3sg say PL CON

[^19]$\varnothing=$ halaitsaha
$\varnothing=$ halaitsa -ha
3sg leave PL
'They left only a good one to be seen, they said' (emaniya)
(81) hoka koho kiraneze hanama koatrotaotse taita
hoka koho kirane -ze hanama koatro -taotse taita
CON basket small NMLZ three four CLF:piece only
Ø=zaneta
Ø= zane -ta
3sg go EMPH
'For a small basket, it takes only three or four pieces.' (koho)

Numerals above five are illustrated in Table 23. The first variant in table was collected with a speaker in the Formoso area, the second one is described in Eazokemae (2006), and the last one in Paresi \& Januario (2011). 'Five' is represented with the same form that means 'hand'. 'Six through 'nine' are formed by using the words for 'hand' and 'fingers'. 'Ten is 'two hands' or 'entire, all hands'. From 'eleven' to 'nineteen' they also use the same strategy used from 'six' to 'nine', but in one of the dialects 'feet' and 'toes' are not used. Also, two of the dialects use the classifier $h i$ 'CLF: long.slender' to refer to finger.

Table 23: Numerals above four

|  | Numeral (and Its Variants) | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | hakahe hamaniya kahiti halakoa kahiti | one hand one side of the hand one side of the hand |
| 6-9 | hakahe ( $1,2,3,4$ )hi takoa halakoa kahiti takoa (1,2,3,4) kahiti hiye | one hand, (1,2,3,4) fingers stand up one side of the hand, and $(1,2,3,4)$ fingers |
| 10 | hinama kahe hinama maniya kahiti mainikere kahiti | two hands two sides of the hands two whole hands |
| $\begin{aligned} & 11- \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | hinama kahi mainikere ( $1,2,3,4$ )hi takoa hinama maniya kahiti ( $1,2,3,4$ )hi kitxiti holoi mainikere kahiti takoa $(1,2,3,4)$ kitxiti hiye | two hands ( $1,2,3,4$ ) fingers stand up two sides of the hands, $(1,2,3,4)$ toes entire hands, $(1,2,3,4)$ toes |
| 15 | hinama kahi mainikere hakahe holiniye zoaha <br> mainikere kahiti takoa halakoa kitxiti hiye | two hands and another hand entire hands and go to one foot |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16- \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | hinama kahi mainikere hakahe holiniye zoaha ( $1,2,3,4$ )hi takoa <br> mainikere kahiti halakoa kitxiti takoa $(1,2,3,4)$ kitxiti hiye | two hands and another hand, ( $1,2,3,4$ ) fingers stand up <br> entire hands, then go to one foot, $(1,2,3,4)$ fingers of the foot |
| 20 | hinamaki kahiti mainikere <br> mainikere kahiti takoa mainikere kitxiti hiye | two times two hands entire hands and entire feet |
| 30 | hanamaki kahiti mainikere | three times two hands |

The numerals in Table 23 are not used in daily life, and the numerals 'five' and 'ten' are rarely used, as seen in (82) and (83). In (84) there is an example of the use of numerals borrowed from Portuguese.
(82) hamaniya kahiti ohiro taita ityani
ha= maniya kahi -ti ohiro taita ityani one side hand UNPOSS woman only son, daughter
'Five daughters, only women.' (Tarsila nawenane)
(83) kahiti
kahi -ti hand UNPOSS
halakoa kahe
halakoa kahe one side hand IND2 hand UNPOSS
tyotya tyotya everything, all 'Sometimes five days (one hand), or ten days (all the hands).' (hitsehaliti)
(84) katseholotyahene olawahi zoana cinco, seis, sete e oito
katseholo -tya -ha =ene olawahi zoana cinco seis sete e oito
? TH PL 3O rope what five six seven and eight dia mokaha, oito dia nomitere atyo dia moka -ha oito dia nomi -te -re =atyo day put PL eight day say IFV NMLZ =TOP 'They make a knot in the rope, approximately five, six, seven, eight days.' (hitsehaliti)

Numerals can also occur as non-verbal predicates with or without the incorporated bound noun -ki 'day, time', as in (85), where hinama 'two' takes the transitional -hena.
(85) Eyeta $\quad \varnothing=$ tyoa $\quad \varnothing=$ gravaita hinamakihenata $\quad \varnothing=$ tyoa
eye -ta $\quad \varnothing=$ tyoa grava -ita hinama -ki -hena -ta $\varnothing=$ tyoa
3sg EMPH 3sg come record IFV two time TRS ? 3sg come
Ø=gravaita eye niraini
Ø= grava -ita eye n= irai -ni
3sg record IFV this 1sg talk POSSED
'She came to record, twice she came to record my speech.' (iraiti Batsaji)
(86)

| wahinamitehena |  | kalikini | hoka | azeze | atyo |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wa= hinama | -ite | -hena | kalikini | hoka | azeze | $=$ atyo |
| 1pl two | IFV | TRS | now | CON | older.brother | $=$ TOP |

'Now, there are only the two of us, and my oldest brother.' (Katomo nawenane)

There are no ordinal numerals 'first', 'second', etc. However, the adverb hetati 'before, formerly' (87) can be used with the meaning of 'first' (88). In elicitation, I
collected the words txikinitiye 'second' and ehiyetare 'third' which are derived from the adverbs txikini 'behind' and hiyeta 'following'
(87) witsaodini atyo maitsa waiyeze hetati ene
wi= tsaodi -ni =atyo maitsa waiye -ze hetati =ene
1pl health POSSED =TOP NEG good NMLZ in.the.old.days =PST
Funai kazaikota witsaodini
Funai kazaikota wi= tsaodi -ni
Funai take care of 1 pl health POSSED
'Our health service is not good, before FUNAI (National Indian Foundation) was taking care of our health service.' (Bacaval tahi)
(88) eze hetati witso
eze hetati witso
this in.the.old.days 1 pl
'We were the first ones.' (cabeceira)

### 3.5.2 Quantifiers

Paresi has the following non-numeral quantifiers: tyotya 'all', kahare 'many', inira 'few', and taita 'only'. Syntactically, quantifiers are very similar to demonstratives because they can occur in two syntactic contexts: as independent pronouns and as modifiers of nouns. However, they are different from demonstratives because they can take personal clitics (which are otherwise found on verbs) when used as a non-verbal predicates .

### 3.5.2.1 tyotya 'all'

The quantifier tyotya can precede or follow a noun in the noun phrase. Example (89) illustrates the use of tyotya as a noun modifier preceding the noun, and (90) to (92) as an independent pronoun which can be either a subject or an object argument. In (92), tyotya occurs with the personal clitic $w i=' 1 \mathrm{pl}$ '.
(89) tyotya haliti

Ø=tyaonitaene
tyotya haliti $\quad \varnothing=$ tyaon -ita =ene
all Paresi.Indian 3sg live IFV PST
'All the Paresi people lived there.' (Fenare nawenane)
$\begin{array}{rllll}\text { (90) kozaka } & \text { ene } & \text { aliyerenae } & \text { tyotya } & \varnothing=\text { zane } \\ \text { kozaka } & =\text { ene } & \text { ali -yere -nae } & \text { tyotya } & \varnothing=\text { zan }\end{array}$ already =PST here NMLZ PL everything, all 3sg go 'The people from here, all of them were already gone.' (Katomo nawenane)
(91) wahakanore hare, fate hare, tyotya wanitxita wahakanore hare fate hare tyotya wa= nitx -ita spider.monkey also tufted.capuchin also everything, all 1 pl eat meat IFV 'Spider monkey, tufted capuchin monkey, anything, we were eating it.' (JT nawenane)
(92) wityotya wahiyokene
wi= tyotya wa= hiyok =ene
1 pl everything, all 1 pl suck 3 O
'We all ate it (the honey).' (JT nawenane)

### 3.5.2.2 $\quad$ kahare 'many, a lot'

The quantifier kahare can precede (93) or follow the noun (94). It can also occur with countable and uncountable nouns. With uncountable nouns, the quantifier can express an individuation-like meaning, as in (95), where it modifies speech meaning 'many words'. Example (96) is an example where kahare functions as an independent pronoun.
(93) hatyohiyeta hatyohiyeta then many child 3sg be.born =FUT again 'Then, many children were born.' (Batsaji tahi)
(94) oliti kahare $\varnothing=$ aitsahitaha
oliti kahare $\varnothing=$ aitsa -ha -ita -ha game many 3sg kill PL IFV PL 'They killed a lot of game.' (cabeceira)
(95) ekohena haiya zowakatyatyo nahekoita kahare
eko -hena haiya zowaka tya $=$ tyo $n=$ aheko -ita kahare
? TRS IND2 period ? =TOP 1sg think IFV a.lot
wiraene hatyota makere $\varnothing=$ tyaonita
$\mathrm{w}=$ irae -ne hatyo -ta makere $\varnothing=$ tyaona -ita 1pl talk POSSED 3sg EMPH same 3sg live IFV
'Then, sometimes I think that a lot of words have the same meaning.' (Kabikule

Daniel iraiti 1)
(96) kahare atyo $\varnothing=$ hikoaita
kahare =atyo $\varnothing=$ hikoa -ita
a.lot $=$ TOP 3sg come.out, show.up IFV
'A lot (of them) is coming out'

Similar to tyotya 'all', kahare can also occur as a non-verbal predicate taking personal clitics (97) and aspect markers (98):
(97) wikahare
wi= kahare
1 pl a.lot
'There were a lot of us.' (JT nawenane)
(98) kaharehena haiya haliti, ena, ohiro, zoaha $\varnothing$ =waiyoreta
kahare -hena haiya haliti ena ohiro zoaha $\varnothing=$ waiyore -ta a.lot TRS IND2 person man woman and 3sg know IFV 'Many Paresi people, men, and women, know.' (makani tahi)

### 3.5.2.3 inira 'few'

The quantifier inira, similar to other quantifiers, can modify a noun or function as an independent pronoun. It precedes the noun in (99), and it occurs as an independent pronoun in (100) and (101). When inira functions as an independent pronoun, it can take the plural -nae (100).
(99) hatyaotse himatya zakore ikona howitihare
hatyaotse $\mathrm{h}=$ imatya zakore ikona howitihare
then 2sg beat.cipó.vine FRUST cipó.vine be.dificult, be.late
Ø=aitxita inira hikonane maiha tyokiya xini
$\varnothing=$ aitxi -ta inira $\mathrm{h}=$ ikona -ne maiha tyokiya xini
3sg kill EMPH few 2sg cipó.vine POSSED NEG ? NEG
Ø=aitsa
Ø= aitsa
3sg kill
'Then you beat the cipó vine, but killing with only a few cipó vine is difficult.' (zanekoare)
(100) waiyehare $\quad \varnothing=$ betehena hoka $\varnothing=$ iyahitaha iniranae atyo waiye -hare $\varnothing=$ bete -hena hoka $\varnothing=$ iya -h -ita -ha inira -nae atyo good MASC 3sg sell TRS CON 3sg buy PL IFV PL few PL TOP 'They sold beautiful things, but they bought few things.' (Kamoro nawenane)
(101) nozakaihakatya inira
no= zakaihaka -tya inira
1sg tell.story TH few
'I will tell a little bit of the story' (Batsaji tahi)

### 3.5.2.4 taita 'only'

The quantifier taita can precede or follow the noun in a noun phrase. This quantifier has a different distribution from the other ones because it does not function as a pronoun. The quantifier taita only was used as a non-verbal predicate in elicitation (104):
(102) Gordo taitatyatyote alita

Gordo taita tya =tyo =te ali -ta
Gordo only ? =TOP =FUT here EMPH
'Only Gordo will be here.' (iraiti Batsaji)
(103) imoti taita ali tyaona
imoti taita ali tyaona
non-Indian only here COP
'Here there were only non-Indian people.' (Bacaval)
(104) hatyo taita
hatyo taita
that only
'That is it.' (E)

### 3.6 Postpositions

Paresi has a set of clitics which attach to nouns, and some of them also to personal clitics, and demonstratives, and they bear peripheral grammatical relations. There are two reasons why I consider this class to be postpositions instead of oblique markers in Paresi. First, they are clitics that are not integrated into their host, like suffixes. Secondly, in general, postpositions generally can be conjoined with a noun phrase (108), or take personal clitics (111). Other Arawak languages such as Yine (Hanson, 2010) and Apurinã
(Facundes, 2000) do not have postpositions, instead they have oblique markers. These oblique markers resemble postpositions, but they have phonological and/or syntactic and semantic properties that make them resemble case-markers.

Postpositional phrases often occur at the beginning of the clause, but they can also occur at the end or between the subject and the object, as shown in (105). I have not found cases where the postposition is between a subject or object and the verb. The 17 postpositions attested in my database are listed in Table 24.
(105)
(POSP) S V O
(POSP) S V (POSP)
S (POSP) O V

Table 24: Postpositions

| Form | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| kakoa | instrument, comitative |
| zema | comitative |
| ana | dative |
| hiye | locative. contact |
| katyahe | under |
| haliya | close, around |
| hao | above, over, upward |
| heno | above, on |
| koni | among |
| meketse | in the center |
| zaihako | behind |
| ho | at the tip |
| nali | in |
| ako | inside |
| koa | in, on |
| (oni)ta | source |
| zeta | allative, goal |

Postpositions show more similarity with nouns than verbs, suggesting that postpositions functioning as topological relators may derive from nouns. Almost all the postpositions, except nali 'in', ako 'inside', and koa 'in, on' can take personal clitics. They take set B proclitics, the same set used with all nouns and only some verbs. In addition, they can take the suffix - $i$ ' 1 sg' which occurs also with inalienable nouns and a few stative verbs (see $\S 4.3 .2$ ). Postpositions belong to a different category from nouns, because they cannot take classifiers, nor can they take the unpossessed or possessed suffixes, like nouns can.

However, the use of body part nouns such as tyokoli 'buttocks, in the back', tiho
'face, in front of', and tanakoli 'cheek, on the side of to indicate location without any locative markers is further evidence that some postpositions may be result of a grammaticalization process from nouns. A final bit of evidence for the nominal source is that there are classifiers, such as ako and koa which have the same form as postpositions (see §3.6)
(106) hati tyokoli
hati tyokoli
house buttocks
'In the back of the house.' (E)

The postpositions zema 'comitative', katyahe 'under', haliya 'around', koni 'in the middle of', and ako 'inside' can also be incorporated into verbs (§ 5.3.3.3). In (107), the postposition zema is incorporated into the verb tema 'run':
(107) natemazematya
na= tema =zema tya takoira
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ run $=$ COM2 TH chicken
'I ran after the chicken.' (E)

### 3.6.1 Instrumental and comitative = $\boldsymbol{k a k o a}$

The postposition kakoa has different meanings depending on the animacy of entities. With inanimate nouns, kakoa expresses the instrument used by some agent or actor.
(108) kore kakoa waitsa wola
kore =kakoa w= aitsa w= ola
arrow INSTR 1 pl kill 1 pl game hunting
'We killed our game with an arrow.' (Katomo nawenane)
(109) mahatyo kore kakoa xini haola $\varnothing=$ aitxita,
maha =tyo kore =kakoa xini ha= ola $\quad \emptyset=$ aitxi -ta

NEG =TOP arrow =INSTR NEG 3sg game hunting 3sg kill EMPH
tyaho kakoa taitatyo haola $\varnothing=$ aitxita
tyaho =kakoa taita =tyo ha= ola $\quad \varnothing=$ aitxi -ta
cudgel $=$ INSTR only $=$ TOP 3sg game hunting 3sg kill EMPH
'It is not with an arrow that he kills his game, it is with a cudgel, that he kills his game.' (Omati)

With animate nouns, kakoa has the meaning of 'comitative':
(110) kala Dirizonae hakatxolozatsehitxoa
kala Dirizonae ha= katxolo -za -tse -hi -txoa
DUB Dirizonae 3sg dog POSSED CLF:small CLF:long.slender big
kakoa $\varnothing=$ tyaonita
$=$ kakoa $\varnothing=$ tyaona -ita
COM 3sg live IFV
'Dirizonae was living with his dog.' (Dirizonae)

The postposition kakoa may occur with the suffix $-i$ ' 1 sg ', which also occurs with inalienable nouns and some stative verbs. The suffix $-i$ ' 1 sg' and the final vowel $a$ (in first or second persons) may be dropped by some speakers. The paradigm for the irregular inflection of kakoa is given in Table 25.

Table 25: kakoa

|  | Personal <br> Clitics | Kakoa 'INSTR, COM' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 s | $\mathrm{no}=$ | kako(i) |
| 2 s | $\mathrm{hi}=$ | kako(a) |
| 3 s | $\mathrm{e}=$ | kakoa |
| 1 p | $\mathrm{wi}=$ | kako(a) |
| 2 p | $\mathrm{xi}=$ | kako(a) |
| 3 p | $\mathrm{e}=\ldots-\mathrm{ha}$ | kakoa |

(111) azama ala tekoa ekakoa hazotawa heno
azama ala $\quad \varnothing=$ tekoa $\mathrm{e}=$ kakoa ha= zotawa heno deer FOC 3sg run away 3sg COM 3sg horn above, on.the.top
$\emptyset=$ mokene hoka
$\emptyset=$ mok $=$ ene hoka
3 sg put 30 CON
'The deer ran away with him, he was in his horns.' (Dirizonae)

The postposition kakoa can also occur with demonstratives:

```
(112) Ø=homakilitsa haokola hoka hatyo kakoa aitxita
Ø= homa kili -tsa ha= o- kola hoka hatyo =kakoa aitxi -ta
3sg stick nose TH 3sg LK arrow CON that =COM kill EMPH
haola
ha= ola
3sg game hunting
'He passed (the poison) on the tip of the arrow and he killed the game with that.'
(omati-ZK)
```

According to Arkhipov (2009: 238) expressions in which an inanimate entity is carried in close physical contact (such as in English: He came with a bag) may receive the same marking used in comitative constructions. In Paresi, the comitative kakoa is also used with inanimate referents when they are in close physical contact, the only difference is the addition of the nominalizer -re ${ }^{31}$ : kakoare
(113) kore kakoare terotatyo wahikoa
kore =kakoa -re terota =tyo wa= hikoa
arrow $=$ COM NMLZ already $=$ TOP 1 pl come.out, show.up
'We already came out with the arrow.' (omati-ZK)
(114) toahiya ehalatahe nityokatsetya ayo tsebola
toahiya $\mathrm{e}=$ halatahe $\mathrm{n}=$ ityoka -tse -tya ayo tsebola
in.the.old.days 3 sg rib 1 sg cut CLF:small THS garlic onion
kakoare naholoka
kakoa -re na= holoka
COM NMLZ 1sg cook
'In the past, I cut ribs and cook them with garlic and onion.' (Katomo iraiti)

In clauses with the verbs irai meaning 'speak', and iraitseakatya 'chat', the interlocutor is marked by kakoa.

[^20](115) hawaiyeta iyaore $\quad \varnothing=$ iraita ekakoa hoka maitsa
ha= waiye -ta iya -ore $\varnothing=$ irai -ta $\mathrm{e}=$ kakoa hoka maitsa 2sg be.good IFV IRR? 3sg talk IFV 3sg COM CON NEG Ø=tsemitene
$\emptyset=$ tsem -it $=$ ene
3sg hear IFV
'When you speak low to him, he does not hear.' (Katomo iraiti)

There are few examples of kakoa being used with the source marker $=(i) t a$.
(116) Q: zala zema ite hiyaneheta?
zala zema ite hi= zane -heta
who COM FUT 2sg go PERF
'Who did you come back with?'
A: Bitxinho kakoita
Bitxinho kakoa =ta
Bitxinho COM SOUR
'With Bitxinho.' (E)

In addition, kakoa may be used with nominalized clauses (§8.2.2.2), as illustrated in (117).
(117) nahekoita hitsomehenere kakoa
$\mathrm{n}=$ aheko -ita hi= tsome -hene -re =kakoa
1sg think IFV 2sg make, do TRS NMLZ =COM
'I was thinking about what you did.' (E)

### 3.6.2 zema 'accompanied by, follow (behind)'

The postposition zema can also be interpreted as a comitative, meaning 'accompanied by'. In some examples, in addition to the comitative meaning, it means the person is following (behind) someone (119). It is only used with animate nouns.
(118) waiyehenaya abebenae zema nozani
waiye -hena =ya abebe -nae =zema no= zan -i
see TRS =IRR grandmother PL COM2 1sg go 1sg
'I can go with my grandparents.' (Batsaji iraiti)


With personal clitics, zema undergoes vowel harmony triggered by the suffix $-i$ in the first person, and also palatalization of the initial consonant $/ \theta /$ to $/ \mathrm{J} /$. As seen with other nouns and verbs beginning with $/ \theta /$ (see $\S 2.2 .2$ ), there is palatalization $/ \theta />[\mathrm{j}]$ when preceded by clitics with the $/ \mathrm{i} /$ vowel: $h i=$, $w i=$, and $x i=$. The paradigm for the irregular inflection of zema is given in Table 26.

Table 26: zema

|  | Personal <br> Clitics | Zema <br> 'ASSOC' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 s | $\mathrm{no}=$ | ximi |
| 2 s | $\mathrm{hi}=$ | yema |
| 3 s | $\mathrm{e}=$ | zema |
| 1 p | $\mathrm{wi}=$ | yema |
| 2 p | $\mathrm{xi}=$ | yema |
| 3 p | $\mathrm{e}=\ldots$-ha | zema |

### 3.6.3 ana 'dative'

The postposition ana is a dative, marking the recipient or benefactor of an action:
(120) ezahe xityaninae ana maika zatxiyakiyahetehena
ezahe $x=$ ityani -nae =ana maika $z a=$ txiyakiya -hete -hena
CON 2 pl son, daughter PL DAT SUG 2 pl pass.on PERF TRS
ehare eakere
ehare eakere
this so, then
'This (the tradition) you all should also pass on to your siblings.' (xihatyoawihaliti)

It can also mark a direction towards someone, similar to an allative, as in (121):

```
(121) nanoloka hoka abebe ana nokaokehitita
    na= noloka hoka abebe =ana no= kaoke -heta -ita
    1sg pull CON grandmother DAT 1sg arrive PERF IFV
    'I pulled it (the fish) and then I arrived to my grandmother's house.' (JT nawenane)
```

With personal clitics, ana has an irregular inflection as shown in Table 27. There is a linking morpheme -om- between the clitics and the postposition. According to Silva (2013:295), the diachronic irregularity started in the first person, where the input no=ani had an output no=mani after the epenthesis of $/ \mathrm{m} /(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{n} /$ that assimilated the labial feature of the $/ \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{vowel}$ ). Then the -om form in the first person was spread to the other persons by analogy. Epenthesis of $/ \mathrm{n} /$ is a process seen also with the proclitics $e=$ and $e n=$ for third person. If it was not for this irregularity, the expected form would be $n=a n i$, as the vowels of the clitics are dropped when attached to vowel-initial roots.

Table 27: ana

|  | Personal Clitics | Ana 'DAT' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 s | $\mathrm{n}=$ | om-ani |
| 2 s | $\mathrm{~h}=$ | om-ana |
| 3 s | $\mathrm{en}=$ | om-ana |
| 1 p | $\mathrm{w}=$ | om-ana |
| 2 p | $\mathrm{x}=$ | om-ana |
| 3 p | en=...-ha | om-ana |

(122) ha ite makani enatyokoe $\varnothing=$ waiya hoka
ha $\quad=$ ite makani en- atyokoe $\quad \varnothing=$ waiya hoka
INTERJ FUT tomorrow 3sg grandfather 3sg see CON
Ø=bakatene enomana
$\varnothing=$ baka -tya =ene en= -om =ana
3sg pay TH 30 3sg LK DAT
'Yes, tomorrow his grandfather is going to see (the cloth) and he will pay it for him.'
(Batsaji iraiti)
(123) kala hatyaotse trator $\varnothing=$ iya fazendeiro $\varnothing=$ itsa womana
kala hatyaotse trator $\varnothing=$ iya fazendeiro $\varnothing=$ itsa $w=\mathbf{- o m}=$ ana DUB then tractor 3sg buy farmer 3sg give 1pl LK DAT
'After that, the farmer bought a tractor and gave to us.' (Katomo nawenane)

### 3.6.4 hiye 'benefactive'

The postposition hiye 'benefactive' can be used with animate referents of utterance verbs, as illustrated in (124) and (125); with non-verbal predicates related to physical phenomena, as in (126) and (127), or statives, as in (128); and with inanimate referents as in (129) and (130).
(124) ehareya haiya zowakiya niraita tyotya haliti
ehare =ya haiya zowakiya $n=$ irai -ta tyotya haliti
this $=I R R$ IND2 at this time 1sg talk IFV everything, all Paresi.man
haloti hiye
haloti hiye
Paresi. woman BEN
'This (idea) sometimes I talk to everyone, man, woman.' (Kamoro nawenane)
(125) $\emptyset=$ zakaihakaita nohiye

Ø= zakaihaka -ita no= hiye
3sg tell.story IFV 1sg BEN
'She told the story to me.' (JT nawenane)

Silva (2013) called hiye 'theme' and said it marks an experiencer. In constructions with statives, the oblique arguments semantically may be considered experiencers.
(126) ferakoa
ferakoa $\quad w i=$ hiye
in.the.morning 1 pl BEN
'It is dawn for us.' (JT nawenane)
(127) tihita nohiye
tiha -ita no= hiye
cold IFV 1sg BEN
'I am cold (lit.: it is cold for us).' (E)
(128) kafetyatyo wainama ihiye
kafe =tyo wainama $\mathrm{i}=$ hiye
coffee =TOP delicious 3sg BEN
'The coffee is delicious to him.' (iraiti Katomo nali)

When the postposition occurs with inanimate referents it marks the spatial relation of adhesion involving sticking.
(129) katxolohokotsetxoa katxolo -hoko -tse -txoa tsiri hiye ala dog CLF:circled CLF:small big head BEN FOC balazokonatsetxoa $\quad$ ==kolatyoa
balazoko -natse -txoa $\varnothing=$ kolatyoa
bottle CLF:long big 3sg get stuck
'The head of the dog got stuck in the bottle.' (Dirizonae)
(130) Q: aliyo txihotyakalati?
aliyo txihotya -kala -ti
where? close NMLZ UNPOSS
'Where is the lid?'
A: balazoko kanatse hiye
balazoko kanatse hiye
bottle mouth BEN
'In the bottle's mouth (E)

### 3.6.5 nali 'general location'

The postposition nali marks a general location with toponyms, such as the name of a village (131) or the name of a place (132).
(131) Otoloweke nali wiyane watawehirita maha iii kahare otoloweke nali wi= yane wa= tawe -hi -ri -ta maha kahare Otoloweke LOC 1pl go 1 pl look.for PL CLF:round IFV NEG a.lot 'We went to the Otoloweke village to look for honey and there was a lot.' (JT nawenane)
(132) $\varnothing=$ tyaona postinho nali
$\varnothing=$ tyaona postinho nali
3sg stay health.service LOC
'He stays in the health service center.' (cabeceira)

It can also occur with a proper noun to indicate the place where the person lives
(133). It is possible that the source of this form is the adverbial demonstrative nali 'there', which modifies verbs and generally occurs clause-initially, while the postposition occurs with nouns. Different from other postpositions, nali cannot take personal clitics.
(133) matalotse, hatyo eye Nainae nali atyo eye
matalo -tse hatyo eye Nai -nae nali =atyo eye
pot CLF:small 3sg this Nair PL LOC =TOP this
walitseritse ana wiyaneta
walitse -ri -tse =ana wi= yane -ta
plastic.container CLF:round CLF:small =BEN 1pl go IFV
'We are going in order to get the pot, the plastic container in the Nair family's
village.' (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 2)

### 3.6.6 katyahe 'under'

The postposition katyahe occurs with inanimate referents to indicate the location 'under'.
(134) kaniritse

## katyahe

kani -ri -tse katyahe
pequi.fruit CLF:round CLF:small under
'Under the pequi tree.' (Wazare)
(135) kahainakoatya hoka hatyo katyahe $\varnothing=$ tyoka
ka- haina -koa -tya hoka hatyo katyahe $\varnothing=$ tyoka
ATTR tripod LOC TH CON 3sg under 3sg sit
Ø=etolitsoaha
Ø= etolits $\quad$-oa -ha
3sg lay down MM PL
'They made a tripod, and they sat and lay down under it.' (txinikalore)
(136) akoka katyahe eye makolitsa wetekonetya
ako -ka katyahe eye makolitsa weteko -ne -tya
inside TH under DEM type of armadillo land POSSED TH
wikatyahe
wi= katyahe
1 pl under
'Inside, under, there is the armadillo's territory, under us.' (iyamaka-BO)

Postpositions may have a nominal source, as stated above. However, katyahe may originate from the verb katyaha 'flatten'. Another possibility is that the verb was derived
from the postposition, but there are no other cases like that in my corpus.

| (137) $\varnothing=$ katyahatene |  | Ø | erehare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ø= katyaha | -tya $=$ ene | $\emptyset=$ waini | kaitsere -har |
| 3sg flatten | TH 30 | 3sg die | indeed MASC |
| It flattened hi | , and i | d he di | SZ kinohaliti) |

### 3.6.7 hao 'above, over, upward'

The postposition hao means 'above, over, upward' expressing non-contact.
(138) Q: aliyo luitxiri? aliyo luitxi -ri
where.is light CLF:small
'Where is the bulb?'

| A: $\varnothing=$ erahokoita | hainati | hao |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\varnothing=$ erahoko -ita | haina -ti | $=$ hao |
| 3sg hang IFV tripod UNPOSS | over |  |
| 'It is hanging over the tripod.' (E) |  |  |

(139) ehao $\quad \varnothing=$ axikatene
$\mathrm{e}=$ hao $\quad \varnothing=$ axika -tya $=$ ene
3 sg upward 3 sg send TH 30
'He sends it (the ball) up onto him.' (xikonahati)

### 3.6.8 heno 'above, on top'

The postposition heno is a contact locative meaning 'above, on top'. Here it is important that the figure (the theme or trajector) is in contact with the horizontal surface of the ground (the object with respect to where the theme is located).
(140) hatyaotsetala, $\quad \varnothing=$ tyokehena zaore tsehali heno maiyi,
hatyaotseta $=1 \mathrm{a} \quad \varnothing=$ tyoke -hena zaore tsehali heno maiyi
then $\quad=$ FOC 3sg sit TRS FRUST rock on.top INTERJ
hatyaotseta katyahakoare $\quad$ =tyaona tsehali
hatyaotseta katyaha -koa -re $\quad \varnothing=$ tyaona tsehali
then under LOC NMLZ 3sg COP rock
'Then, when he sat on top of the rock, and the rock went down.' (Wazare)

| (141) Madia | Ivetenae | hana | eheno | kitxiya | wiyane |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maria | Ivete -nae | hana | $\mathrm{e}=$ | heno | kitxiya | wi $=$ yane |
| PN | PN PL | house | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ | above, on.the.top until | $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ go |  |

'We went to Maria Ivete's house, to the top. Then we came back with the children.' (emaniya)

### 3.6.9 henetse 'in the center on top'

The postposition henetse may be derived from heno 'above' and tse 'classifier:small'. It indicates a location which is in the center and at the same time on top of a flat surface or inside.
(142) Paula tsehali henetse $\quad \varnothing=$ tona

Paula tsehali henetse $\quad \varnothing=$ tona
Paula rock in.the.center 3sg walk
'Paula walked over the center of the rock.' (E)
(143) $\varnothing=$ waiyehenatyo owi henetsekoa enokola

Ø= waiye -hena =tyo owi henetse -koa en= o- kola
3sg see TRS $=$ TOP snake in.the.center LOC 3sg LK arrow
'When he saw the arrow was inside the center of the snake.' (kozeto)

### 3.6.10 meketse 'in the center'

The postposition meketse indicates a location whih is in the center.
(144) wazalimena meketse $\quad \varnothing=$ zane hiyalatyoa
wazali -mena meketse $\quad \varnothing=$ zane hiyala -ty -oa
jatobá trunk in.the.center 3sg go stick, attach TH MM 'He went and he got stuck in the center of the jatobá tree.' (Txinikalore)
(145) hanamakatse ala atyakatse weteko meketsekoa
hanama -katse =ala atya -katse weteko meketse -koa three CLF: long $=$ FOC tree CLF: long yard in.the.center LOC 'There are three sticks in the center of the yard.' (xikonahati)

### 3.6.11 zaihako 'behind'

The postposition zaihako means 'behind someone or something'. It is rare in my corpus. The other way to express this location of objects is through the noun tyokoli
'buttocks' in a compound with another noun.
(146) hoka ako tyairi enore zaihako $\varnothing=$ zaneha
hoka ako tyairi eno -re zaihako $\varnothing=$ zane -ha
CON LOC mountain tall NMLZ behind 3sg go PL
'They went and they were behind that tall mountain.' (iyamaka)
(147) eakere ala eakere ala nimixita hatyo
eakere =ala eakere =ala $\mathrm{n}=$ imeza -ita hatyo
so, then $=$ FOC so, then $=$ FOC 1sg gather IFV 3sg
baiyokatse kala nozaihako $\quad \varnothing=$ imixita
baiyo -katse kala no= zaihako $\varnothing=$ imeza -ita
elder CLF: long DUB 1sg behind 3sg gather IFV
'I was gathering (manakata fruit) like this, and the elder was gathering behind me.'
(ketetse)

### 3.6.12 ako 'inside of a deep container'

The meaning of the postposition ako is 'inside of a deep container'. This postposition derives from the classifier ako 'CLF: inside.' (see §4.5). As seen in example (150), ako cannot be used when the figure is on a concave surface. In the corpus, the only personal proclitic found with it was the third person, as shown in (152).
(148) Q: aliyo hiyotoko?
aliyo hiyotoko
where.is cupari.fruit
'Where is the cupari fruit?'
(149) A: kohoako
koho =ako
basket inside
'it is inside of the basket.' (E)
(150) A: *kohokoa
koho =koa
basket in
'it is in the basket.' (E)
(151) bonako $\quad \varnothing=$ iya $\quad \varnothing=$ mokita
bona $=$ ako $\quad \varnothing=$ iya $\quad$ kalo -li $\quad \varnothing=$ moka -ita
bag inside 3sg catch big CLF:round 3sg put IFV 'He caught only the big ones (the big fruits) and put (them) inside of his bag.' (ketetse)
(152) hoka enako maniya atyo zotere
hoka en= =ako maniya atyo zotya -re
CON 3sg inside side TOP red NMLZ
'And inside it (the fruit), it is red.' (E)
(153) Eye Sandra calçados eye hatyo akotara Oseias kitxitini atyo
eye Sandra calçados eye hatyo ako -ta -ra Oseias kitxitini =atyo
this Sandra shoes this 3sg inside SOUR? Oseias shoes =TOP
ala avista $\varnothing=$ iyita
$=$ ala avista $\varnothing=$ iy -ita
$=$ FOC in cash 3sg buy IFV
'It is from the Sandra calçados store, it is from there that he bought the shoes for
Oseias in cash.' (Batsaji iraiti)

Another use of ako is with means of transportation such as by car, bicycle, or motorcycle:
(154) hoka motoako wiyanehenahitaha ezema hoka moto =ako wi= yane -hena -h -ita -ha $\mathrm{e}=$ =zema CON motorcycle inside 1 pl go TRS PL IFV PL 3sg =COM 'And we went following him by motorcycle.' (oloniti tahi)

### 3.6.13 koa 'on concave surface'

The postposition koa is used to express a configuration where the figure is on a concave surface. The use of ako is ungrammatical with abali 'sieve' because it cannot be used with referents in a concave surface. This postposition derives from the classifier koa 'CLF: flat.' (see §4.5).
(155) Q: aliyo hiyotoko? 'Where is the cupari fruit?'
aliyo hiyotoko
where.is cupari.fruit
A: abalikoa 'it is in the sieve.' (E)
abali =koa
sieve in
A: *abaliako 'it is inside of the sieve.' (E)
abali =ako
sieve inside
(156) toahiya ene atyo atyoakoa wakakehitita toahiya =ene =atyo atyoa -koa wa= kake -hitita in.the.old.days $=\mathrm{PST}=\mathrm{TOP}$ concave.basket LOC 1 pl squeeze AGAIN 'Formerly, we squeezed in the sieve.' (oloniti zaka)

### 3.6.14 haliya 'near, next to'

The postposition haliya means 'near, next to'.
(157) nafirahaliyata
hati haliya
na= fira =haliya -ta hati =haliya
1sg clean =along IFV house near
'I cleaned up next to the house.' (Cotidiano)
(158) eye Marinho neye $\varnothing=$ aitsaha hoka hatyaotseta eye Cirila eye Marinho neye $\varnothing=$ aitsa -ha hoka hatyaotseta eye Cirila DEM Marinho father 3sg kill PL CON then DEM Cirila hare ali maniya ehaliyaha
hare ali maniya $\mathrm{e}=$ =haliya -ha
also here side 3sg near PL
'Later, after Marinho's father was killed, Cirila came here to be near them.' (Batsaji tahi)

### 3.6.15 koni 'among'

The postposition koni means 'among'. The form used with personal clitics is irregular because of the linking morpheme -ne (which may be inserted, similar to the linking morpheme -om seen with the postposition ana), as seen in (159) and in Table 28.
(159) kozaka ene eyehare mahalitihare koni
kozaka =ene eye -hare ma- haliti -hare =koni
already $=$ PST this MASC NEG person MASC among
'We were already among these non-Indian people.' (Aug nawenane)
(160) Jorge americano $\varnothing=$ itsoahena winikoni

Jorge americano $\varnothing=$ itsoa -hena wi=ni $=$ koni
Jorge americano 3sg come.in TRS 1 pl LK among
'Jorge americano joined our group (lit.: came to live among us).' (Kamoro
nawenane)

Table 28: koni

|  | Personal Clitics | Koni 'among' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 s | $\mathrm{no}=$ | nekoni |
| 2 s | $\mathrm{hi}=$ | nikoni |
| 3 s | $\mathrm{e}=$ | nekoni |
| 1 p | $\mathrm{wi}=$ | nikoni |
| 2 p | $\mathrm{xi}=$ | nikoni |
| 3 p | $\mathrm{e}=\ldots$-ha | nekoni |

It is possible to argue that the irregularity started in the third person through analogy with nouns such as koko 'uncle' (hikoke 'your uncle, enekoke 'his uncle') where there is a ne in the third person. This irregularity then spread to the other persons. In the persons with the vowel $i$ the ne is realized as ni because of vowel harmony. This diachronic explanation is similar to the one provided by Silva (2013) for the -om syllable used with the postposition ana. The only difference is that with ana the irregularity started in the first person and with koni in the third person.

### 3.6.16 onita $\sim$ ta 'source'

The postposition onita and its variant $=t a$ indicates the source 'from a location' implying movement as in (161) through (163).
(161) cidade nonitatyo tseko $\varnothing=$ tyaonahitaha hoka
cidade en= =onita =tyo tseko $\varnothing=$ tyaona -h -ita -ha hoka city 3sg SOUR TOP far 3sg COP PL IFV PL CON 'They were far away from the city.' (cabeceira)
(162) ewaiholokoza $\quad \varnothing=$ iyaha enonita
e= waiholoko -za $\quad \emptyset=$ iya -ha en= =onita
3sg tip.arrow POSSED 3sg catch PL 3sg SOUR
'They took the tip of the arrow from him.' (Txinikalore)
(163) $\varnothing=$ zane wonita kafakiya kafaka kalore notiya

Ø= zane w= =onita kafaka =iya kafaka kalore no=tiya
3 sg go 1 pl SOUR yesterday IRR? yesterday a.lot 1 sg cry
'He went away from us (died), and yesterday I cried a lot.' (Enore)

The form $=t a$ is used only when no movement is implied, but the source is indicated, as in (164).
(164) zoimanae haiya eye escolata kozaka kalikini curso
zoima -nae haiya eye escola $=$ ta kozaka kalikini curso
child PL IND2 this school SOUR already now course
Ø=tyomita
$\varnothing=$ tyom $\quad$-ita
3sg make, do IFV
'Some children from this school are already taking courses (at the college).'
(Batsaji tahi)
(165) Koterokota maniyata watsemene

Koteroko =ta maniya =ta wa= tsem =ene
Koteroko SOUR side SOUR 1 pl hear 3O
'We heard it from (people of) the Koteroko village.' (Tolohe)

The postposition can also occur with other postpositions such as heno in (166).
(166) tsehalihenota kotehala $\varnothing=$ ainakoa
tsehali heno =ta kotehala $\varnothing=$ ainakoa
rock on.top SOUR bird 3sg fly
'The bird flew from the top of the rock.' (E)

### 3.6.17 zeta 'allative'

The postposition zeta indicates a movement to a location.
(167) Fofinho neyenae azanikitsene cidade zeta

Fofinho en=eze -nae a- zane -ki -tsa =ene cidade =zeta
Fofinho 3sg father PL TH go CAUS TH 30 city ALL
'Fofinho's parents made him to go to the city.' (E)

### 3.7 Adjectives and Adverbs

### 3.7.1 Adjectives

Adjectives form a very small class of just eight words. These are words for dimensions (kalore 'big', kirane 'small', wahahare 'tall'), physical properties (tihe 'bitter',
katyala 'sour', timena 'heavy'), age (waitare 'old') and value (waiye 'good'). These words share properties with stative verbs in that they take personal proclitics and verb inflection. In (168), the stative verb kirane 'small' takes the proclitic $n o=$ ' 1 sg ' from set B. Example (169) shows the stative verb kalore 'big' taking the suffix -ta 'imperfective' (an allomorph of -ita which occurs only with statives) with a meaning of temporariness.
(168) nokirani
no= kirane
1sg small
'I am small' (E)
(169) nozaotsehalitiri

## kaloreta

no= zaotsehaliti -ra kalore -ta
1sg wound POSSED big PROG
'The wound is big' (E)

Paresi adjectives can function as modifiers of nouns without any additional morphology, different from verbs which need the nominalizer -re to serve this function. Adjectives, as defined by Croft (2000), are prototypically a modifier referring to a property, and will be unmarked in this function.

According to Brandão (2009), Paresi adjectives seem to modify nouns with or without the nominalizer, as in examples (170) and (171) respectively. However, adjectives with a nominalizer are better analyzed as being in an appositional noun phrases than as modifiers of nouns. Evidence for considering this construction as composed of two appositional noun phrases is that both timenere and the noun kaxali can take the nominal plural -nae: kaxalinae timenerenae 'the boxes, the ones that are heavy'.
(170) hati kalore tyomaha hati kalore $\varnothing=$ tyoma -ha house big 3sg make PL 'They made a big house' (E)
(171) kaxali timenerenae ezoa
kaxali timena -ze -nae $\varnothing=$ ezoa
box heavy NMLZ PL 3sg fall
'The heavy boxes fell down' (E)

In general, adjectives follow nouns, as seen in (170); examples where they precede nouns are rare, as in (172).
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (172) ehare } & \text { kahare } & \text { oliti } & \text { aitxita } & \text { kalore } & \text { matsene } \\ \text { ehare } & \text { kahare } & \text { oliti } & \emptyset=\text { aitxi } & \text {-ta } & \text { kalore }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { matsene }\end{array}\right)$

### 3.7.2 Adverbs

Adverbs are defined as "modifiers of constituents other than nouns" (Schachter \& Shopen, 2007: 20). In Paresi, they function as modifiers of predicates and as predicate heads. As modifiers, they do not take inflectional morphology, but as predicates they take some verbal morphology such as personal proclitics and aspect marking. Generally, time adverbs are clause-initial, but some of them can also occur before the verb, and few can occur at the end of a clause.

Temporal adverbs are important to give the time reference in a clause. When there are no present or past tense markers, the use of time adverbs is the only indication of time in a clause. Most of these temporal adverbs are monomorphemic, but kafaka 'yesterday' and makani 'tomorrow' can take the irrealis iya, the transitional -hena, and the classifier -tse 'CLF:small' meaning 'few days' before or after the present time, respectively. Paresi time adverbials are shown in Table 29.

Table 29: Temporal adverbs

| Adverb | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| kozaita | today |
| kalini, kalikini | now, today, nowadays |
| awitsa | soon |
| kafaka | yesterday |
| kafakatse | recently, days ago |
| makani | tomorrow |
| makanitse | few days ahead |
| kamaetali | in another day |
| weta | early |
| toahiya | formerly |

The adverb kalini means 'now, today, nowadays'. It can occur as modifier of a predicate as in (173) and (174), or as a predicate head in nonverbal predicates, as in (175) where it is negated by the negative focus xini. There is another form with the same meaning: kalikini (176). I have not found differences between kalini and kalikini, which are described in Silva (2013) to be "today" and "now" respectively.

| kalini | $\mathrm{wi}=$ wawa | $\mathrm{wi}=$ tsaona | - ita | witso | -ta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| today | 1 pl | alone | 1 pl | COP | IFV |
| 1 pl | EMPH |  |  |  |  |

'Nowadays we are alone.' (Bacaval tahi)


| (175) maitsa | kalini | xini | iyalahaliti | $\varnothing=$ tyaona | maitsa | kalini |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maitsa | kalini | xini | iyalahali -ti | $\varnothing=$ tyaona | maitsa | kalini |
| NEG | today | NEG | bad.thing UNPOSS | 3sg happen | NEG | now |
| xini |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| xini |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'It is not nowadays that bad things happen.' (Aug nawenane) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(176) kalikini ali witsaonita
kalikini ali wi= tsaona -ita
today here 1 pl live IFV
'Nowadays we live here.' (cabeceira)

The adverb kafaka refers to the day before the utterance time (177). It can also refer to few days before yesterday together with the expression haiya zowakiya 'some time' (178).
(177) kafakala
kafaka =la wi= yane eye airaze -ro -za maniya
yesterday $=$ FOC 1 pl go this savory NMLZ CLF:liquid side wimatya
$\mathrm{w}=$ imatya
1 pl beat.cipó.vine
'Yesterday we went to side of the perfume river to beat cipó vine.' (emaniya-LZ)
(178) hiyeta haiya zowakiya kafaka [...] Timidyo "maiha
hiyeta haiya zowakiya kafaka Timidyo maiha
? IND2 period yesterday Timidyo NEG
wikanityohalitirire ekohena"
wi= ka- inityohare -ti -ri -re eko -hena
1pl ATTR be.old UNPOSS ? NMLZ ? TRS
'Because of this, the day before yesterday, [...] Timidyo (said): "we do not have any elders.' (Fenare)

For a period further back in the past, the classifier -tse 'small' with the meaning of 'few days' is attached to kafaka 'yesterday'. It can refer to few days ago, as in (179) where kafakatse is used with the meaning 'recently'. It can also refer to years ago, as in (180), where kafakatse 'some days in the past' occurs with the expression kalini witxiyehenere
terehokoane 'the year that just passed' to express the meaning 'two years ago'.

| (179) hatyaotseta | kafakatse | kaitserehare | polo base |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyaotseta | kafaka | -tse | kaitsere hare | polo base |
| then |  | yesterday CLF:small | EMPH? NMLZ | health service center |
| mokotse | $\varnothing=$ tyaonehena |  | Nova Esperança | Formoso zoaha |
| mokotse | $\varnothing=$ tyaone | -hena | Nova Esperança | Formoso zoaha |
| baby | 3sg become, stay | TRS | Nova Esperança | Formoso and | 'Then recently there was a small health service center in Nova Esperança and Formoso villages.' (Batsaji tahi)


| (180) $\varnothing=$ kazakoita |  | ene | hoka | kafakatse |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\varnothing=$ kazako | -ita | $=$ ene | hoka | kafaka | -tse |
| 3sg take care | IFV | =PST | CON yalini |  |  |
| witxiyehenere |  | terehokoane |  |  |  |
| wi= txiye -hene -re | terehokoane |  |  |  |  |
| 1pl pass TRS | NMLZ | year |  |  |  |
| 'He was taking care of it two years ago.' (Batsaji tahi) |  |  |  |  |  |

The adverb kafaka 'yesterday' occurs in the form kafakiya which is rarely found in my corpus. The iya may be analyzed as the irrealis marker (similar to the use of iya with makani). kafakiya indicates a period in the past before yesterday:
(181) kotyatyaore niraene hitiyata ezahe kafakiya kotyatya -ore $n=$ irae -ne hitiya -ta ezahe kafaka =iya ? EMPH 1sg talk POSSED again EMPH CON yesterday IRR? 'As I said the day before yesterday.' (tolohe)
(182) zane wonita kafakiya kafaka kalore notiya $\emptyset=$ zane w= onita kafak =iya kafaka kalore no= tiya 3 sg go $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ SOUR yesterday IRR? yesterday a.lot $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ cry 'He died (lit.: went from us) some time ago, and yesterday I cried a lot.' (Enore)

There is also only one example where kafaka 'yesterday' functions as the head of a predicate, and it takes verb morphology, the transitional -hena.
(183) kalini aka eye mehezoawiharetere kafakehenere kalini aka eye mehezoawi -hare -ita -re kafake -hene -re now ? this deceased MASC IFV NMLZ yesterday TRS NMLZ
haliti niyatyawini
haliti niyatya
person ?
'This deceased one who was buried few days ago.' (Fenare nawenane)

In order to refer to a period in the distant past, another adverb is used: toahiya.
(184) toahiya ene atyo atyoakoa wakakehitita
toahiya =ene =atyo atyoa -koa wa= kake -hitita
in.the.old.days $=\mathrm{PST}=\mathrm{TOP}$ basket LOC 1 pl squeeze REP?
'We squeezed in the sieve in the old days.' (Oloniti zaka)

The adverb makani refers to an event that happened posterior to the day of the utterance time (185), and similar to kafaka 'yesterday', it can also refer to a time after tomorrow when used with the irrealis iya (186) and (187), or the word kamaitali 'another day' (188).
(185) hũ ite makani enatyokoe waiya hoka
hũ =ite makani en= atyokoe $\quad$ = waiya hoka
INTERJ =FUT tomorrow 3sg grandfather 3sg see CON
Ø=bakatene enomana
Ø= baka -tya =ene e= nomana
3sg pay TH 30 3sg BEN
'Yeah, tomorrow his grandfather will see (the cloth) and will pay for it for him.'
(iraiti Batsaji)
(186) makaniyana ite ali mahalitihare
makani =ya =ana =ite ali ma- haliti -hare
tomorrow =IRR DAT? =FUT here NEG person MASC
Ø=tyohena iraeakatya maheta, aliyerenae kakoa,
Ø= tyo -hena irae -aka -tya maheta ali -yere -nae =kakoa
3sg come TRS talk? TH PURP here NMLZ PL =COM
halitinae kakoa maheta
haliti -nae =kakoa maheta
person PL $=$ COM PURP
'Next week, the non-Indian will come to talk to the people from here.' (makani tahi)

| (187) "hakolahena | ite | makaniya |  | hitsota |  | hoka |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ha= kola -hena | $=$ ite | makani |  | ma | hitso | -ta | hoka '"You can take (the radio), next time you come you pay" (he said) if it doesn't work, I won't pay.' (ketetse)

(188) makani kamaitalite notyoheta
makani kamaitali =te no= tyoa -heta
tomorrow another.day FUT 1sg come PERF
'The day after tomorrow I will come back.' (Kabikule nawenane)
kozaita describes an event that occurred in the same day as the utterance time. It occurs only as a modifier of a predicate in clause-initial position as in (189), and before or after the verb. There are few occurrences of this adverb in my corpus.
(189) kozaita Jatobá txoa hiyane hoka natyo xirahare atyo
kozaita Jatobá txoa hi= zane hoka natyo xirahare =atyo
today Jatobá AFF 2sg go CON 1sg poor.thing =TOP
maiha Jatoba nozaniye hekoti
maiha Jatoba no= zane -re hekoti
NEG Jatoba 1sg go NMLZ yet??
'Today you went to Jatobá, poor thing! I have not gone there yet.' (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 2)

Other temporal adverbs are awitsa 'later, soon', weta 'early', (k)ozaka 'already', and mene/minita 'always'. The lists I provided here are as complete as possible with the current corpus, but other temporal adverbs may be identified with further research.
(190) haze awitsa
h= aza awitsa
2 sg ask soon
'Ask her later.' (iraiti Batsaji)
(191) weta ali $\varnothing=$ tyoa xirahalo weta ali $\varnothing=$ tyoa xira -halo early here 3sg come poor.thing FEM 'Poor girl, she came here early' (iraiti Batsaji)
(192) kozaka $\varnothing=$ bandonatyaha ene tyotya ene kozaka
kozaka $\varnothing=$ bandona -tya -ha =ene tyotya =ene kozaka already 3sg leave TH PL =PST everything, all =PST already ene wenakalati
=ene awenaka
$=$ PST village
'Already all of them had left the village.' (Katomo nawenane)

The adverb mene 'always' is different from other adverbs because it does not occur clause-initially, as seen in (193). In (194), it has the form minita with the progressive marker -ita.

| azeze | zema | notyaone | mene | hoka | nawaiyore |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| azeze | zema | no $=$ tyaone | mene | hoka | na= waiyore |
| older.brother | COM | 1 sg | COP | for.a.long.time | CON |
| 1sg know |  |  |  |  |  |

'I was always with my brother in order to learn how to hunt.' (Katomo nawenane)
(194) ferakoa komita ene atyo $\varnothing=$ waiyetahene
ferakoa komita =ene =atyo $\varnothing=$ waiye -ita -ha =ene
in the morning almost $=\mathrm{PST}=\mathrm{TOP} 3 \mathrm{sg}$ see, watch IFV PL
minita kalikini Britonae finado Mauricionae neye
minita kalikini Brito -nae finado Mauricio -nae neye
always now Brito PL decesead Mauricio PL father
'Almost every day they came to visit them, like the deceased Brito, Mauricio's
father.' (Batsaji tahi)

In addition to the words in Table 29, there are items which refer to the times of the day, as shown in Table 30.

Table 30: Times of the day

| Form | Composition | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kozakita | kozaka?-ita <br> already-IFV | dawn |
| zaoliti | zaoli-ti <br> early in the morning-UNPOSS | early in the morning |
| kanahe | kanahe | 6am |
| ferakoa | fera-k-oa <br> always?-TH-MM | in the morning |
| tota hikoa | tota hikoa <br> straight show.up | midday |
| makakoa | maka-k-oa <br> night-TH-MM? | in the afternoon |
| makakoane | waiye maka-k-oa-ne <br> good nght-TH-MM-POSSED? | 3pm |
| maka, makiya | mak-iya <br> night-? | at night |
| makatihota | maka-tiho-ta <br> night-face-? | 7pm |
| waha maka | waha maka <br> long night | 9pm |
| wahazati | waha-za-ti <br> long-?-UNPOSS | midnight |
| kawero makati | kawero maka-ti <br> night-UNPOSS | after midnight |

The words in the table above function chiefly to modify verbs or sentences. Some of them are compounds that have a transparent morphology. They also may occur as predicate heads, taking some verb morphology (for example the transitional -hena).

To illustrate some of these adverbs, I will show examples from the text Hitsehaliti that tells how the Paresi ancestor made their traditional festivals. As seen in (195), (198) and (199), the adverbs ferakoa 'day', wahazati 'midnight', totahikoa 'midday' are used as nonverbal predicates.
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { (195) kaoka } & \text { zoana } & \text { ferakoahena } & & \text { zamani } & \text { zoana } & \text { maka } \\ \text { kaoka } & \text { zoana } & \text { ferakoa } & \text {-hena } & \text { zamani } & \text { zoana } & \text { maka } \\ \text { arrive what } & \text { in the morning } & \text { TRS } & \text { DUB } & \text { what } & \text { night } \\ \varnothing=\text { hikoahena } & & \text { zamani } & & & \\ \varnothing=\text { hikoa } & \text {-hena } & \text { zamani } & & & \\ \text { 3sg come.out } & \text { TRS } & \text { DUB } & \\ \text { 'The guests may arrive in the morning, or when it is getting dark' }\end{array}$
(196) zoana zaolitiaka zamanityo $\varnothing=$ kaoka harekahare
zoana zaolitiaka zamani =tyo $\varnothing=$ kaoka harekahare
what early in the morning DUB $=$ TOP 3sg arrive host 'Or very early in the morning'
(197) tohiyerehare atyo kozakita $\varnothing=$ kaotse hitiya tohiye -re -hare =atyo kozakita $\varnothing=$ kaotse hitiya in.the.old.days NMLZ MASC =TOP dawn 3sg wake.up again
zolihikoaneta
zolihi hikoa -ta
star? come.out IFV
'The ancestors wake up at dawn when the star is coming out'
(198) wahazatihena hazotokalahare $\quad \varnothing=$ halaitsa nikahena
wahazati -hena ha= zotokala -hare $\varnothing=$ halaitsa nika -hena
midnight TRS 3sg ? MASC 3sg leave go.IMP TRS
zoimahalitinae nea
zoimahaliti -nae nea
boy PL say
'When it is midnight, he leaves the festival and he says, "keep on guys"'
(199) totahikoahena kazaloza $\quad \varnothing=$ moka
totahikoa -hena kazalo -za $\quad \varnothing=$ moka
midday TRS type.of.manioc CLF:liquid 3sg put
'When it is midday he puts chicha of the kazalo cassava [in the middle of the yard].' (hitsehaliti)

The classifier -tse 'small' also occurs with the words for the times of the day adding the meaning 'at the beginning', as with makiya 'at night', in (200).


The adverb maka may also function as a predicate, though this construction is rare and its meaning as a predicate has been difficult to determine.
(201) maiha, maiha, ira hoka nalita ekakoa xini wikaotse
maiha maiha ira hoka nali -ta $\mathrm{e}===$ kakoa xini wi=kaotse
NEG NEG AFF CON there EMPH $\varnothing=$ COM FOC 1 pl woke.up
wimaka maheta xini
wi= maka maheta xini
1pl night PURP FOC
'No, no. We are not there with him inthe morning and at night (lit.: No, no, we are not there with him when we wake up and when we sleep)' (Enore)

I analyze adverbs as a separate class distinct from verbs or nouns. Although some adverbs look like they have frozen verbal morphology (adverbs ending with -oa, which resemble the middle voice morpheme), they do not take most of the verbal morphology which is typical of verbs, such as personal clitics and valency-changing morphology, and they function differently. Some adverbs look like nouns, as for example wahazati 'midnight', where $-t i$ resembles the unpossessed marker used with nouns, and it can be used as an argument in the clause, as in (202). However, adverbs cannot be pluralized, nor can they take postpositions like nouns can.
(202) wahazati hikoahena wihiye
wahazati hikoa -hena wi= hiye
midnight show.up TRS 1pl BEN
'The midnight is coming.' (E)

In the lexicon Silva (2013) provides, the words ferakoa and maka are listed as
verbs meaning 'grow light' and 'grow dark' respectively, while makiya and wahazati are listed as nouns meaning 'night' and 'midnight' respectively. However, in the examples I have, the noun zatini 'night' occurs instead of makiya, as shown in (203). It is possible that makiya can also occur in this context but more work is needed to clarify this.
(203) maiha notemaita hinama zatini
maiha no= tema -ita hinama zatini
NEG 1sg sleep IFV two night
'I have not slept for two nights.' (E)

### 3.7.3 Relation between adverbs, adjectives an stative verbs

Stative verbs and adjectives in Paresi can modify nouns as well as other verbs, functioning as manner adverbs or adverbs of intensity. The most common adjectives modifying other verbs in my corpus are: kalore 'big', waiye 'good', and waha 'long'; the most common stative verbs in this function are: kinatya 'be strong', hazerore 'be fast', howitihare 'be difficult'. As adverbs, they precede the verb they modify as shown in the examples below from (205) to (208), and follow the verb when they are used as modifiers, as in (204) (§5.2.1.3). This is also attested by Silva (2013:336) who says statives at the left of a predicate can only modify the predicate, not the noun.
(204) wenakalati
wena -kala -ti
life NMLZ UNPOSS
'The village was big' (Bacaval)
(205)
no $=$ tyoke -heta kalore no= tiya -hena no $=\mathrm{z}=$ aheko -li
1sg sit PERF big 1sg cry TRS 1sg NMLZ? think POSSED
txiyahaotya natyo
txiyahao -tya natyo
exceed TH 1sg
'I sat and I was crying a lot, I was thinking too much.' (Tolohe)
(206) kala waiye witsaonita
kala waiye wi= tsaon -ita
DUB good 1 pl COP IFV
'I think we are doing well.' (Bacaval tahi)
(207) kinatya $\quad \varnothing=$ hoholaita
kinatya $\quad \varnothing=$ hoholati -ita
be.strong 3sg wind IFV
'It is blowing strongly.' (zanekoare)
(208) hazerore wamiyatya
hazero wa= miya -tya
be.fast 1 pl finish TH
'We finished very fast.' (ketetse)

Similar to other adverbs, statives and adjectives in this function may also occur clause-initially (209), and can occur with the transitional -hena (210). The example in (211) is the only example (in my corpus) of a adjective that occurs following the modified verb.
(209) kalore hakita
kalore $\quad$ = haka -ita
big 3sg work IFV
'You work a lot.' (JG nawenane)
(210) eze ekoihiakotatyo matsakare kalorehena $\varnothing=$ fakita
eze ekoihiako ta =tyo matsakare kalore -hena $\varnothing=$ faka -hena
this groin SOUR TOP EMPH? big TRS 3sg swell TRS
ihiye aoka ezanene
$\mathrm{i}=$-hiye aoka $\mathrm{e}=$ zanene
3sg BEN say 3sg husband
'Her husband said that she said her groin is really swollen.' (Katomo Aug nali)
(211) Hatyaotseta wiyane Kyaoro nali witsaona waha hatyaotseta wi= yane Kyaoro nali wi= tyaona waha then 1 pl go Kyaoro.village LOC 1 pl stay long 'We went to Kyaoro and we stayed there a long time.' (JT nawenane)

### 3.8 Interjections and ideophones

### 3.8.1 Interjections

According to Ameka (2006:743), interjections are "words that conventionally constitute utterances by themselves and express a speaker's current mental state or
reaction towards an element in the linguistic or extralinguistic context". Formally, in Paresi, interjections do not take affixes and are generally monomorphemic. This class includes words expressing emotions, response words, words directed at animals, and words and expressions used in specific ritual contexts.

### 3.8.1.1 Words expressing emotions

The form $a k a$ or $a k a \tilde{a}$ pronounced with a nasalized vowel is used to express pain:
(212) tyaonehitiya,
tyaone -hitiya $\varnothing=$ hikoa -hitiya okoa -ti
become, stay again 3sg come.out, show.up again jealous UNPOSS
natyokoere
$\mathrm{n}=$ atyokoe -re $\quad \varnothing=$ militse -hi -tya -kakoa -hena
3sg grandfather NMLZ 3sg scratch CLF:long.slender TH REC TRS
waiye aka akaka
waiye aka
good INTERJ
'The chief of the jealousness came out (of the stone). He came out scratching himself up, ouch!' (Wazare)

The form kaxiani is the only interjection with more than two syllables. It is an exclamation of indignation, worry, or sadness.
(213) kaxiani! nikare zakore nali $\varnothing=$ tyaonahita
kaxiani nikare zakore nali $\varnothing=$ tyaona -ha -ita
INTERJ like this FRUST LOC 3sg live PL IFV 'Well, unfortunately they are living like this.' (tolohe)
(10)

| natyotya | namaikohalo, | kaxiani | maiha | zakore |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| natyo -tya | $\mathrm{n}=$ amaikohare | -halo | kaxiani | maiha |
| zakore |  |  |  |  |
| 1sg FOC | 1sg be.sad | FEM | INTERJ | NEG |
| FRUST |  |  |  |  |
| 'I am very sad, well, no, unfortunately (this happened).' (tolohe) |  |  |  |  |

The interjection hiii pronounced with an extra-long vowel expresses anger, as when someone gets in trouble.
(214) hiiiii watsero nola
hiiii $\mathrm{w}=$ atsero en= ola
INTERJ 1pl grandmother 3sg game
$\varnothing=$ hikoakihitita $\quad \varnothing=$ neaha ihiye
$\emptyset=$ hikoa $\quad$-ki $\quad$-heta -ita $\quad \varnothing=$ nea - ha $i=$ hiye
3sg come.out, show.up CAUS PERF IFV 3sg say PL 3sg BEN
'Damn! it is your fault to let the game of our grandma to go away, he said to him.'
(Txinikalore)

The interjection eh is commonly used to express surprise, astonishment in reference to a bad or unpleasant event.
(215) hakakotyatyo
haka $\quad$-ko -tya =tyo $\varnothing=$ waiye -hena move.with.the.hands LOC TH TOP 3sg see TRS hanatyoretse eh! nonatyore ha= natyore -tse eh no= natyore
3sg brother-in-law, cousin CLF:small INTERJ 1sg brother-in-law, cousin kafahare
ka- fahare
ATTR enemy
'He moved along the floor and saw his brother-in-law, "Oh no! My brother-in-law was killed" (Txinikalore)

The interjection (hi)txe is used to express amazement when something smells good (216), tastes delicious, or when something or someone has a good appearance (217).
(216) $\varnothing=$ neaha hoka, aizetya, hitxe!
$\emptyset=$ nea -ha hoka aize -tya hitxe
3sg say PL CON smell TH INTERJ
'They said and when he smelled it, wow!' (kani zaka)
(217) hiyaiya hitxe! $\varnothing=$ waiyehalo waiye tsaiane
hi= yaiya hitxe $\quad \varnothing=$ waiyehalo waiye tsaia -ne
2sg see INTERJ 3sg be.beautiful good skirt POSSED
'Look, wow! It is beautiful, their skirts looks good.' (hitsehaliti)

The interjection hohoho is an exhortation of happiness used in traditional
festivals, for example, when drinking chicha or at the end of a traditional song.

| (218) eaotsetya | Kaliberotya | Ø=neatya | hahatsahena | $\varnothing=$ nea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eaotsetya | Kalibero -tya | Ø= nea -tya | ha= hatsa -hena | ర= nea |
| here | Garimpeiro FOC | 3sg say FOC | 2sg try TRS | 3sg say |
| hohohoho |  |  |  |  |
| hohohoho |  |  |  |  |
| INTERJ |  |  |  |  |
| 'Here, Gar | mpeiro said, "try | hohohoho" | said (before | nking ch |
| (Kabikule D | Daniel iraiti 1) |  |  |  |

### 3.8.1.2 Response words

The forms hãa or hư, both with nasalized vowels, are used for agreement or to show that the hearer is listening. In the conversation below, the daughter is telling the mother the date of a party in the village, and the mother responds with hãa showing agreement with what the daughter said.
(219) D: sexta-feiratya, maiha maihala hoka sexta maiha quinta sexta-feira -tya maiha maiha $=1 a$ hoka sexta maiha quinta
Friday FOC NEG NEG =FOC CON Friday NEG Thursday
ala
=ala
$=$ FOC
'It is on Friday, no, it cannot be on Friday, I think it is on Thursday'
M: Hãa
hãa
INTERJ
'Yeah (iraiti Batsaji)

The interjection $k \dot{a}$ with a rising intonation is used to express admiration or happiness in reference to something good that was said. For example, in (220), the grandfather (G) shows his admiration for the bravery of the three children (C) who want to kill the Txinikalore monster.
(220) C: Txinikalore waitsa no atyo

Txinikalore w= aitsa atyo
Txinikalore 1 pl kill grandfather
'We will kill the Txinikalore'
G: ká!
ká
INTERJ
'Oooh!' (Txinikalore)

In (221), the husband (H) expresses his happiness when he learns his cousin is female and that she will be his wife.

H : zoare $\quad \varnothing=$ tyaona nakero koko ityani?
zoare $\varnothing=$ tyaona $n=$ akero koko ityani
what 3 sg be 1 sg aunt uncle son, daughter
'What is the child of my aunt and uncle?
A: ohiro mokotse
ohiro mokotse
woman baby
'It is a female'
(222) H: ká! nezanityo ite $\varnothing=$ tyaona taitatyo hoka
ká $\mathrm{n}=$ ezanityo =ite $\quad \varnothing=$ tyaona taita =tyo hoka
INTERJ 1 sg wife $=$ FUT 3 sg COP only $=$ TOP CON
$\varnothing=$ peditxita $\quad \varnothing=$ tyaona
$\varnothing=$ peditya - ita $\quad \varnothing=$ tyaona
3sg ask.for IFV 3sg born
'"Ooh! Then she is going to be my wife", since the moment she is born, he asks her.' (Toahiyerehare-DB)

The interjection ihooo is the hosts' response to their guests in a traditional festival.
(223)ihooo! nita ihooo! zeaira $\quad \varnothing=$ iraehena $\quad \varnothing=$ halaitsa ihooo nita ihooo $z=$ nea ira $\varnothing=$ irai -hena $\varnothing=$ halaitsa INTERJ say INTERJ 2pl say AFF 3sg talk TRS 3sg leave 'Ihooo! says (the host), when (the guest) begins and finishes his speech.' (hitsehaliti)

### 3.8.1.3 Words directed at animals

The interjection hãi is a conventionalized form directed at dogs with the meaning 'get out!'. In (224), the woman (W) asks the man (M) to take the dogs out of the house:
(224) W: Eye toli hahikoatya
eye toli ha= hikoa -tya
this pile 2sg come.out, show.up TH
'Take out this pile (of dogs)'
M: hãa!
'Get out! (to the dogs).' (iraiti Batsaji)

### 3.8.2 Ideophones

Ideophones are defined as words that vividly represent sounds/sensory events (Voeltz \& Hatz, 2001). As defined by Dingemanse (2009), ideophones differ from interjections because they are not 'response cries', that is, they do not index stances to events in the immediate context of the speech event (similar to direct reactions). In Paresi, phonologically, they can exhibit vowel lengthening and nasalization (as seen also with interjections), and usually there is reduplication of syllables (except when the action referred to by the ideophone is punctual). Ideophones appear in a post-verbal position, and sometimes before the quotative verb nea 'say'. Only the ideophone tsaboo occurs as a predicate.

Another characteristic is that these words are often used in storytelling. Most of the examples I found in my corpus come from two main traditional stories: Txinikalore (Txinikalore is a monster who killed the parents of three children who later exact their revenge), and Wazare, the Paresi creation myth. All of these forms are sound-related, as will be illustrated below. Except for the widely-used zoi zoi and tsoboo, ideophones do not appear to be conventionalized and may be spontaneously created by speakers. In Table 31, I present a list of the ideophones found in my corpus.

Table 31: Ideophones

| Ideophone | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| kẽ kẽ | shooting with an arrow |
| zoi zoi | shooting |
| tsobooo | jump into the water |
| tsai | cutting with a knife |
| tsok tsok | cutting with an axe <br> beating (with a hard outer <br> layer) |
| taĩ taĩ | scattering |
| zũũ | throwing down |
| tũh | cracking |
| kiooo | breaking |
| tok | water dripping |
| tobi tobi | thunder rumbling |
| wididi wididi | imitating a bat sound |
| txi txi txi |  |

The ideophone ke $k \tilde{e}$ is used to describe the action of shooting with an arrow and zoi zoi describes the action of shooting in general. In the examples (226) and (227), zoi $z o i$ is used by different speakers, therefore it is a conventionalized form. In the first two examples they describe the sound of shooting an arrow, and in (227) zoi zoi was also used by another speaker to describe the sound of shooting a gun.
(225) enotsetseharehena

Ø=zanehitaha
eno -tse -tse -hare -hena $\varnothing=$ zane -h -ita -ha
high CLF:small CLF:small MASC TRS 3sg go PL IFV PL
Ø=kaokehena waikoakore ehanaha $\quad$ =xakatetya
$\varnothing=$ kaoke -hena waikoakore $\mathrm{e}=$ hana -ha $\varnothing=$ xaka -te -tya
3sg arrive TRS Indian 3sg house PL 3sg shoot ? TH
waiya kẽ kẽ
Ø= waiya
3 sg see
'They went up and then other Indians arrived shooting at his house ke $k e^{\prime}$
(Txinikalore)
(226) Ehare kohezanityotse aokahiteriya watomitya ako itse atyo ehare kohezanityotse aoka -hiteriya wa= tomitya ako itse atyo this type.of.bird say ? 1 pl wound with an arrow ? ? TOP watomitxita zoi zoi wakeratita
wa= tomitya
-ita wa= kera -tya -ita
1 pl wound with an arrow IFV 1 pl burn TH IFV
'We shot these birds, which they say are Kohezanityotse, zoi zoi and then we burnt [the birds].' (JT nawenane)
(227) waiya zoi zoi

Ø= waiya
3 sg see
'[I shot with a gun] zoi zoi.' (Katomo nali)

The ideophone tsoboo is also a conventionalized ideophone, the only one in my corpus that occurs as a predicate, referring to the sound and action of jumping into the water:

| (228) tehena | $\varnothing=$ zane | $\varnothing=$ owehena | $\varnothing=$ txiyeta | toli | tsoboo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| te -hena | $\varnothing=$ zane | $\varnothing=$ owe -hena | $\varnothing=$ txiye | -ta | toli |

The form tsai is used in one narrative to describe the sound associated with the action of cutting something with a knife-like instrument, as in (229), while tsok tsok is used in another narrative told by another speaker, to describen cutting with an axe (230). The type of instrument used in the action is lexically encoded in the verb, but the ideophones emphasize it.

```
(229) Ø=irikohitiya tsai
    \varnothing= iriko hitiya
    3sg cut again
    'He cut again tsai!'' (Txinikalore)
```

(230) $\varnothing=$ iyeheta Ø= iye -heta 3sg catch PERF 3sg cut, cut down 'He caught (the axe) tsok tsok cutting the buriti tree.' (JT nawenane)

The form taĩ taĩ occurs to represent the action of beating something with a hard outer layer, such as a coconut as in example (231):
(231) $\varnothing=$ etolitsoa nomita hoka $\varnothing=$ mokohenaha
$\varnothing=$ etolitsa -oa nomi -ta hoka $\varnothing=$ moko -hena -ha
3sg lay down MM say IFV CON 3sg hit TRS PL
olokolitse, tamakolitse $\varnothing=$ mokotya taĩ, taĩ
olokoli -tse tamakolitse $\varnothing=$ mokotya bacuri.fruit CLF:small type.fruit 3sg beat
'They were lying down, cracking the bacuri and the tamakolitse fruits taĩ taĩ.' (Txinikalore)

Other non-conventionalized sound-related ideophones are noises for scattering zozoka or throwing something down tũh:
(232) eaotseta hakomatala
$\varnothing=$ zozoka waiya zũũ
eaotseta ha= komata -la $\quad \varnothing=$ zozoka $\varnothing=$ waiya
then 3 sg beans POSSED 3sg scatter 3sg see
hatawaneha tsiri $\varnothing=$ ezoaetsaha waiya tũh!
ha= tawane -ha tsiri $\varnothing=$ ezoa -e -tsa -ha $\varnothing=$ waiya
3sg victim PL head 3sg fall CAUS TH PL 3sg see
'Then he scattered his beans züũ and he threw down the head of his victim tũh!
(Txinikalore)

In addition, ideophones can occur with the quotative verb nea 'say', as seen in the examples below. The ideophone kiaooo is a noise for cracking (233), and tok for breaking (234):

DUB 3sg arm CLF:round CLF:inside PL 3sg be.weak TH PL 3sg see
$\varnothing=$ taika kiaooo kiaoooo nea $\quad \varnothing=$ tyaona $\quad \varnothing=$ mokaha
$\varnothing=$ taika nea $\varnothing=$ tyaona $\varnothing=$ moka -ha
3sg shatter say 3sg COP 3sg put PL
'Then, the branches of the trees were weak and they started to crack kiaooo kiaooo.'
(Wazare)
(234) zoare halani $\varnothing=$ tiyakoita nomanikoahaliranae
zoare halani $\varnothing=$ tiya -ko -ita no= manikoahali -nae
what ? 3sg cry LOC IFV 1sg ? PL
notaholoni $\quad \varnothing=$ nita ena tok akaĩ
no $=$ taholo -ni $\quad \varnothing=$ nita ena akaĩ
1sg ? POSSED 3sg say man INTERJ
'Why my dears are crying inside of me? the man said, and then tok ouch!'
(Txinikalore)

Other ideophones in my corpus are: tobi tobi, used when water is dripping (235), wididi wididi, for a noise like thunder rumbling (236), and txi txi txi which imitates the sound bats make (237).
(235) Koima neiye hoka $\varnothing=$ aimazatya one hoka tobi tobi

Koima nea hoka $\varnothing=$ aimaza -tya one hoka
Koima say CON 3sg catch TH water CON
Ø=nehena hoka one tohi hiye noxiti
$\varnothing=$ nea -hena hoka one tohi =hiye noxiti
3sg say TRS CON water drop =BEN ?
'He said Koima, and he caught the water drop, and when the water is tobi tobi, it is
the drop of water flute.' (iyamaka-BO)
(236) $\varnothing=$ airirikoita
$\varnothing=$ aiririko -ita
3sg make.noise IFV
'It was making a noise widdi, widdi.' (Wazare)
(237) $\varnothing=$ iyehenahitene $\quad \emptyset=$ herawatya
$\emptyset=$ iye -hena -hit =ene $\varnothing=$ herawatya
3sg catch TRS PERF 30 3sg take.out. from.hole
Ø=iyehenahitene ezotse, hiyahakoatya txi txi txi
$\emptyset=$ iye -hena -hit =ene e= zotse hi= yahakoa -tya
3sg catch TRS PERF 3O 3sg eye 2sg look TH
Ø=nea
Ø= nea
3sg say
'They got it (the arrow) back and pulled her eyes out, "look: txi txi txi", they said (imitating bats).' (Txinikalore)

## Chapter 4 - Nouns and nominal morphology

### 4.0 Introduction

In this chapter the noun class, nominal morphology, and the noun phrase will be defined and described structurally and functionally. Nouns in Paresi exhibit distinctions in number and types of possession. They can also function as arguments of predicates or postpositions. First I present a definition of noun root and noun stem, then I describe the nominal category of number (§4.2). Then, I describe the distinction between alienable and inalienable possession in $\S 4.3$, the process of noun compounding in $\S 4.4$, noun classification in $\S 4.5$, and nominal derivation in $\S 4.6$. The noun phrase is discussed in §4.7. Noun incorporation will be described in another chapter §5.3.3.1.

### 4.1 Noun roots and stems

### 4.1.1 Noun roots

Noun roots can occur without modification, or be bound, if they only occur with other morphemes like possessor marker or another nominal root (see inalienable nouns §4.3.1).

Most of the nouns are two syllable roots, and there are few nominal roots with more than three syllables. Some of these longer roots have reduplicated syllables (as the example kololokare 'type of anu bird). Longer roots appear to be fossilized root-suffix combinations. For example, tamitsone 'sister-in-law', hawaretse 'peccary', and tanakoli 'cheek' appear to contain the possessed suffix -ne and the classifiers -tse 'CLF:small' and -li 'CLF:round', respectively, see Table 32 for more examples.

Table 32: roots with a lexicalized suffix

| Bound Roots | Translation | Unbound Roots | Translation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tanakoli | cheek | txikolomo | night owl |
| tamitsone | sister-in-law (of a <br> woman) | kalowero | type of hummingbird |
| mahiyatse | heart | hawaretse | peccary |
| ximalini | young brother | kololokare | type of anu bird |

There are a few phonological restrictions at the beginning of words, as for example, few noun roots begin with the syllables $e$, wi or $x i$. These restrictions may be due to their similarity with personal clitics $w i=' 1 \mathrm{pl}^{\prime}, x i=' 2 \mathrm{pl}$ ' and $e=' 3 \mathrm{sg}$ '.

### 4.1.2 Inherent reduplication

The noun roots listed below involve repetition of phonological segments in which it is not possible to identify the meaning of the reduplicated form. The process generally occurs with onomatopoeic forms that denote animals (specially birds). According to the traditional definition of reduplication these reduplicated form are not "true reduplication".

This definition excludes forms which do not have non-reduplicated counterparts. Paresi, then, has cases of lexicalized reduplication in which non-reduplicated forms either have been lost or never existed, as is often the case with symbolic (often onomatopoeic) reduplicative forms. Inherent reduplication concerns these reduplicated forms where no independent base can be identified (Van der Voort and Gomez, forthcoming). Therefore I treat the reduplicated forms in Paresi as "inherent reduplication".

In Table 32, I give some examples of inherent reduplication.

Table 33: Inherent reduplication

| Reduplicated Forms | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| tarotaro | Southern Lapwing (Portuguese: <br> 'quero-quero') |
| tolotolo | type of hummingbird |
| towitowi | type of hawk |
| tokotokore | type of hawk |
| tamotamo | type of bird (jacu) |
| zozokoko | cicada |
| zokozoko | ant |
| koxikoxi | type of monkey |
| malamala | lung |

### 4.1.3 Noun Stems

A noun stem is formed by a noun root and possessed suffixes (§4.3.2) and/or nominalizer suffixes. The structure of the noun word is shown in (1). The noun word includes the possessor, the noun stem, the plural and/or postpositions. This is illustrated in example (2).
(1) Possessor $+\left[\{\text { ROOT }+\{\text { UNPOSS } / \text { POSSED }\}]_{\text {stem }}+\right.$ PL + POSP

```
(2) hakohonenaekakoa kakoa
    ha= koho -ne -nae =kakoa
    3sg basket POSSED PL COM1
    'With her baskets.' (ketetse)
```


### 4.2 Number

### 4.2.1 The plural/associative -nae

In Paresi, plural forms of nouns and nominal forms may be marked by the form -nae. However, the plural is only obligatorily marked with humans, as in (3) through (5). The morpheme -nae may also be used as an associative. ${ }^{32}$

[^21]

In contrast to the collective toli (see 4.2.2), -nae when occurring with humans does not have a collective meaning. For example, in (6), the noun haliti 'Paresi person' is used with toli meaning 'spatially contiguous group of people ', while with -nae (7) the meaning is non-collective, i.e., it refers to the Paresi individuals in each village (therefore a non-contiguous group) who are working in the health service system.

```
(6) \(\varnothing=\) tanatyoa
Ø=waiyehena haliti toli
\(\varnothing=\) tanatyoa
\(\varnothing=\) waiye -hena haliti toli
3sg turn the head to look 3sg see, watch TRS person COL
tximahitsekoni
tximahitse -koni
faint? in.the.middle.of
```

'He looked around, and he saw a group of people who fainted (inside of the rock).' (Wazare)
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { (7) eye halitinae } & \text { hakitere } & \text { ehare } & \text { kahehaliti } & \text { tahi } & \text { kakoa } \\ \text { eye } & \text { haliti } & \text {-nae } & \text { haki } & \text {-te } & \text {-re } & \text { ehare } \\ \text { kahehaliti } & =\text { tahi } & =\text { kakoa } \\ \text { this person PL } & \text { work IFV NMLZ } & \text { this } & \text { illness } & =\text { about } & =\text { COM } \\ \text { 'With these Paresi people who are working in the health system.' (makani tahi) }\end{array}$

Plural marking is not obligatory with nouns referring to animals or inanimates. These are more frequent with the collective toli. (8) and (9) illustrate the occurrences of the plural with nouns for animals: awo 'emu' and kohatse with -nae. Examples (9) and (10) illustrate occurrences of the plural with nouns for inanimate referents: iye 'flower' and kahe 'hand'. In (9) kohatsenae 'fish (PL)' refers to animals that perform human actions in a myth, as seen in (9).
(8) eze matsekoa kalokoa hoka wiyanekoaita hoka
eze matse -koa kalo -koa hoka wi= yanekoa -ita hoka
this field LOC big LOC CON 1 pl hunt in the savanna IFV CON
wiyayaka oliti awonae
wi= yaya -ka oliti awo -nae
1 pl see, watch ? game hunting emu PL
'This field is very large, then we go hunt, we look for game, such as emus.' (cabeceira do Osso)
(9) kohatsenae $\quad \varnothing=$ nehena zoana kore witsaona?
kohatse -nae $\varnothing=$ nea -hena zoana kore wi= tsaona
fish PL 3sg say TRS what DUB? 1pl live
'The fish asked themselves "what should we do?" .' (ikona)
(10) Eyaotseta iyitinae $\quad \varnothing=$ imezehena
eyaotseta iye -ti -nae $\varnothing=$ imeza -hena then flower UNPOSS PL 3sg gather TRS
'Then he gathered flowers.' (Wazare)
(11) nokahenae
no= kahe -nae
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ hand PL
'my hands (E)

In general uncountable or mass nouns do not occur with -nae. The noun one 'water' is pluralized only when taking the classifier $-z a$ meaning 'river': onezanae 'rivers'. Demonstratives (12) (see §3.3) do not take the plural when in a noun phrase modifying a noun, even when the nouns themselves are marked with -nae. They only take plural when functioning as the head of an noun phrase, as in (13) to (15). Numerals are not marked for
the plural, and they do not require a pluralized noun (see § 3.5.1).
(12) ẽeze hatinae hiyaiyeta?

ẽeze hati -nae $h i=$ yaiye -ta
yonder house PL $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ see IFV
'Are you seeing those houses over there.' (E)
(13) ezenae hibaberaza?
eze -nae hi= babera -za
this PL 2sg= paper CLF:liquid
'Are these, your books?' (E)
(14) ezahiya ehare witsora ezeharenae wiraiheta
ezahiya ehare witso $=$ ra eze hare -nae w= irai -heta
? this $1 \mathrm{pl}=\mathrm{AFF}$, small this NMLZ PL 1 pl talk PERF
kalikiniyolo, kalikiniyerenae ana maitsa iya $\varnothing=$ tyakekota
kalikini iyolo kalikini -yere -nae ana maitsa =iya $\varnothing=$ tyakeko -ta now NMLZ now NMLZ PL BEN NEG =IRR 3 believe IFV 'Even if we had told to these ones, the youth of today, they would not believe it.' (T.JA.1011)
(15) zoimanae haiya eye escolatya kozaka kalikini curso
zoima -nae haiya eye escola -tya kozaka kalikini curso
child PL IND2 this school FOC already now course
Ø=tyomita haiyanae odontologia
Ø= tyom -ita haiya -nae odontologia
3sg make, do IFV IND2 PL dentistry
'From this school there are other children already taking a university course and others are studying dentistry.' (Batsaji tahi)

In addition, the plural marker must occur with the demonstrative hatyo when functioning as third person for plural reference, as in (16). The plural may also occur with other persons such as the second person plural xitso (17). However, plural marking is optional in these cases (and is used to emphasize plurality of the reference (see §3.2.3) since the plural is lexically specified in personal pronouns.
(16) hatyonae atyo $\quad \varnothing=$ tyomita nomani
hatyo -nae =atyo $\varnothing=$ tyom -ita no= mani
3 sg PL $=$ TOP 3sg make, do IFV 1 sg BEN
'They made it for me.' (BO nawenane)
(17) xitsonae kotxitseratse iranae iyatya zaitsa Txinikalore?! xitso -nae kotxitseratse =ira -nae =iya -tya $z=$ aitsa Txinikalore you all PL fragile AFF? PL =IRR ? 2pl kill Txinikalore 'You all who are fragile, you all killed Txinikalore?!' (Txinikalore)

Only one interrogative pronoun, zala 'who', which refers to humans can take the plural (18). Nominalizations, as in (19) and (20), and nominalized headless relative clauses which refer to both animates (21) and inanimates also take the plural.
(18) zalanae zamanite $\quad \varnothing=$ tyohena?
zala -nae zamani =te $\quad \varnothing=$ tyo -hena
who PL DUB =FUT 3sg come TRS
'Who are the ones coming?' (makani tahi)
(19) $\varnothing=$ tyohenaha ite $\quad \varnothing=$ iraehenaha
$=$ = tyo -hena -ha =ite $\quad \varnothing=$ irae -hena -ha
3sg come TRS PL =FUT 3sg talk TRS PL
zaotyakitsatiyenae ozaka
za- otya -ki -tsa -ti -ye -nae ozaka
NMLZ remember CAUS TH UNPOSS NMLZ PL already
waiyoreterenae kakoa hoka
waiyore -te -re -nae =kakoa hoka
know IFV NMLZ PL =COM CON
'They come to talk with the teachers who already have knowledge.' (makani tahi)
(20) tyotya Fomosokoa Formosoyerenae hiye
tyotya Fomoso -koa Formoso -yere -nae hiye
everything, all Formoso LOC Formoso NMLZ PL BEN
nokanalyaotseharehena
no= kanalyaotse -hare -hena
1sg attend MASC TRS
'I attended all the people from the Formoso village.' (Kamoro nawenane)
(21) wiyeyehenerenae $\quad \varnothing=$ nemakahitaha
wi= waiya -hena -re -nae $\varnothing=$ nema -ka -ha -ita -ha
1 pl see TRS NMLZ PL 3 sleep TH PL IFV PL
'The ones who we saw are sleeping.' (E)

The suffix -nae can also be used with an associative meaning with kinship terms
or proper names indicating members of a family or group, as in (22) through (24).
(22) waiyehenaya abebenae zema nozani
waiye -hena =ya abebe -nae zema no= zan -i
good TRS $=$ IRR grandmother PL COM 1sg go 1sg
'I can go with my grandparents (=my grandmother and my grandfather).' (iraiti Batsaji)
(23) Maria Ivetenae hana eye eheno kitxiya wiyane

Maria Ivete -nae hana eye e- heno kitxiya wi- yane Maria Ivete PL house DEM 3sg above, on.the.top until 1 pl go hoka wahaikoaheta zoimanae kakoa hoka wa= haikoa -heta zoima -nae =kakoa CON 1 pl come.back PERF child PL =COM 'We will go until the house of Maria Ivete's family, on the way up. Then we come back with the children.' (emaniya)
(24) hatyo zowakiya Katxiniti, Waimarenae, watonitatyo
hatyo zowakiya Katxiniti Waimare -nae wa= tona -ita =tyo 3sg at this time Katxiniti Waimare PL 1pl walk IFV TOP ezowakiya tseko ezowakiya tseko period, time far
'In this period, we the Katxiniti and the Waimare were used to walking long distances.' (Formoso onetse)

### 4.2.2 The collective toli

A collective morpheme indicates that a group of items is considered together rather than individually (Corbett, 2000:118). The collective $t o l i^{33}$ is used to indicated the collective nature of a group or pile of spatially contiguous things. The collective toli follows the noun. The source of this form probably is the noun (e)toli 'all, pile', as in (25) and (26).
(25) etoli wawenakala witso Enomaniere
etoli wa= wenakala witso Enomaniere
all 2 pl village 1 pl Enomaniere 'All the land was our village, ours, of we the Enomaniere.' (Formoso onetse)

[^22](26) Eye toli hahikoatya
eye toli ha= hikoa -tya
this all 2sg come.out, show.up TH
'Take these piles out of here' (iraiti Batsaji)

Collectives may co-occur with number markers, they are never obligatory, and they are typically formed from nouns low on the Animacy Hierarchy (Corbett, 2000:118). The collective toli can be used with kinship terms, both when referring to humans (27) and animals (28). It can also be used with nouns referring to animals, as shown in (29) and (30). In the same text from where the expression kohatse toli 'pile of fish' in (30) comes, the form kohatse 'fish' is also used with the plural -nae. The difference of using toli or -nae may be related to the numbers of elements in a group (toli is used with a larger number). The difference may also be related to how the speaker wishes to conceive the noun phrase, as one thing, i.e. kohatse-nae was a group of individuated fish talking to each other, while kohatse toli is some undifferentiated mass of fish. In (31), toli occurs without a noun, and the last vowel of the collective is lengthened to express the surprise of seeing the large amount of tapirs.

| (27) wiyane | wimatya | hoka ohiro | toli |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wi= yane | $\mathrm{w}=$ ima | tya | hoka | ohiro |
| toli |  |  |  |  |
| 1pl= go | $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ beat.cipó.vine | CON | woman | COL |
| maimahiro |  |  |  |  |
| ma- ima | -hi -ro |  |  |  |
| NEG cloth | ? NMLZ |  |  |  |

'We went to beat cipó vine, and a group of women were naked.' (JT )
(28) ekaliyehokotse atyo ala haiyanityo nali oza
$\mathrm{e}=$ kali -ye -hoko -tse atyo ala ha= iyanityo nali oza
3sg frog POSSED CLF:circled CLF:small TOP FOC 3sg wife LOC ?
zane kaokeheta haitsanitsehi toli kakoa
zane kaoke -heta ha= itsani -tse -hi toli kakoa
go arrive PERF 3sg son, daughter CLF:small CLF:slender COL COM
Ø=waiyahena
Ø= waiya -hena
3sg see TRS
'His small frog was already there with its wife and children, and they saw them.'
(Dirizonae)
(29) Hatyaotseta ol hatyaotseta oli toli kakoa -ha $\varnothing=$ kaoka -hena -ha -ita -ha then capybara COL COM PL 3sg arrive TRS PL IFV PL 'They arrived with a lot of capybaras.' (JT nawenane)
(30) kohatse toli nita fehanaita
kohatse toli nea -ita fehana -ita
fish a lot say IFV bless IFV
' "The pile of fish", he says blessing.' (ikona)
(31) Waiya zaore hoka kakoha ehare hatiri waiya
waiya zaore hoka kakoha ehare hati -ri waiya
see FRUST CON go.up this house CLF:round see
zaore hoka ezanene toliiii
zaore hoka $\mathrm{e}=$ zanene toli
FRUST CON 3sg husband COL
'When they went up to the roof of the house, they saw her husband, and a lot (of tapirs).' (kotyoi zaka)

In addition, the collective toli is used with mass nouns that can be grouped together in a pile, as in (32). Some substances, such as one 'water', can only bear toli if they appear with the marking of possession, forcing an interpretation of 'water' as 'a container of water' (33).
(32) alotso kozeto hare toli kazafityakita
alotso kozeto hare toli ka- za= fitya -k -ita
rice corn CON COL ATTR 2pl plant PASS IFV
'A bunch of rice and corn is being planted by you all.' (fenare nawenane)
(33) nonixi toli
$\mathrm{n}=$ one -xi toli
1sg water POSSED COL
'My piles of (bottles of) water.' (E)

### 4.3 Possession

Paresi distinguishes between types of alienable and inalienable possession. Nouns
can be classified into three types: inalienable (bound nouns), alienable nouns, and nonpossessable nouns. In Paresi, alienable nouns are more morphologically marked than inalienable ones in possessive constructions. This morphological marking follows a prediction by Haiman (1983:795) that "in no language will the phonological expression of inalienable possession be bulkier than that of alienable possession".

### 4.3.1 Inalienable nouns

Inalienable nouns are inherently possessed: they must take a possessor (a personal clitic or another nominal root) when possessed, or the unpossessed suffix - $t i$ when unpossessed. These nouns are also bound nouns, in the sense that they do not occur as free constituents. Inalienably possessed nouns only appear without the unpossessed marker - $t i$ when in compounds with other nouns. (see §4.4). In a compound, the possessor, a noun, precedes the possessed noun which is the head of the noun phrase, as seen in (34). The possessors can also be personal clitics, and the same forms used with nouns to mark possessors mark the subjects of set B verbs (except for the third person, see §3.2.1). These forms are shown in Table 34.
(34) Mazazalane tsiri Mazazalane tsiri Mazazalane head
'Mazazalane's head.' (Wazare)
Table 34: Personal clitics

| Person | Singular |  | Plural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | consonant- <br> initial roots | vowel-initial roots ${ }^{34}$ | consonant- <br> initial roots | vowel-initial roots |
| 1 | $\mathrm{no}=$ | $\mathrm{n}=$ | $\mathrm{wi}=$ | $\mathrm{w}=$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{hi}=$ | $\mathrm{h}=$ | $\mathrm{xi}=$ | $\mathrm{x}=$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{e}=/ \mathrm{i}=$ | $\mathrm{en}=/ \mathrm{in}=$, ene=/ini $=$ | $\mathrm{e}=/ \mathrm{i}=$ | $\mathrm{en}=/ \mathrm{in}=, \mathrm{ene}=/ \mathrm{ini}=$ |

Semantically, inalienable nouns include nouns for kinship terms, body or plant

[^23]parts, personal belongings, and a few other nouns. They have unpossessed forms with the suffix -ti (or -kati 'UNPOSS' for kinship terms). (35) illustrates the inalienable nouns atsero 'grandmother', iho 'tail', tsiri 'head', and tinihe 'ear' possessed by the third person.
(35) zoare kore wakolatya watsero Alaoliro waiyane
zoare kore wa= kolatya $w=$ atsero Alaoliro waiya -ne what DUB? 1pl take 1 pl grandmother PN see NMLZ katorenae? ekokore inihokatse, etsiri, itinihe katore -nae $\mathrm{e}=$ koko -re in= iho -katse $\mathrm{e}=$ tsiri $\mathrm{i}=$ tinihe brother PL 3sg uncle? 3sg tail CLF: long 3sg head 3sg ear wakolatya
wa= kolatya
1 pl take
'What are we going to take for our grandma Alaoliro to see, my brothers? We will take our uncle (Txinikalore) with us: his tail, his head, and his ear.' (Txinikalore)

In (36), the inalienable noun aikoli 'tooth' is used with the unpossessed suffix -ti:
(36) aikoliti tahi $\quad \varnothing=$ aowita
aikoli -ti tahi $\quad \varnothing=$ aowita -ita
tooth UNPOSS about 3sg tell IFV
'It is about a tooth that she is talking.' (iraiti Katomo)

Body parts are illustrated in Table 35, and personal belongings in Table 36, with the possessor $e=\sim i=' 3 \mathrm{~s}$ ' and the unpossessed marker $-t i$.

Table 35: (Un)possessed body parts

| Possessor '3s' | Gloss | Unpossessed <br> Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{e}=$ kahe | 'his hand' | kahi-ti | 'hand' |
| $\mathrm{i}=$ niho | 'his tail' | iho-ti | 'tail' |
| $\mathrm{i}=$ kitxi | 'his foot' | kitxi-ti | 'foot' |
| $\mathrm{e}=$ tsiri | 'his head' | tsiri-ti | 'head' |
| $\mathrm{i}=$ tinihe | 'his ear' | tinihi-ti | 'ear' |
| $\mathrm{e}=$ malamala | 'his lung' | malamala-ti | 'lung' |
| $\mathrm{e}=$ totone | 'his breast' | totoniti | 'breast' |

Table 36: (Un)possessed personal belongings

| Possessor '3s' | Gloss | Unpossessed <br> Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| en=eare | his name | ealit-i | name |
| $\mathrm{e}=$ neta | his necklace | neta-ti | necklace |
| $\mathrm{e}=$ watyahala | his bracelet | watyahala-ti | bracelet |
| $\mathrm{e}=$ zawa | his axe | zawa-ti | axe |
| ini=tinihare | his pot | tinihali-ti | pot |
| in=ihiri | his blanket | ihiri-ti | blanket |
| en=olone | his chicha | oloni-ti | chicha |

Silva (2013) treats kinship terms as inherently possessed, i.e., without unpossessed forms. However, speakers gave the unpossessed forms shown in Table 37. Kinships terms do not occur in their unpossessed forms with the unpossessed suffix - $t i$ like other nouns (e.g.: *txiyityo-ti 'granddaughter'). However, in elicitation, speakers provided unpossessed kinship terms with -kati (see Table 37). This form may be segmented into two suffixes, one is the suffix $-k a$, which does not occur with other inalienable nouns, and the other is the unpossessed suffix -ti. The meaning of $-k a$ is not clear.

Table 37: (Un)possessed kinship terms

| Possessor '3s' | Gloss | Unpossessed <br> Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i=txiyityo | his granddaughter | txiyityo-ka-ti | granddaughter of <br> someone |
| e=zaitso | his niece | zaitso-ka-ti | niece of someone |
| i=tyaonero | his cousin | tyaonero-ka-ti | cousin of someone |
| ene=koke | his uncle | koko-ka-ti | uncle of someone |
| in=ityo | his mother | nityo-ka-ti | mother of someone |

In addition to possessors and the unpossessed form, inalienable nouns can also take another suffix when possessed. The agreement suffix - $i$ ' 1 sg co-occurs with the first person proclitic $n o=$, and it is attached to some inalienable nouns, postpositions and a
few stative verbs. This suffix is attached to inalienable nouns ${ }^{35}$ ending with the vowel /a/ ${ }^{36}$ or $/ \mathrm{e} /$ (with some exceptions). The final the vowels $/ \mathrm{a} /$ and $/ \mathrm{e} /$ are deleted when the suffix is attached (§2.6.4), as shown in Table 38.

Table 38: Inalienable nouns taking -i

| Unpossessed <br> Forms | Gloss | Possessor '1s' | Basic Possessed Forms <br> (with Persons Other <br> Than The First) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| malamala-ti | 'lung' | no=malamal-i | malamala |
| otya-ti | 'nail' | $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{ot-i}$ | otya |
| henetala-ti | 'spine' | $\mathrm{no}=$ henetal-i | henetala |
| neta-ti | 'necklace' | no=nit-i | neta |
| watyahala-ti | 'bracelet' | $\mathrm{no}=$ watyahal-i | watyahala |
| zawa-ti | 'axe' | $\mathrm{no}=$ zaw-i | zawa |
| totone-ti <br> [totoniti] | 'breast' | $\mathrm{no}=$ toton-i | totone |
| tamitsone-ti <br> [tamitsoniti] | 'niece' | $\mathrm{no}=$ tamitson-i | tamitsone |
| zera-kala-ti | 'instrument' | $\mathrm{no}=$ zera-kal-i | zera-kala |
| tona-kala-ti | 'vehicle' | $\mathrm{no}=$ tona-kal-i | tona-kala |

The words ola 'game' and ima 'clothing' are also in this group. However, their unpossessed forms are not *ola-ti and *ima-ti as expected; instead their forms are oli-ti and imi-ti. A possible explanation for this irregularity is that the suffix $-i$ was lexicalized in the unpossessed forms of these words ( ${ }^{*}$ olati $\rightarrow$ oliti (from noli 'my game'), *imati $\rightarrow$ imiti (from nimi 'my clothing)).

Finally, a few inalienable nouns have a suppletive unpossessed form. Table 39 shows the suppletive possessed forms of the nouns irikati 'fire' and hati 'house' and of some vocative kin terms (which are always unpossessed). ${ }^{37}$

[^24]Table 39: Suppletive unpossessed forms

| Possessor '3s' | Gloss | Unpossessed <br> Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in=itima | his fire | irika-ti | fire |
| $\mathrm{e}=$ hana | his house | ha-ti | house |
| en=eze | his father | aba | dad |
| in=ityo | his mother | ama | mom |
| i=tyani | his son | hare | son |

### 4.3.2 Alienable nouns

Alienable nouns are free noun roots that are optionally possessed, and do not occur with the unpossessed marker $-t i$ (with few exceptions). When they are possessed, they must occur with the possessor and one of the three subsets of possessed suffixes shown in Table 40. The choice of the subsets of possessed suffixes is in part semantically conditioned. The three suffixes show grammatically conditioned allomorphy in which the first person singular differs from the other persons. The morpheme $-z a$ changes to $-x i$,-la to $-l i$ and -ne to $-n i$. This allomorphy in the first person also appears with the phonologically conditioned allomorph -ra described in the next paragraph, but not with $-y e$, and it is not clear what motivates the exception.

Table 40: Examples with -xi~-za, -li~-la, and -ni~-ne

| Possessor |  | /maha/ | /kozeto/ | /haira/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1s | no $=$ | /no=maha-xi/ | /no=kozeto-li// | /no=haira-ni/ |
| 2 s | $\mathrm{hi}=$ | /hi=maha-za/ | /hi=kozeto-la/ | /hi=haira-ne/ |
| 3 s | $\mathrm{e}=$ | /e=maha-za/ | /e=kozeto-la/ | /e=haira-ne/ |
| 1p | $\mathrm{wi}=$ | /wi=maha-za/ | /wi=kozeto-la/ | /wi=haira-ne/ |
| 2 p | $\mathrm{xi}=$ | /xi=maha-za/ | /xi=kozeto-la/ | /xi=haira-ne/ |
| 3 p | $\mathrm{e}=$ | /e=maha-za/ | /e=kozeto-la/ | /e=haira-ne/ |

The analysis presented here is different from the one in Silva (2013). In his work, he considered the alienable nouns to be less morphologically marked. According to him,
they take the possessors and the agreement suffixes $-i$ and $-e$. In addition, the consonants occurring before the agreement suffixes $n, z, r$, and $l$ are called latent consonants, which he considers part of the root, surfacing only when the suffixes are attached to the roots. ${ }^{38}$

Here is an example illustrating his analysis:
(37) nohitone
no $=$ hito<n> -i
1sg bow conc.1sg
'my bow.' (Silva, 2013:159)
(38) ehitone
$\mathrm{e}=$ hito<n> -e
3sg bow conc
'his bow.' (Silva, 2013:159)

The suffix $-i$, which Silva treated as first person agreement marking, is phonologically conditioned in inalienable nouns, as in §4.3.1. In alienable nouns, there is no phonological condition based on the root ending, as there is a consonant between the root ending and $/ \mathrm{i} /$ (the consonants $/ \mathrm{n} /$, $/ \theta /, / \mathrm{r} /, / 1 /$ ). One hypothesis is that the $/ \mathrm{i} /$ diachronically was an agreement suffix for the first person with all nouns, postpositions and stative verbs, but today /i/ does not indicate first person in all nouns; its occurrence is restricted to roots or suffixes ending in /a/, or in /e/ (with exceptions). ${ }^{39}$ Furthermore, the analysis of latent consonants neither takes into account the neutralization between /l/ and /r/ after /e/ which only occurs at morpheme boundary, nor does it accounts for the semantic conditions (described below) driving the choice among the alienable suffixes.

Payne (1991: 378-379) reconstructed five genitive suffixes for Proto-Arawak which he named Proto-Maipuran: *-ne, *-te, *-re, *-i>-e, and ${ }^{*}-\emptyset$. According to Payne, *-ne is the most common possessive suffix and *-te applies to a more restricted set of nouns, while the other suffixes are quite restricted. The only clear reflex of these in Paresi is -ne. According to Aikhenvald (2012: 167) these allomorphs tend to be

[^25]semantically conditioned across Arawak languages, such that some suffixes apply to cultural artifacts, others to animates, others to loanwords, and so on). However, in Paresi, the choice of suffixes is not completely determined by semantic principles. Animacy may determine the suffix choice; most animate nouns take the possessed suffix $-z a$, though inanimate waiholoko 'the tip of an arrow' and tsehali 'stone'). Nouns referring to inanimates take the suffix -ne. Other nouns take -la.

In addition, the two possessed suffixes $-z a$ and $-l a$ also show phonologically conditioned allomorphy in all persons. The phonologically conditioned allomorphy occurs when nouns ending with the $/ \mathrm{i} /$ vowel appear with the $-y e$ suffix instead of $-z a$. Palatalization changes $/ \theta /$ to $/ \mathrm{j} /$, triggered by the final high vowel, and raising of the vowel /a/ to /e/ results in $-y e$. Nouns ending in the front vowels /i/ or /e/, in the group taking -la, instead exhibit the allomorph -ra, as illustrated in Table $41 .{ }^{40}$

Table 41: Examples with -ye and -ri/-ra

| Possessor |  | /koili/ | /ketse/ | /etseti/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1s | no $=$ | /no=koili-ye/ | /no=ketse-ri/ | /n=etseti-ri/ |
| 2s | hi $=$ | /hi=koili-ye/ | /hi=ketse-ra/ | /h=etseti-ra/ |
| 3 s | $\mathrm{e}=$ | /e=koili-ye/ | /e=ketse-ra/ | /en=etseti-ra/ |
| 1p | wi $=$ | /wi=koili-ye/ | /wi=ketse-ra/ | /w=etseti-ra/ |
| 2p | xi $=$ | /xi=koili-ye/ | /xi=ketse-ra/ | /z=etseti-ra/ |
| 3 p | $\mathrm{e}=$ | /e=koili-ye/ | /e=ketse-ra/ | /en=etseti-ra/ |

I will introduce each morpheme and give more examples in Tables 42 to 44. Table 42 shows some examples of 45 nouns in my corpus that take the suffix $-z a \sim-y e$. As illustrated in (39), the alienable noun maha 'honey' requires the suffix $-z a$ when possessed, and it occurs unmarked when unpossessed, as in (40):

[^26](39) wiya wimahaza
wiya wi= maha -za
let's.go 1pl honey POSSED 1pl catch PERF
'Let's go get our honey again.' (JT nawenane)
(40) maha aka kala
maha aka kala
honey have DUB
'I think there is honey.' (JT nawenane)
Table 42: Possessed head marker -za

| Unpossessed <br> Form | Gloss | Basic Possessed <br> Form | Possessed Form <br> With $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| halawa | kind of bird | halawa-za | no=halawa-xi |
| maha | honey | maha-za | no=maha-xi |
| olo | money | olo-za | n=olo-xi |
| konare | type of fish | konare-za | no=konare-xi |
| kono | cotton | kono-za | no=kono-xi |
| ohiro | woman | ohiro-za | n=ohiro-xi |
| waiholoko | head of an arrow | waiholoko-za | no=waiholoko-xi |
| alohe | araticuna fruit | alohe-za | $\mathrm{n}=$ alohe-xi |
| koili | parakeet | koili-ye | no=koili-ye |
| kali | frog | kali-ye | no=kali-ye |
| tsehali | stone | tsehali-ye | no=tsehali-ye |

Table 43 shows examples of nouns with the suffix -la~-ra. There are approximately 25 words in my corpus in this group.

Table 43: Possessed head marker -la

| Unpossessed <br> Form | Gloss | Basic Possessed <br> Form | Possessed Form <br> With 1 <br> st |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Persite | annatto | ahita-la | n=ahita-li |
| kozeto | corn | kozeto-la | no=kozeto-li |
| txihoho | steamed bun | txihoho-la | no=txihoho-li |
| komata | bean | komata-la | no=komata-li |
| ketse | knife | ketse-ra | no=ketse-ri |
| tolohe | manioc flour | tolohe-ra | no=tolohe-ri |
| waikohe | land | waikohe-ra | no=waikohe-ri |
| kohatse | fish | kohatse-ra | no=kohatse-ri |
| etseti | egg | etseti-ra | n=etseti-ri |

(41) eaotseta hakomatala

Ø=zozoka $\quad$ ==waiya zomm!
eaotseta ha= komata -la
$\varnothing=$ zozoka $\quad$ = waiya
then 3sg beans POSSED 3sg scatter 3sg look
'Then he scattered his beans, and look zomm'! (Txinikalore)

Table 44 illustrates nouns with the suffix -ne. There are approximately 25 nouns in this group in my corpus.

Table 44: Possessed head marker -ne

| Unpossessed <br> Form | Gloss | Basic Possessed <br> Form | Possessed Form <br> With 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| balatohe | plate | balatoahe-ne | no=balatoahe-ni |
| aho | cipó vine | aho-ne | n=aho-ni |
| baiyeta | blanket | baiyeta-ne | no=baiyeta-ni |
| ohairo | duck | hairo-ne | no=hairo-ni |
| hito | bow | hito-ne | no=hito-ni |
| haira | ball | haira-ne | no=haira-ni |
| weteko | yard | weteko-ne | no=weteko-ni |
| halate | comb | halate-ne | no=halate-ni |
| txiriba | skirt | txiriba-ne | no=txiriba-ni |
| kawalo | horse | kawalo-ne | no=kawalo-ni |
| koho | basket | koho-ne | no=koho-ni |
| matalo | pot | matalo-ne | no=matalo-ni |
| warekoaho | stream | warekoaho-ne | no=warekoaho-ni |

(42) baba enetya nakolaheta hikohone
baba =ene -tya na= kola -heta hi= koho -ne dad =PST FOC 1sg take PERF 2sg basket POSSED
'My deceased dad said: I will take your basket.' (ketetse)

Loan words can take the suffixes $-z a$ or -ne depending on the animacy of the referents, with -ne taken by inanimates and -za by animates (except kama-za 'my bed'). (see Table 45).

Table 45: Loan words

| Unpossessed Form | Gloss | Basic Possessed Form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bota | boot | bota-ne |
| tsako | plastic bag | tsako-ne |
| kama | bed | kama-za |
| boloko | pig | boloko-za |
| kabala | goat | kabala-za |
| katxolo | dog | katxolo-za |
| bowi | ox | bowi-ya |

It is important to notice that the forms of the possessed suffixes $-z a$ and $-r i$ are homonymous with the classifiers $-z a$ 'CLF:liquid' and $-r i$ 'CLF:round'. With a few nouns, the choice of suffix may also be conditioned semantically by the shape or consistency of the possessed referent. For example, the noun maha 'honey' may take the possessive suffix $-z a$ because it has a liquid consistency, same as warekoahoza 'stream' and timelaza 'blood'. Nouns whose referents have a round shape (mainly vegetables) such as kozeto 'corn' and komata 'beans' take -la/-li (or -ra/-ri).

On the other hand, homonymy avoidance delimits the possibilities of occurrences of possessed suffixes. Alienable nouns do not take possessed forms that make them similar to inalienable noun roots ending with syllables $z a$, la, or ne. For example, the alienable noun matse 'ground' has the possessed form: matse-za. This noun root cannot take the suffix -ne, otherwise the form derived will be homophonous with the inalienable noun matsene 'field'. ${ }^{41}$

[^27]Table 46: Restrictions on possessed suffixes

| Noun Root | Basic Possessed Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| matsene | matsene | field |
| matse | matse-za, *matse-ne | ground |
| olone | olone | chicha beverage |
| olo | olo-za, *olo-ne | money |

Generally, each noun regularly occurs with a particular possessed suffix. However, in elicitation speakers also accepted the uses of about twenty nouns with other possessed suffixes, without a change in the meaning. In Table 47, examples of these nouns are given (the first possessed form is the most common one). There is no general consistency among speakers about using these nouns with more than one suffix. For example, one speaker accepted the uses of halate-ne and halate-za as the possessed forms of halate 'comb', but another speaker only accepted halate-ne, the most common form. There may be subtle differences in meaning depending on the choice of the suffix, for example, the noun zoima 'child' with -la, as in no=zoima-li 'my child', which has a different meaning with $-n e$, as in $n o=$ zoime-ne 'my childhood'.

Table 47: Nouns taking more than one POSSED suffix

| Unpossessed Form | Gloss | Basic Possessed Forms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| txiriba | skirt | txiriba-ne, -za, -la |
| weteko | yard | weteko-ne, -za, -la |
| warekoaho | stream | warekoaho-ne, -za, |
| olawahi | rope | olawahi-za (/olawahi-ye/), -ne, -la |
| komata | beans | komata-la, -za, -ne |

There are other irregularities to the pattern seen. The obligatorily possessed nouns in Table 48 take one of the three possessed sufixes $-z a$, $-l a$, $-n e$ when possessed, and they take the unpossessed suffix $-t i$ when unpossessed. Among these nouns, there are nominalized verbs (nominalized by a zero morpheme, see $\S 4.6 .1$ ), as shown in (43).

Table 48: Nouns taking the POSSED and UNPOSS suffixes

| Unpossessed <br> Form | Gloss | Basic Possessed Forms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| timela-ti | blood | timelaza |
| kama-ti | deceased | kamane |
| aho-ti | path | ahora |
| wena-ti | life | (a)wenane |
| zera-ti | song | zera-ne |
| tona-ti | walking | tona-ne |
| irai-ti | speech | irae-ne |

(43) iniratyo small quantity $=$ TOP ATTR make, do PASS IFV this drink UNPOSS maheta
maheta
PURP
'A small quantity (of chicha beer) is made, when it is for drinking.' (Oloniti zaka)

Table 49 shows another irregularity: nouns that take the unpossessed suffix and the possessed suffixes -ra or -ne at the same time. ${ }^{42}$ The change in the form indicates the change in the semantic possession of the nouns from a inherent possession to conferred ownership. For example, the noun ete-ti 'meat' is the unpossessed form of the inalienable noun ete 'flesh of', and it takes the suffix -ra to form ete-ti-ra 'meat of someone'. The suffix -ra is used with body parts, and -ne with personal belongings. As a nonprototypical body part, 'blood' may receive either suffix.

Table 49: nouns taking both -ti and possessed suffixes

| Basic Possessed Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| ete-ti-ra | meat that belongs to me (that I am eating) |
| etse-ti-ra | egg that belongs to me (that I own) |
| tsiri-ti-ra | head that belongs to me (of an animal that I killed) |
| timela-ti-ni/ra | blood that belongs to me (of the animal I killed) |

${ }^{42}$ This is a near exhaustive list in my corpus, but there may be more nouns.

Another irregularity is that a few alienable nouns referring to objects take the suffix -tini, which may be analyzed as the unpossessed suffix $-t i$ and the possessed suffix -ne. They are derived from the inalienable body-part nouns referring to where these objects are worn.

Table 50: Personal belonging taking -tini

| Basic Possessed <br> Forms | Morphological Gloss | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hotse-ti-tini <br> /hotsetine/ | leg-UNPOSS-POSS | my pants |
| kitxi-ti-tini <br> /kitxitine/ | foot-UNPOSS-POSS | my shoes |
| kahi-ti-tini <br> /kahititine/ | hand-UNPOSS-POSS | my ring |

Finally, there is only one unpossessed suppletive form of an alienable noun.
Table 51: Suppletive form

| $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ Person Possessed <br> Form | Gloss | Unpossessed <br> Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in $=$ ita | his hammock | maka $^{43}\left(*_{i t a}\right)$ | hammock |

### 4.3.3 Non-possessed nouns

Non-possessed nouns include proper names of people (Zezokiware, Aezokero, Kezokero, Kezokenaece) or of places (Batsaji, Hohako, Owihoko), and natural elements (e.g.: kamae 'sun', kaimare 'moon', zoretse 'star'). Natural elements may be possessed in some contexts; for example, zoretse 'star' can be optionally possessed (no=zoretse-ri) if it refers to a drawing of a star or an artefact in the form of a star. Therefore, the constraint is related to the pragmatics.

[^28]
### 4.3.4 The possessive constructions with $\boldsymbol{k a}$ -

Another way to express possession in Paresi is the use of constructions with the attributive prefix $k a$-. In Paresi, $k a$ - derivation is used to derive predicates, as with predicative kinship possession (44). According to Aikhenvald (2012), one of the most stable functions in Arawak languages is that the prefix $k a$-, which can derive possessive adjectives and predicates. I will describe possessive predicates with $k a$ - in 7.3.3.

| (44) hatyaotseta | owa | nozakaitere |  |  | eye | ohironae |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyaotseta | owa | no= zakai | lita | -re | eye | ohiro | -nae |

'Then, as I just said, the women got married (lit. got a husband) and had children.'
(Batsaji tahi)

### 4.4 Nominal compounds

Compound nouns are nouns composed of two or more noun roots, which can be unbound or bound. All pairings of bound and unbound nouns (i.e. bound-bound, boundunbound) are possible. The unbound-bound combination, in which the bound noun has a classifying function (§4.4.1), is the most common type. The three types of compounds are illustrated in (45) through (48). In compounds, the first noun is the modifier and the second one the head. In compounds in which both nouns are bound roots, if the second bound root is vowel-initial, then a consonant $/ \mathrm{n} /$ is used as a morpheme linking the nouns (47). The only example I have of a compound with three bound roots is in (48).
unbound-unbound compound
(45) kamae kokoiya 'harpy eagle'
kamae kokoi
sun hawk
unbound-bound compound
(46) zohityakate 'cashew tree'
zohitya -kate
cashew tree.of
bound-bound
(47) etsiri nahi 'his skull (lit.: bone of the head)'
$\mathrm{e}=$ tsiri $\mathrm{n}-$ ahi
3sg head.of LK bone.of
(48) atya kanohi 'branch of the tree'
atya kano -hi
tree.of arm.of CLF:long.thin

Considering a definition of phonological word based on stress domain (Dixon, 2003), compounds with free nouns form two phonological words because they maintain their lexical stress in both nouns. Compounds with only bound nouns, in which the second noun has a classifying function, form just one phonological word. The main stress is the stress of the classifying bound root, while the stressed syllable in the first noun receives a secondary stress (see §2.5).

Only the second noun in the compound can be marked for plural, as in (49) and (50). With regards to inalienability, I have a few examples of alienably possessed compounds, and they take the possessed suffix $-z a /-y e$ on the second noun, as in (51) and (52).
(49) kamae kokoiyanae
kamae kokoi -nae
sun hawk PL
'harpy eagles'
(50) zohityakatenae
zohitya -kate -nae
cashew tree.of PL
'cashew trees'
(51) nokamae kokoiyaxi
no= kamae kokoiya -za
1sg sun hawk POSSED
'my harpy eagles'
(52) ehana tyokoliye
$\mathrm{e}=$ hana tyokoli -ye
3sg house buttocks POSSED
'the back of his house'

Semantically, compounds may express a possessor-possessed, part-whole or entityproperty relationship. In (53), the possessor-possessed relationship is illustrated where the first noun is the possessor and the second the possessed.
(53) Fabio ene matsene

Fabio =ene matsene
Fabio PST field
'The field of the deceased Fabio.' (ximatyati)

Paresi also exhibits the possessive mechanism for meronymy (part-whole relation), in which the first noun refers to the 'whole' and the second one to the 'part'. ${ }^{44}$ Body parts are used metaphorically referring to a part of an inanimate referent, and even to the spaces associated with those body parts. In (54) the word for 'arm' has its meaning expanded to refer to 'branch'; in (55) and (56) b the body parts tyokoli 'buttocks' and kilihi 'nose' had their meaning expanded to refer to the spatial relations 'back' and 'side'. However, only the plant parts -tse 'seed.of',' -hi 'fiber.of', and -li 'fruit.of' have a metaphorical use (see description in §4.5).
(54) atya kanohi 'branch of the tree.' (lit.: the arm of the tree')
atya kano -hi
tree arm CLF:long.thin
(55) hati tyokoli 'the back of the house.' (lit.: the buttocks of the house')
ha -ti tyokoli
house UNPOSS buttocks

[^29]| (56) ahoti | kilihi | 'roadside.' (lit.: the nose of the road') |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aho -ti | kili -hi |  |
| path UNPOSS | nose CLF:long.thin |  |

Other compounds express entity- property relationship, as in examples (57) to (59). The first noun is the entity and the second noun is the property/modifier.
(57) ena mokotse 'boy'
ena mokotse
man baby
(58) txini ena 'male jaguar'
txini ena
jaguar man
(59) mawiye hotere 'nambu-preto bird' mawiye hotya -re
macucu.bird be.dark NMLZ

### 4.4.1 Types of compounds

There are two types of compounds in Paresi: lexicalized and productive compounds. The first type includes exocentric compounds (with a meaning distinct from the meaning of their parts) and compounds formed by a noun and a word from another class. Productive compounds, on the other hand, are formed by a noun and a classifying bound noun.

Exocentric compounds have meanings totally or partially different from the meanings of their parts (Aikhenvald, 2007). In Paresi, these compounds are generally names for animals, as shown in examples from (60) to (63). The semantic relationship the in the compound are not entirely transparent (except in (60) where 'emu's gut' metaphorically refers to the shape of the snake).
(60) awo natxihi 'cobra-cipó snake (lit.: 'emu's gut')
awo n- atxi -hi
emu LK stomach CLF:long.thin
(61) Kamaiye kahi 'traira fish.' (lit.: 'the hand of Kamaiye')

Kamaiye kahi
Kamaiye hand
(62) txihali kokoini 'gaviãozinho bird.' (lit.: 'hawk of the beetle')
txihali kokoi -ni
beetle hawk POSSED?
(63) ohiro aotse 'womanizer.' (lit.: 'the place of the woman')
ohiro aotse
woman place

Another type of lexicalized compounds are formed by a noun, joined with a verb, a postposition, or ideophone. In compounds with verbs, the verb comes first and the noun is either a body part, (64) and (65), or the noun one 'water' (66). The whole compound is nominalized by the nominalizers -re or -kala 'instrumental nominalizer'. In (65), a noun combines with a postposition, and in (66) with an ideophone:
(64) aliyo zolakitakotyoakalati
aliyo z- ola ki- tako -tyoa -kala -ti
where.is NMLZ tie ? waist INTR NMLZ UNPOSS
'Where is my belt?' (E)
(65) kano katyahe 'armpit'
kano katyahe
arm under
(66) one talolo 'thunder'
one talolo
water ideo.noise

Finally, productive compounds, in which the second noun is a classifying bound noun, show a high degree of productivity in the lexicon. In Paresi, these nouns refers to plant parts (with the exception of walahi 'vein of and tane 'feather of'). In Table 52 bound nouns that refer to plant parts and body parts are illustrated.

Table 52: plant and body parts

| Bound Nouns | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| mena | stalk of, stem |
| tyahare | 'root of' |
| walahi | 'vein of' |
| hana | 'leaf of' |
| tane | 'feather of' |
| kino | 'log' |
| tyatya | 'bark of' |
| yye | 'flower of' |
| mili | 'skin of' |
| ri | 'fruit of' |
| tse | 'seed of', 'tuber of' |
| hi | 'fiber of' |

Compounds involving plant parts are fairly productive. Sets based on the first noun exhibit whole-part relationship (67), while sets based on the second noun exhibit property-entity relationship. (68) is a property-entity relationship in the sense that they are types of leaves which are used for different functions.
(67) zohitya 'cashew'

| zohitya | cashew |
| :--- | :--- |
| zohitya-mena | cashew stem |
| zohitya-tyahare | cashew root |
| zohitya-hana | cashew leaf |
| zohitya-tyatya | cashew bark |

(68) hana 'leaf.of'

| atya-hana | tree leaf |
| :--- | :--- |
| zohitya-hana | cashew leaf |
| walahare-hana | justaconteira tree leaf |
| katyola-hana | mangaba leaf |
| takola-hana | bamboo leaf |

One example of a classifying bound noun within the semantic domain of body parts is tane 'feather.of' (69).
(69) tane 'feather.of'

| awo-tane | emu feather |
| :--- | :--- |
| oloho-tane | vulture feather |
| tyakoira-tane | chicken feather |
| kolata-tane | partridge feather |
| kokoi-tane | hawk feather |

The classifying bound noun mili 'skin of' can be used metaphorically to refer to a thin things. In (70), with the noun zotse 'eye' it means 'eyelid' or a 'contact lens'. However its metaphorical usage is not productive.
(70) mili 'skin.of'

| zotyare-mili | skin of a deer |
| :--- | :--- |
| zotse-ti-mili | contact lens, eyelid |

A few classifying bound plant part terms have undergone semantic extension, and they originated forms that have different semantic and morphosyntactic properties (see $\S 4.5)$. For example, in (71), the form $-r i$ does not mean 'fruit of', as the bound noun $-r i$ mentioned above. It refers instead to round objects.
(71) totoniri 'nipple'
totone ri
breast CLF:round

### 4.5 Noun classification

In Paresi, there are classifying morphemes used to categorize a nominal referent. They can be part of a compound, be incorporated into a verb, and occur also with numerals and demonstratives. A few classifying morphemes originate from classifying bound nouns (plant part terms) which had their meaning metaphorically extended. I consider these forms to be classifiers following the main literature on the types of nominal classification systems (Dixon, 1986; Payne, 1987; Derbyshire \& Payne, 1990; Grinevald, 2000; Aikhenvald, 2000; and Grinevald \& Seifart, 2004). Dixon (1986) provides properties distinguishing noun classes from classifier systems, while Grinevald (2000) and Aikhenvald (2003) propose a typology of classifiers. Payne (1987) and

Derbyshire \& Payne (1990) are the first works which discuss the multiple classifier systems found in Amazonian languages.

In Grinevald (2000), classifiers are placed at an intermediate stage in a lexicogrammatical continuum of systems (2000:55). At the grammatical end are gender and noun class systems, such as the noun class systems of Bantu languages. At the other end of the continuum, the lexical end, are measure terms and class terms, as for example, class terms in the Tai family (DeLancey, 1986). Grinevald (2000) considers classifiers to be "overt systems of nominal classification of clear lexical origin used in specific morphosyntactic constructions" (2000:61), and she proposes the following types: numeral, noun, genitive, verbal, and deictic (demonstrative/article) classifiers. She also mentions the co-occurrence of types (the case of multiple classifier systems).

Nominal classification systems of Amazonian languages generally share areal features such as having classifying morphemes with derivational and agreement functions (Aikhenvald, 2000; Grinevald \& Seifart, 2004). In Paresi, the primary functions of classifiers are derivational and anaphoric (with numerals, relative clause, and the anaphoric proclitic $h a=$ ). Agreement is a marginal function of Paresi classifiers (see discussion in $\S 4$.5.2.1.4).

### 4.5.1.1 Morphology and semantics of classifiers

Semantically, classifiers in Paresi express general properties of the entities they classify, such as shape, consistency or dimension. The first three classifiers in Table 51 are derived from plant-parts bound nouns: -tse 'CLF:small' from -tse 'seed of', -hi 'CLF:long,thin' from -hi 'fiber of', and -li 'CLF:round' from -li 'fruit of'. In contrast to the bound nouns, classifiers cannot be possessed. Classifiers are used metaphorically with nouns referring to plant parts, body parts, objects, animals and humans (only -katse and -natse are used with human referents). The salient physical properties of plant parts are mapped onto other semantic fields: shape (including flexibility, size, mass, linearity), dimension and consistency. Table 53 shows the sets of classifiers in Paresi.

Table 53: The set of classifiers

| Classifier | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| tse | small, headwater |
| hi | long, slender, flexible (vine-like) |
| li | round |
| he | powder |
| natse | long horizontally, cylindrical, three- <br> dimension |
| katse | thin, rigid, long vertically (stick-like) |
| za | liquid; speech |
| hoko | circled, three-dimension |
| taotse | piece, one-dimension |
| koa | flat surface, one-dimension |
| ako | inside of a hollow, three-dimension |

The classifier -li~-ri 'CLF:round.' (-ri after high vowels), can occur with body parts, as in (72) and (73), or objects that have a 'roundish, fruit-like shape', as in (74) and (75).
(72) totoniri 'nipple'
totone -li
breast CLF:round
(73) kanoli
'forearm'
kano -li
arm CLF:round
(74) keteri 'cassava cake'
kete -li
cassava CLF:round
(75) niheri
nihe -li
nest CLF:round

The classifier is lexicalized in some body part nouns and in a few nouns referring to animals (katseri 'calf', tseiri 'head, ' wairi 'deer', zonoiri 'coral snake').
The classifier -hi 'long, slender' also occurs with body parts, as in (76) and (77), or objects that have a long, slender, flexible, vine-like shape, as in (78) and (79).
(76) atxihi 'intestine'
atxi -hi
stomach CLF:long.thin
(77) kitxihi 'bird leg'
kitxi -hi
foot CLF:long.thin
(78) olawahi 'rope'
olawa -hi
tucum CLF:long.thin
(79) makalatyakalatihi 'clothes-line'
makala -tya -kala -ti -hi
be.dry TH NMLZ UNPOSS CLF:long.thin

The classifier occurs lexicalized in some nouns for body parts, animals and objects (halatahiti 'rib', tararahiti 'trachea', zozohi 'earthworm', kamaiyekahi type of fish, awiyahi 'needle').

The classifier -tse 'CLF:small' can be used as a derivational device, as a diminutive referring to referents who are small, or it can refer to headwaters. This classifier refers only to size while -li refers to size and dimension. In examples (80) through (82), -tse derive words whose referents have a small size.
(80) txinitse 'cat'
txini -tse
jaguar CLF:small
(81) zomotse 'small beiju (flat bread)'
zomo -tse
beiju CLF:small
(82) koretse 'bullet'
kore -tse
arrow CLF:small

This classifier is more semantically transparent and productively used as a diminutive. In examples (83) through (86), it indicates that these referents are smaller
than the average. It may be used with body parts, animals and objects.
(83) aikolitse 'small tooth'
aikoli -tse
tooth CLF:small
(84) watyalitse 'small wrist/arm' watyali -tse
wrist CLF:small
(85) zokozokotse 'small ant sp.'
zokozoko -tse
ant CLF:small
(86) matalotse 'small pot' matalo -tse
pot CLF:small

The classifier -tse can occur with other classifiers even though the co-occurrence of more than one classifier in the same word does not occur with other classifiers. This is evidence that -tse is acting as a diminutive marker, and not as a classifier in these cases. In example (87), both the frog and his children are small in size but they have different shapes. In order to show the difference in shape, the classifiers -hoko (referring to the shape of the frog) and -hi (referring to the slender/thin shape of a tadpole) are used. -tse occurs after -hoko because the inverse order gives another meaning (that a small object is around something); while in haitsanitsehi, it is before -hi.
(87) ekaliyehokotse
$\mathrm{e}=$ kali -ye -hoko -tse atyo ala ha= iyanityo nali oza
3sg frog POSSED CLF:circled CLF:small TOP FOC 3sg wife LOC ?
zane kaokeheta haitsanitsehi toli kakoa
zane kaoke -heta ha= itsani -tse -hi toli kakoa
go arrive PERF 3sg son, daughter CLF:small CLF:slender COL COM
Ø=waiyahena
Ø= waiya -hena
3sg see TRS
'His small frog was already there with his wife and his children, they saw them.'
(Dirizonae)

In addition, -tse is used to refer to headwaters. It is commonly used with the noun one 'water' or with river names, as in (88) and (89).
(88) onetse 'headwater' one -tse water CLF:small
(89) halohalotse 'headwater of the Figueira river' halohalo -tse fig.fruit CLF:small

The classifier is lexicalized in nouns referring to body parts, animals and of natural elements (e.g.: zotse 'eye' and kaolitse 'knee', wamotse 'armadillo sp.', hawaretse 'peccary', zoretse 'star').

The classifier -katse is used with nouns referring to humans, body-parts, or things that have a thin, rigid, long, and vertical "stick-like" dimension. The classifier occurs lexicalized in the word tsekatseti 'hair'.
(90) baiyokatse 'elder who is tall and thin' baiyo -katse elder CLF:long
(91) niyalitsekatse 'long pubic hair' niyali tse -katse body.hair CLF:small CLF:long
(92) inihokatse 'his tail'
in- iho -katse 3sg arrow CLF:long
(93) hatikatse 'rafter' hati -katse house CLF:long
(94) kotazakatse 'mainstay of the house'
kotaza -katse mainstay CLF:long

The classifier -natse may have its source in the noun natse 'pestle'. It is used with to derive words whose referents are things that have a cylindrical, long horizontal, threedimension 'pestle-like' dimension, as in (95). In (96) and (97), its use with the nouns is optional, and it has an augmentative meaning, indicating the referents are bigger than the average (-natse is in opposition to -tse).
(95) korenatse
'gun'
kore -natse
arrow CLF:cylindrical
(96) molonenatse 'back'
molone -natse
back CLF:cylindrical
(97) balazokonatse 'bottle'
balazoko -natse
bottle CLF:cylindrical

The classifier occurs with the nouns ohiro 'woman' or ena 'man' in compounds with animal nouns referring to the gender of the animal (98). The classifier is lexicalized in a few animals names (halanatse 'dog', kaimalonatse 'type of rat')
(98) txini ohironatse 'a female jaguar' txini ohiro -natse jaguar woman CLF:cylindrical

The classifier taotse may have as its origin the noun taotse 'flat piece of wood', and it is used to refer to a flat pieces of something.
(99) imititaotse 'cloth'
imi -ti -taotse
cloth UNPOSS CLF:piece
(100) talaretaotse 'part of the dam' talare -taotse dam CLF:piece
(101) hatitaotse 'tent'
hati -taotse
house CLF:piece

The classifier ako may be derived etymologically from the noun ako 'depth', and it is used with body parts and plant-parts, that have a internal cavity, three-dimension shape. This classifier is homophonous with the postposition ako used to indicate a location inside of a container.
(102) kilako 'nostril' kili -ako
nose CLF:inside
(103) tanakoako 'inside of the ear'
tanako -ako
ear CLF:inside
(104) atyanatseako 'hole of the tree'
atya -natse -ako
tree CLF:cylindrical CLF:inside

The classifier -ako is not productive in compounds. It is lexicalized only in two body part nouns: koloako 'throat', tyako 'stomach', and in the name of the Formoso village Hohako (which is located in a valley).

The other classifiers do not have a clear lexical origin. The classifier -he is used with things that have a 'powder-like' consistency. ${ }^{45}$ It is not as productive as the other classifiers, as seen in the examples below. This classifier is lexicalized in the words: tyolohe 'cassava flour' and waikohe 'sand'.
(105) waikohe 'sand'
waikoa -he
ground, land CLF:powder
(106) axiyehe 'tobacco powder'
axiye -he
tobacco CLF:powder

[^30]The classifier -hoko may have as its source the noun hoko 'beam of a circled object'. It is used with objects that have a circled or hollow shape. It occurs lexicalized only in the name of a community, Owihoko.
(107) korehoko 'bow'
kore -hoko
arrow CLF:circled
(108) talahokotyoare 'fence'
tala -hoko -tyoa -re
protect CLF:circular INTR NMLZ

There is no known lexical origin for the classifier $-z a \sim-y a$, which is used to refer to liquids and to speech. In (109) and (110), $-z a$ refers to (fermented) juice of fruits and vegetables, and in (112) and (113), it refers to significant named rivers.
(109) wenoreza 'pineapple chicha'
wenore -za
pineapple CLF:liq
(110) kazaloza 'kazalo cassava chicha'
kazalo -za
type.of.cassava CLF:liq
(111) oneza 'river'
one -za
water CLF:liq
(112) airazeroza 'the Perfume river'
airaze -ro -za
be.smelling NMLZ CLF:liq
(113) kotyoiya 'Tapir river'
kotyoi -za
tapir CLF:liq

The 'liquid' classifier can also be used with inanimate referents (in particular from
the Paresi mythology) to refer to a speech about them, stories or song, as seen in (114) and (115).
(114) kozetoza 'corn chichal story of the corn'
kozeto -za corn CLF:liq
(115) tsehalityatyakoza 'the song about the crack in the stone (an origin myth)' tsehali tyatya -za
stone bark CLF:liq

The classifier $\mathrm{koa}^{46}$ is another classifier that does not have a known lexical origin, and is used to refer to flat things. In contrast to -ako, it is used with body parts, and things that have a flat surface.
(116) kahekoa 'palm'
kahe -koa
hand CLF:flat
(117) abalikoa 'sieve (flat sieve type)'
abali -koa
sieve CLF:flat
(118) enokoa
'sky'
eno -koa
height CLF:flat

Another use of -koa is with nouns that refer to an open space ${ }^{47}$, as shown in (119) to (121).
(119) matsekoa 'ground (open space)'
matse -koa
ground CLF:flat
(120) wenakalakoa
'village'
wenakala -kala -koa
village NMLZ CLF:flat

[^31](121) initimakoa 'his place (where he burned= a swidden field)'
in= itima -koa
3sg fire CLF:flat

The classifier -koa occurs lexicalized in the nouns zana 'genipap fruit' and tsakore 'Macaúba palm' deriving names of villages: Zanakoa and Tsakorekoa.

### 4.5.2 Function of classifiers and the typology of classification

As was mentioned in the introduction of this section, the use of classifiers in derivational and agreement functions is common among Amazonian languages. In this section, I provide a description of the morphosyntactic contexts in which classifiers occur in Paresi (see Table 50): with nouns, verbs, numerals and demonstratives. I also describe anaphoric and agreement functions. In addition, I present a general discussion on how the Paresi nominal classification system fits into the Amazonian language model, and offer some comparative notes on the nominal classification systems of other Arawak languages.

### 4.5.2.1.1 Nominal roots

Classifiers function as derivational elements, and they may be lexicalized in nouns for introduced cultural items. They derive nouns from other nouns, as in (122) to (124), or from stative verbs (125). In examples (122) and (123), the classifier -natse does not modify the noun kore 'arrow', referring to the shape of the arrow (if so, it would be optional), but instead it refers to the shape of the gun or the bullet, respectively.
(122) korenatse
'gun'
kore -natse
arrow CLF:cylindrical
(123) koretse 'bullet'
kore -tse
arrow CLF:small
(124) itimaza
'gasoline'
itima -za
fire CLF:liq
(125) wiyeri
'candy'
wiya -li
be.sweet CLF:round

### 4.5.2.1.2 Verb roots

Classifiers can be incorporated into verbs (see noun incorporation §5.3.3.1), similar to how inalienable nouns referring to body and plant parts can be incorporated. The incorporation of classifiers is very productive. This type of incorporation does not change the valency of the verb, and is rare with intransitive verbs. The incorporated classifier may be accompanied by the external noun phrase, but once it is identified in the discourse, only the incorporated classifier is sufficient. Example (126) shows the incorporation of the classifier -natse 'CLF: cylindrical' into the intransitive verb tyoka 'sit', in which the classifier indexes the subject of the verb. Classifiers can also be used as nominalizers, deriving nouns from stative verbs, as in (127).
(126) hahanahaliya tyokanatseta
ha= hana haliya $\varnothing=$ tyoka -natse -ta
3sg house along 3sg sit CLF:cylindrical IFV
'The dog is sitting close to the house.' (S)
(127) wiyeri 'candy'
wiya -li
be.sweet CLF:round

### 4.5.2.1.3 Numerals and demonstratives

In Paresi, numerals and demonstratives can occur with a classifier. There are three construction types: (i) the head noun and the head modifier are marked with the classifier (128); (ii) or only the noun head occurs with the classifier (the optionality of the classifier in the modifier is marked by the parentheses in hanamataotse 'three pieces'); or (iii) only the modifier occurs with the classifier, as shown in (129) through the optional
use of the noun atyakatse 'stick'.
(128) atyataotse hanama(taotse)
atya -taotse hanama -taotse
tree CLF:piece three CLF:piece
'Three pieces of wood.' (E)
(129) eze hanamakatse (atyakatse)
eze hanama -katse atya -katse
this three CLF: long tree CLF: long
'These are three sticks.' (xikonahati)

Generally in texts, classifiers occur with demonstratives and numerals. In (130), -tse 'CLF:small' occurs with the demonstrative eze 'this', and in (131) the classifier -li 'CLF: round' occurs with the numeral hinama. In (132), the classifier -tse 'CLF:small' occurs with the numerals hanama 'three' and quatro 'four'.
(130) ezetse naikoli $\quad \varnothing=$ kaweta eze -tse $\quad \mathrm{n}=$ aikoli $\quad$ = kawe -ta this CLF:small 1sg tooth 3sg hurt IFV 'This tooth hurts.' (E)
(131) hinamali ala konare $\quad \varnothing=$ noloka
hinama-li ala konare $\quad \varnothing=$ noloka
two CLF:round FOC cará.fish 3sg pull
'She pulled two cará fish.' (ximatyati)
(132) katseze hanamatse quatrotse hare
ka- tse -ze hanama -tse quatro -tse hare
ATTR seed NMLZ? three CLF:small four CLF:small CON
katse
ka- tse
ATTR seed
'It has seeds, three, four seeds.' (E)

A classifier can occur as a modifier of an noun phrase. In (133), the classifier -li 'CLF:round' appears with the adjective kalo 'big' in a noun phrase headed by the nominalization, which is in apposition to the noun phrase manakata 'type of fruit' (see adjectives in §3.7). In (134), the classifier -li also functions as the modifier of the noun
phrase headed by the nominalization.


### 4.5.2.1.4 Agreement-like function

In Paresi, the agreement-like function (when the classifier occurs in the modifier, as seen above) is rare in texts. It is possible to find a classifier marked on both the head noun and the modifier, as in (128) and (129) above. However, the classifier on the modifier is not obligatory, as seen in (49). Instead of analyzing it as agreement within in the noun phrase, I prefer to analyze the noun and the modifier to be in different noun phrases headed by the classifier (see apposition of noun phrases in §4.7.1.1). Thus Paresi's behavior is distinct from that of other Amazonian languages such as Miraña (Grinevald \& Seifart, 2004) where agreement is obligatory and the classifying morpheme occurs on all modifiers of a noun in an noun phrase. In other languages, such as Hup (Epps, 2008), classifiers also show a marginal agreement-marking function similar to the one found in Paresi.

### 4.5.2.1.5 Anaphoric reference

In Paresi, the major use of classifiers is the anaphoric one. Classifiers occur in constructions with numerals, as in (135), with headless relative clauses (136), and with the proclitic $h a=$ meaning '3sg' or 'one, 'other', as shown in (137). According to Grinevald \& Seifart (2004), Amazonian classifier systems exhibit discursive and anaphoric functions (Grinevald \& Seifart 2004: 282).
(135) hanamakatse
hanama -katse
three CLF: long small NMLZ
'Three small sticks.' (E)
(136) eze zoahatya kinatere eze celio eze zoaha -tya kina -te -re eze this and ? be.strong IFV NMLZ this
zamairakitsatsehare eze
z- a- maira -ki tsa -tse -hare eze
NMLZ CAUS be.afraid CAUS CLF:small MASC this
katyatyalaliro
eze zotyakatsero
ka- tyatya -la -ri -ro eze zotya -katse -ro
ATTR bark POSSED CLF:round NMLZ this be.red CLF: long NMLZ
eze
eze
this
'This is also strong, this is the one who scares Celio, which has hard bark and it is red.' (tolohe)
(137)

| a. ha=li <br> one-CLF:round | b. ha=tse <br> one-CLF: small <br> 'one small thing' |
| :--- | :--- |
| c. ha=natse <br> one-CLF:cylindrical <br> one cylindrical/long thing <br> (basket, corn ear, dog, etc) | d. ha=katse <br> one-CLF:long <br> one stick-like thing' |
| e. ha=za <br> other-river <br> 'other river' | f. ha=ako <br> other-CLF:inside <br> 'other village' |

For example, in one text, the noun kozeto 'corn' was mentioned for the first time in (138), and then four lines later hatse 'one small thing' was used to make reference to a part of that referent (139):
(138) kozeto tximate koni
kozeto tximate koni
corn pile? in.the.middle.of
'A pile of corn.' (Kozeto)

```
(139) }\varnothing=\mathrm{ motehekoatya hoka }\varnothing=\mathrm{ nitsa, kala }\varnothing=\mathrm{ nita ene
```



```
3sg put TH REP TH CON 3sg eat.meat DUB 3sg say IFV =PST
hatse }\quad=\mathrm{ hololo
    ha= -tse 隌 hololo
    3sg CLF:small 3sg drop
    'He was crunching it and eating, and then he dropped a grain (of corn)'(Kozeto)
```

One discourse function of classifiers is to highlight certain properties of a modified referent. This function is also present in Paresi. The example in (140) illustrates the use of classifiers to highlight the shape properties of pieces of wood in an elicitation task (where the consultant had to describe objects seen in the pictures):
(140) hatya atyali hatya atyakatse $\quad \varnothing=$ zokolatyoita, hatya
hatya atya -li hatya atya -katse $\quad \varnothing=$ zokolotyo -ita hatya
IND1 tree CLF:round IND1 tree CLF: long 3sg attach IFV IND1
atyali $\quad \varnothing=$ zokolotyoita meketse, hatya
atya -li $\quad$ = $=$ zokolotyo -ita meketse hatya
tree CLF:round 3sg attach IFV in the middle of IND1
atyataotse $\quad \varnothing=$ ehokotyoita
atya taotse $\quad \varnothing=$ ehoko -tyoa -ita
tree CLF:piece 3sg lay down INTR IFV
'One round thing is attached to a stick, the other one is in the middle of the round
thing, and the other lies down in the middle of the flat piece of wood.' (E)

### 4.5.2.1.6 Properties of Paresi classifiers and the typology of noun classification systems

Table 54 compares the properties of classifiers in Paresi to the properties of nominal classification systems in Grinevald (2000: 62). This table shows that Paresi shows almost all the properties of classifier systems. Classifiers do not occur with all nouns, like noun classes; they are independent of grammatical categories such as number and gender. However, classifiers in Paresi, unlike in other Amazonian languages, do not constitute an open system. ${ }^{48}$ Paresi exhibits a small number of classifiers (only 11) compared to the number of classifiers in other languages, such as the Arawak language

[^32]Baure which has around 40 (Danielsen, 2008). Classifiers are also bound forms occurring with other nouns or numerals in compounds, they are not affixed to a noun. Because of their anaphoric function, classifiers occur as the head of the noun phrase, as seen above. Their function as agreement markers is marginal, though classifiers can occur more than once in a noun phrase, agreement is not obligatory. Arguments also are rarely crossreferenced on the verb when a classifier is incorporated.

While Paresi's classifiers behave differently from the typical classifiers described in Grinevald (2000), the are also distinct from class terms as she describes them. Class terms involve more semantic fields than seen in Paresi's classifiers (beyond fauna, flora and body parts), do not incorporate in the verb, and are not used with agreement-like functions. Paresi classifiers fit more neatly in the typology of Aikhenvald (2003), in which classifying morphemes used in different morphosyntactic environments are treated as a type called "multiple classifiers".

Table 54: The Paresi nominal classification system compared to the typology of classification (Grinevald, 2000: 62)

|  |  | Grinevald, 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Properties | Paresi | Class <br> Terms | Typical <br> CLF | Noun <br> Class |
| natural elements as source meaning (fauna, <br> fauna and other nature elements) | Y | N | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ | N |
| classify all nouns in the language | N | N | N | Y |
| classifying morphemes form a closed <br> system | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ | Y | N | Y |
| fused with other grammatical categories <br> (number, case) | N | N | N | Y |
| bound morpheme | Y | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ | N | Y |
| agreement | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ | N | N | Y |
| occur with nominal roots | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| occur with verbs | Y | N | Y | N |
| occur with numeral/demonstrative | Y | N | Y | Y |
| "anaphoric" | Y | N | Y | N |
| derive nouns from nouns | Y | Y | N | N |
| derive nouns from verbs nominalising | Y | N | N | N |

### 4.5.2.1.7 Comparative note

Most of the Arawak languages mark a distinction between two nominal genders: feminine and non-feminine (sometimes masculine). In addition, they have also multiple classifier systems, especially the North-west Arawak languages, such as Tariana, Baniwa of Içana and Kurripako, and Resígaro (Aikhnevald, 2012: 295). South Arawak languages (e.g.: Baure, Terena, Waurá, Paresi, Asheninka) also have classifiers which occur with numerals, verbs and nouns.

Apurinã seems to have an incipient classifier system. This language has bound nouns recurrently used in the formation of other nouns and incorporated into verbs (Facundes, 2000). Facundes does not call them classifiers because they are more like class term, and he calls them "classificatory nouns" (CNs). In contrast to classifiers in Paresi, CNs are bound nouns with metaphorical usage, and they only occur with nouns and verbs.

Did Proto-Arawak have classifiers? Payne (1991) reconstructs a few candidates. One of these, * $b a$ 'one', apparently had the function of a noun classifier in Proto-Arawak. Others have lexical noun reflexes in some Arawak languages and noun classifying morphemes as reflexes in other languages, suggesting that the lexical noun is probably the source of the classifying morpheme. Since classifiers are readily grammaticalized from lexical nouns in some languages, a few sets of modern reflexes of classifiers is not evidence for reconstructing a classifier system in the protolanguage. It remains to be demonstrated conclusively that there were a classifier system found in Proto-Arawak.

### 4.6 Nominal derivation

Paresi has at least eight strategies for deriving nouns from verbs. Nouns may be derived by one of the suffixes in Table 46, each of which will be discussed in turn in this section.

Table 55: Derivational suffixes

| Affixes | Semantics |
| :---: | :---: |
| $-\varnothing$ | event |
| z- | result |
| -re | agent |
| -tiye | agent |
| -hare, -halo | agent |
| -kala | instrument, location |
| -iyere | location |
| -ka | passive |

### 4.6.1 Event nominalization: - $\varnothing$

Agentive intransitive and transitive verb roots may act as nouns without an explicit nominalizer morpheme. They bear morphology of inalienability, occurring with the unpossessed suffix - $t i$, and with the personal clitics and the possessed suffix -ne when possessed. The derived nouns refer to events, or to the result of a process, ${ }^{49}$ as in (141) and (142).

Table 56: Event nominalization

| Verb | Gloss | Unpossessed <br> Derived Noun | Gloss | Basic Possessed <br> Derived Noun |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kaotse | wake up | kaotse-ti | act of waking up | kaotse-ne |
| zera | sing | zera-ti | song | zera-ne |
| irai | talk | irai-ti | speech | irae-ne |
| mairatya | fish | mairatya-ti | act of fish | mairatya-ne |
| xaka | shoot | xaka-ti | act of shooting, shot | xake-ne |

[^33](141) ehare iraiti totahota enomana, zomana
ehare irai -ti tota aho -ta en= om ana $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{om}$ ana

DEM talk UNPOSS straight road IFV 3sg ? BEN 2pl LK BEN
hoka waiye
hoka waiye
CON good
'It is good that this speech is clear to her, and to you all.' (xihatyoawihaliti)
(142) eaotseta kozeto kaotyakehena hetati zowakiya eze
eaotseta kozeto kaotyake -hena hetati zowakiya eze
then corn show.up TRS in.the.old.days at this time this
zeratite hitiya $\varnothing=$ tyaona
zera -ti $=$ te hitiya $\varnothing=$ tyaona
sing UNPOSS $=$ FUT also 3sg become
'Then, the first corn originated in the old days, and there is also a song about it.'
(kozeto)

### 4.6.2 Result nominalizer $\boldsymbol{z}$ -

In general the nominalizer prefix $z-\sim x-\sim z o-$ occurs with stative verbs, in order to derive non-process nouns (abstract inalienably possessed nouns), and with a few agentive verbs to derive a noun that refers to the result of an action. It is not a productive process. The prefix co-occurs with the unpossessed suffix $-t i$ or the possessed suffix -ne, as shown in Table $57 .{ }^{50}$ The use of this nominalizer with some agentive verbs is not clear. Another intriguing fact is that the verb holikoa 'dance' is an exception that can occur with or without the prefix without a change of meaning.

[^34]Table 57: Result nominalization

| Verb | Gloss | Derived Noun | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amaikoahare | be sad | z-amaikohali-ti | sadness |
| kiya | be black | z-a-kiya-tya-ti | blackness |
| ehare | be angry | z-ehali-ti | anger |
| aitsa | kill | z-aitsa-ti | killing |
| ezoa | fall | z-ezoa-ti | fall |
| holikoa | dance | zo-holikoa-ti | dance |
| aotyakitsa | teach | z-aotyakitsa-ti | teaching |


| (143) Hatyo | zaotyakitsati |  |  | tahi | atyo | ite |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyo | z- | a- | otya | -ki | -tsa | -ti | tahi |
| =atyo | $=$ ite |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Silva (2013:166) says that the occurrence of the nominalizer depends on the thematic role of the predicates and their readings. He gives examples of two verbs that can occur with or without the prefix $z$ - with a change in meaning: otya 'remember' and iwini 'breathe'. According to him, verbs with an agent argument do not take the prefix when the nominalization refers to an event or theme (its default reading), only when it refers to a non-event. ${ }^{51}$ For example, the verb otya 'remember', a verb with an experiencer and theme argument, when used as a noun can have the form otya-ti meaning 'the act of remembering.' (event reading), or z-otya-ti meaning 'memory' (non-event reading). Verbs with only an experiencer argument have the opposite behavior. For instance, iwini

[^35]'breathe' has an experiencer argument, then iwiniti refers to a non-event 'breath' and ziwiniti refers to the event 'breathing (metaphorically: 'another stage in life').

The analysis where nouns with $z$ - refers to the result of an action, may account for the derived nouns presented in his work: zazati 'question', zotyati 'memory', and ziwiniti 'breath'.

He also argues that the verb holikoa 'dance' has the form zoholikoati when used by elders because they do not participate in the action; dancing is a non-event for them. According to him, another $z$-less form, holikoati, is used by young people because dancing for them is an event, a baile 'dance'. However, in my corpus both forms were given by people of all ages. In conclusion, there is no consensus about the pragmatic and the semantic issues involved in the uses of $z$-.

Other types of derivation have as their stems a verb root and the $z$ - prefix and $-t i$ morphemes (e.g.: zaotyakitsatiye 'teachers'), as described below.

### 4.6.3 Agent nominalizer -re

The suffix -re is used to derive nouns from intransitive and transitive verbs and adjectives. The derived nouns refer to the agent of the verb event. There are some instances of allomorph alternation of the suffix -re, as shown in Table 58:

Table 58: Allomorphs of the agent nominalizer -re

| Masculine | -ye/i_\# |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | -ze/e_\# |
|  | -re/elsewhere |
| Feminine | -ro/i,e_\# |
|  | -lo (elsewhere) |

The morpheme -re 'NMLZ' has the allomorph: -ze after roots ending with high vowels. In ( 145 c ), $-z e$ is after the vowel $/ \mathrm{i} /$, then $/ \theta /$ is palatalized to $[\mathrm{j}]$.
(144)
a. / Jaka-re/
[Jakare] 'shooter (M)'
shoot-NMLZ (M)
b. /kirane- $\theta \mathrm{e} /$
[kidjaneðe] 's/he is small'
small-NMLZ
c. /日ani-ti-je/ [ðanitije] 'the one who will go'
go-UNPOSS-NMLZ
The allomorphs -lo and -ro mark feminine forms. The morpheme -lo has an allomorph -ro after roots ending with front vowels. In (146c), -ro is after the vowel /i/, then $/ \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{is}$ palatalized to [ di ].
(145)
a. /Jaka-lo/ [Jakalo] 'shooter (F)'
shoot-NMLZ (F)
b. $/ \mathrm{e}=$ waira-tia-tse-ro/ $\quad[\mathrm{e}=$ waira-tia-tse-ro] 'his/her nurse'

3sg=cure-TH-NMLZ-F
c. /ka-itiani-ro/
[ka-itsani-diu] 'she has children'

Derived nouns with -re may be possessed by taking the personal clitics and the forms -tse for masculine and -tsero (for feminine).

Table 59: Possessed nominalizations

| Verb | Gloss | Possessed Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kolatya | bring, take | $\mathrm{e}=$ kolatya-tse /e=kolatya-tse-ro | his/her taker |
| wairatya | cure | $\mathrm{e}=$ wairatya-se /e=wairatya-tse-ro | his/her nurse |
| anaitya | raise | $\mathrm{e}=$ zanaitya-tse / $\mathrm{e}=$ zanaitya-tse-ro | his/her father-in-law |

(146) makani tyohena ekolatyatse maheta makani tyo -hena e- kolatya -tse maheta tomorrow come TRS 3sg take NMLZ PURP 'Tomorrow he is going to come to take her (lit.: to be her taker).' (Enore)
(147) inityotxoatyo in= ityo -txoa =tyo ha= zo- toka -tse waiye mo -heta 3sg mother AFF FOC 3sg NMLZ hold NMLZ good put REG 'Her mother took care of the one who held her (lit.: her holder).' (waikoakore)

The suffix -re and its feminine counterpart -lo, when occurring alone, derive nouns that may be used as an argument in a predicate, as a modifier of another noun, as a head of a predicate, as a complement of a negation, or with the postposition kakoa.

Table 60: nominalizer -re

| Verb | Gloss | Derived Form <br> (M) | Derived <br> Form (F) | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tema | run | tema-re | tema-lo | the one who runs, <br> runner |
| xaka | shoot | xaka-re | xaka-lo | the one who shoots, <br> shooter |
| fehanatya | bless | fehanatya-re | fehanatya-lo | the one who blesses |
| zanekoatya | hunt | zanekoatya-re | zanekoatya-lo | the one who hunts, <br> hunter |
| wairatya | cure | wairatya-re | wairatya-lo | the one who cures |

In examples (148) and (149), the derived nouns are arguments of a predicate.
(148) zanekoatyareharenae, $\quad \varnothing=$ zanehena awo $\varnothing=$ aitsehena zane -koa -tya -re -hare -nae $\varnothing=$ zane -hena awo $\varnothing=$ aitse -hena go CLF:place TH NMLZ MASC PL 3sg go TRS emu 3sg kill TRS ikiyerezehare nakakatya nanitxita kiya -re -ze -hare n= kaka -tya n= nitx -ita be.black NMLZ NMLZ? 1sg squeeze TH 1sg eat meat IFV
'When the ones who hunt went to kill emu, I would squeeze the black thing (emu's
gut), and eat.' (JT nawenane)
(149) hatyaotseta Funai $\varnothing=$ itsoahena ware waratyalo hare
hatyaotseta Funai $\varnothing=$ itsoa -hena ehare waira -tya -lo hare
then FUNAI 3sg come.in TRS DEM cure TH NMLZ also?
kakoa
kakoa
COM
'Then FUNAI came with the one who cures.' (Batsaji tahi)

Agent nominalizations with -re may be in apposition to other nouns, as in (150) and (151). The object argument of the nominalized verb occurs in the position of the possessor in a possessive construction.
(150) oliti nixakare
oliti ni- xaka -re
game 1sg shoot NMLZ
'I am the one who shoots game.' (Katomo nawenane)
(151) $\varnothing=$ hotikihenatyo hatya ohiro kahe irikotyare
$\varnothing=$ hotiki -hena $=$ tyo hatya ohiro kahe iriko -tya -re 3sg show TRS =TOP IND1 woman hand cut TH NMLZ
'When the other showed (it), the one with the cut of a woman's hand.' (kani)

Adjectives and stative verbs (most of them ending in the vowel $e$, with few exceptions) take the allomorph $-z e$, as in (152) to (154).

Table 61: The nominalizer -re with stative verbs and adjectives

| Adjective/ <br> Statives | Gloss | Derived Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kirane | small | kirane-ze | the one or thing that is small |
| kalore | big | kalore-ze | the one or thing that is big |
| waiye | good | waiye-ze | the one or thing that is good |
| timena | heavy | timene-re | the one or thing that is heavy |
| kolotya | be fat | kolote-re | the one that is fat |
| irihare | be.smart | irihare-ze | the one that is smart |
| kawinihare | be fast | kawinihare-ze | the one that is fast |
| hawarehare | be different | hawarehare-ze | the one or thing that is different |

(152) maiha zoare ako festa zaoka zoimanae kiranezenae
maiha zoare ako festa $\mathrm{z}=$ aoka zoima -nae kirane -ze -nae
NEG INT LOC party 2 pl say child PL small NMLZ PL
itxoita
itxo -ita
come.in IFV
'The children, the small ones, won't have something to wear at the party.' (iraiti
Batsaji)
(153) eye atyo haliti waiyeze
eye atyo haliti waiye -ze
DEM FOC Paresi good NMLZ
'This is the one who is a good person.' (ZK nawenane)
(154) ohiro koloterenae tekoa
ohiro kolotya -re -nae tekoa
woman be.fat NMLZ PL run.away
'The women who are fat ran away.' (E)
(155) hawarehareze fehanati tyaonita
hawarehare -ze fehanati tyaona -ita
be.different NMLZ prayer COP IFV
'The prayer was different'

In (146), the nominalized verb is the head of the predicate. Interestingly, the nominalized verb retains its verb morphology, expressing its subject through the pronominal clitic $n a=$ (which is attached to verbs), in contrast to the form -tiye, which is used with a free pronoun (§4.6.4).
namaotseratyare 'I am the one who lies'
na= maotsera -tya -re
1sg lie TH NMLZ

In addition, the nominalizer -re can occur as a complement of a negative predicate, as seen in (157) and (158). Its feminine counterpart -lo is also used in these contexts, as in (158), where the speaker refers to a woman.


Finally, the nominalizer -re can be found with the postposition kakoa in noun phrases in which its meaning is not clear. The nominalizer -re can also occur with a verb in subordinate clauses (see § 8.2).
(159) kore kakoare terotatyo wahikoa
kore kakoa -re terota =tyo wa= hikoa arrow COM NMLZ already? FOC 1 pl come.out
'We already came out with the arrow.' (omati-ZK)
(160) toahiya ehalatahe nityokatsetya ayo tsebola toahiya $\mathrm{e}=$ halatahe $\mathrm{n}=$ ityoka -tse -tya ayo tsebola in.the.old.days 3 sg rib 1 sg cut CLF:small TH garlic onion kakoare naholoka
kakoa -re $\quad \mathrm{n}=$ holoka
COM NMLZ 1sg cook
'In the old days, I would cut its rib out and cook it with garlic and onion.' (iraiti Katomo nali)

### 4.6.4 Human agent nominalizer -tiye

Intransitive and transitive verbs and adjectives can be used as nouns with the unpossessed marker $-t i$ and the agent nominalizer -re~-ye. The nominalization refers to someone who is a specialist (e.g.: zaotyakitsatyatiye 'teacher'), or is very good at doing the action or refer to someone who happens to do something.

Table 62: Agent nominalizer

| Verb | Gloss | Derived Noun | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aotyakitsa | teach | z-aotyakitsa-tya-ti-ye | teacher |
| moko | hit | moko-tya-ti-ye | one who hits someone |
| tsema | hear | tsema-ti-ye | one who hears something |
| maotsera- <br> tya | lie | maotsera-tya-ti-ye | one who lies to someone |
| zane | go | zani-ti-ye | one who goes |

Nominalizations with -tiye may be possessed. They are alienable nouns that take the possessed suffix -ri~-ra, as illustrated in the elicited example in Table 63. ${ }^{52}$ The nominalizer -re does not occur in the possessed construction. Instead the morpheme $-h a$,

[^36]whose meaning is not clear, precedes $-t i$.
Table 63: Possession of nominalizations with -tiye

| Verb | Gloss | Possessed Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tema | run | no=tema-tya-ha-ti-ri | my runner |
| zanekoatya | hunt | no=zanekoatya-ha-ti-ri | my hunter |
| xaka | shoot | e=xaka-tya-ha-ti-ra | his/her shooter |
| waira | cure | e=waira-tya-ha-ti-ra | his/her doctor |

The form -tiye can be used to derive nouns from adjectives. The form -ha, seen with the possessed forms above, also occurs with these nominalizations. More research needs to be done to clarify the meaning of $-h a$.

Table 64: -tiye with adjectives

| Adjective | Gloss | Derived Noun | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wahahare | tall, long | wahahare-ha-tiye | the one who is tall, long |
| kirane | small | kirane-ha-tiye | the one who is small |
| kalore | big | kalore-ha-tiye | the one who is big |

The human agent nominalizations may occur as an argument of a predicate, as shown in (161), or as a nominal predicate, as in (162).

'They come to talk with the teachers who already have knowledge.' (makani tahi)
(162) Eye Ronisotyatyo zanitiye, eye
eye Roniso -tya =tyo zane -ti -ye eye
DEM Ronilson FOC =TOP go UNPOSS NMLZ DEM
wahakatyatiye zema
waha -ka -tya -ti -ye zema
wait PASS? TH UNPOSS NMLZ COM
'Ronilson is the one going with the people who wait (for money in the road).' (Iraiti
Batsaji)

### 4.6.5 Nominalizer -hare/-halo

The suffixes -hare and -halo are used as agent nominalizers to derive nouns referring to humans from stative predicates with the prefix $m a$-. The nominalizer -hare is used for masculine and -halo for feminine. In Table 65, there are examples of nominalized stative predicates.

Table 65: Nominalized verbs with -hare

| Verb | Gloss | Derived Noun | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aheko | think | ma-z-aheko-la ${ }^{53}$-hare | one who does not think |
| tona | walk | ma-e-tona-ne-hare | paralyzed person (one who does not walk) |
| tsema | listen | ma-tsema-ne-hare | obstinate (one who does not listen) |
| zera | sing | ma-zera-ne-hare | one who does not sing |

(163)

| mazahekolahare | haiyanityo | $\varnothing=$ mokohekoatya |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ma- z- aheko -la $\quad$-hare | ha $=$ iyanityo | $\varnothing=$ moko -hekoa -tya |  |
| NEG NMLZ think POSSED NMLZ | 3sg wife | 3sg hit | REP? TH |
| 'The one who does not think hit his wife.' (Enore) |  |  |  |

The nominalized -hare can also co-occur with the negative prefix ma- in nouns and stative verbs to derive negative nouns or nominal predicates (164) and (165).

[^37]Table 66: More examples with -hare

| Noun/stative <br> Verbs | Gloss | Derived Form | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| waikohe | land | ma-waikohe-ra-hare | the one without land |
| babera | paper | ma-babera-za-hare | the one without paper |
| ezanityo | wife | ma-iyanityo-hare | the one without wife |
| kirane | small | ma-kirane-hare | the one/thing that is not small |
| timena | heavy | ma-timena-hare | the one/thing that is not heavy |

(164) Kaliniya hekota katxolo maiyanityohare
kalini =ya heko -ta katxolo ma- iyanityo -hare
now $=$ IRR time, period IFV dog NEG wife NMLZ
tyotya hazerore iya haiya toli kakoa ozaka
tyotya hazero =iya haiya toli =kakoa ozaka
everything, all be.fast $=I R R$ IND2 a lot $=$ COM already
tyaonehitiya
tyaona -hitiya
stay AGAIN
'If this "dog" (someone's nickname) was not married now, soon he would stay with
a lot of women again.' (Kabikule tahi)
(165) matimenahare kore hoka
ma- timena -hare kore hoka
NEG heavy NMLZ DUB CON
'It is not heavy.' (E)

A few other nouns in Table 67 exhibit the form hare, but they are not clearly derived nouns. ${ }^{54}$ It may be possible that the nominalizer is lexicalized in these forms, and that their only function is to mark the gender of their referents: -hare for masculine and -halo for feminine.

[^38]Table 67: Masculine -hare and feminine -halo

| Noun (M) | Noun (F) | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aha?-hare | aha-halo | her brother; his <br> sister |
| hareka?-hare | hareka-halo | host |

### 4.6.6 Instrument nominalizer -kala

The suffix -kala applies to intransitive and transitive verbs to derive a noun denoting an instrument, and it has also a secondary meaning of location. This is a very productive way to form neologisms for newly introduced cultural items. These derived nouns are inalienable nouns taking the unpossessed $-t i$, and the personal clitics when possessed.

Table 68: Instrument nominalizer -kala

| Verb | Gloss | Derived Noun | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zera | sing | zera-kala-ti | instrument or place used for singing |
| tona | walk | tona-kala-ti | car, bus (vehicle) |
| malaloa | float | malaloa-kala-ti | life ring |
| tsema | hear | tsema-ka-tya-kala-ti | phone |
| koaha | bathe | koaha-kala-ti | place to bathe (bathroom) |

(166) aliyo tsemakatyakalati?
aliyo tsema -ka -tya -kala -ti
where.is hear ? TH INST UNPOSS
'Where is the phone (the thing used for hearing).' (E)
(167) aliyo zairatyakalati?
aliyo zaira -tya -kala -ti
where.is write TH INST UNPOSS
'Where is the pen/pencil (thing used for writing)?'

The secondary meaning of location is disambiguated when the noun is used with the bound noun -otse 'place', which does not take -ti.

Table 69: nominalizations with -otse

| Verb | Gloss | Derived Noun | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zera | sing | zera-kala-otse | place used for singing |
| tona | walk | (ene)tona-kala-otse | place where one walks |
| malaloa | float | malaloa-kala-aotse | place where someone floats |
| tsema | hear | (e)tsema-ka-tya-kala-otse; <br> tsema-ka-tya-kala-tya-otse | public phone, where someone <br> listens |
| koaha | bathe | koaha-kala-otse | place where the person bathes |

### 4.6.7 Locative nominalizer -(i)yere/-(i)yolo

The suffix -iyerel-iyolo derives nouns from other nouns (168) and (169), and adverbs (170) or adverbial demonstratives (171) with the meaning of 'someone who is from'.
(168) eye hare wenakalatiyere zoimanae eye hare awenaka iyere zoima -nae
this ? village NMLZ child PL
awaiyolinikitsaha maheta
a- waiyoli -ni -ki -tsa -ha maheta
THS know NMLZ CAUS TH PL PURP
'To teach the children from this village.' (makani tahi)
(169) tyotya witso Owihokoyerenae ene
tyotya witso Owihoko -iyere -nae ene everything, all 1 pl Owihoko NMLZ PL PST
'It is all over, we were from the Owihoko village.' (JT nawenane)
(170) maiha kaliniyere zoimahaliti zoare hekoti maiha kalini iyere zoima -hali -ti zoare hekoti NEG now NMLZ child MASC UNPOSS what ?
waiyehetere
waiye -heta -re
good REG NMLZ
'The youth from the new generation (people from now) does not worry about anything.' (Fenare nawenane)
(171) hoka Formoso maniya notyaona kalore nasofretya naliyerenae hoka Formoso maniya no= tyaona kalore $\mathrm{n}=$ sofre -tya nali -yere -nae CON Formoso side 1sg live big 1sg suffer TH there NMLZ PL kakoa ekohena
kakoa eko -hena
COM ? TRS
'Then I suffered a lot, and I went to live in the Formoso village with the people from there.' (Kamoro nawenane)

### 4.6.8 Passive nominalizer: - $\boldsymbol{k a}$

The nominalizer $-k a$ (or $-k i$ for first person) derives nouns from transitive and ditransitive verbs. The derived nominal of a transitive verb refers to an event where the entity involved is a patient, as in (172) and (173), while the nominalization of a ditransitve verb refers to an event where the entity involved is a recipient (174).

Table 70: Nominalizations with -ka

| Verb | Gloss | Derived Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aotyakitsa | teach | z-otyakitsa-ka | education of someone |
| fitya | plant | z-a-fitya-ka | planting of something, burying of <br> someone |
| kera | burn | keratya-ka | burning of something |
| aoka | say | z-aoka-ka | saying about someone |

(172)

| owene | wamotse | hiyaikehehalone |  |  |  |  |  |  | maheta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| owene | wamotse | hi= ezaika | -he | -halo | -ne | maheta |  |  |  |
| there | type of armadillo | 2sg split | CLF:powder | FEM | POSSED | PURP |  |  |  | 'Here, you split the tatu-bola armadillo, as in the old days when you were buried.' (Toahiyere-DB)

(173) eye atyo oneza tawazematyaka eye =atyo one -za $\quad$ = tawa =zema -tya -ka DEM =TOP water POSSED 3sg look.for =COM TH NMLZ
'It is like hunting (of fish) in the water.' (Zanekoare-FO)

| nozaotyakitsaki |  |  |  |  |  | zematyo, | maiha, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| no= z- |  | otya | -ki | -tsa | -ki | =zema =tyo | maiha |
| 1sg NMLZ notyaonita |  | remember | CAUS |  | NMLZ | $=\mathrm{COM}=\mathrm{TOP}$ | NEG |
| no= tyaon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1sg live | FV |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In Brandão (2010), I had a different analysis from the one presented here because I described $-k a$ as a passive marker. My previous analysis was based only on examples such as in (176), in which there is an attributive prefix $k a$ - in the nominalized constructions. The two constructions are contrasted above. I am now following Silva (2013) who calls $-k a /-k i$ a recipient nominalizer. ${ }^{55}$ Comparing (175) to (176), one notices that the first one is a predicate with the nominalized verb as its head. The second one is a predicate with the attributive $k a$-. In order to be used in a predicate construction such as in (176), the nominalized verb has to take the attributive $k a$-, which derives verbs from nouns (see §7.3.3).
(175) nixakaki owene
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{i}$ - xaka -ki owene
1sg ? shoot NMLZ here
'My shot is here.' (E)

[^39](176) komita ene nokaxakaki
komita ene no= ka- xaka -ki
almost PST 1sg ATTR shoot NMLZ
'I almost was shot (lit.: I almost had my shot).' (E)

### 4.7 The structure of noun phrases

### 4.7.1 Noun phrase and noun modification

This section describes the structure and organization of the noun phrase in Paresi. A basic structure template for the noun phrase is in (177). Demonstratives (Dem), lexical possessors (POSS), pronouns (PRO), numerals (Num) and quantifiers (Quant) precede the head noun. ${ }^{56}$ Nominalized verbs (Nom), including the ones in nominalized relative clauses modifying a noun, follow it. Nouns functioning as modifiers in compounds may precede or follow the noun. Nouns, demonstratives, and quantifiers can be the head of a noun phrase.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{NP} \rightarrow(\mathrm{Dem})(\mathrm{POSS})(\mathrm{PN})(\mathrm{Num})(\mathrm{Quant})(\mathrm{Nom}) \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Nom}) \tag{177}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each type of element mentioned in the template, except modifiers following the noun, can occur only once in an noun phrase. In texts, the occurrence of more than one element other than the head noun in an noun phrase is rare. In (178) the demonstrative and numeral co-occur in the same noun phrase.
(178) hatyo hinama ohiro $\quad \varnothing=$ zaneheta
hatyo hinama ohiro $\varnothing=$ zane -heta
that two woman 3sg go PERF
'Those two women went away.' (E)

### 4.7.1.1 Appositional noun phrases

Nouns modifying other nouns can form appositional noun phrases. ${ }^{57}$ Appositional modifiers are elements which, from a syntactic point of view, are not part of the phrase containing the head noun, but are co-referential (i.e, they must refer to the same entity as

[^40]the other members in the appositional construction (Rijkhoff, 2002:22)). In (179), the main noun phrase is Anita and ezanityo 'his wife' is in apposition.

```
(179) baba João Garimpeiro Anitala ezanityo
    baba João Garimpeiro Anita =la e= ezan ityo
    dad PN PN =FOC 3sg wife
    'My father, who is João Garimpeiro, and Anita, his wife.' (Batsaji tahi)
```

Another piece of evidence that two noun phrases are appositional in Paresi is the use of the discourse markers atyo 'topic' and ala 'focus' between them (in the example above there is a focus marker after the noun phrase Anita). These markers are phrase boundary markers, always occurring in second position in a clause. They cannot occur after the first element of the noun phrase, only after the noun phrase.

| (180) hatyo | hinama | ohiro | atyo | Ø=zaneheta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | hinama | ohiro | =atyo | Ø= zane -heta |
| that | two | woman | =TOP | 3sg go PERF |
| 'Those two women went away.' (E) |  |  |  |  |
| (181) *hatyo | atyo | hinama | ohiro | Ø=zaneheta |
| hatyo | =atyo | hinama | ohiro | $\emptyset=$ zane -heta |
| that | =TOP | two | woman | 3sg go PERF |
| 'That | o wome | n went aw | ay.' (E) |  |

Numerals and quantifiers can occur clause-initially (in a focused/topicalized position) in a appositional noun phrase. Example (182) shows the numeral hinama 'two' with a classifier followed by the focus marker ala. Example (183) shows the topicalizer atyo following the quantifier kahare 'a lot'.

| (182) hatyaotsetala | mamala | mairatya | hoka |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyaotseta $=$ la | mama $=1 \mathrm{la}$ | $\varnothing=$ | mairatya | hoka |
| then | $=$ FOC | mom | $=$ FOC | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ |
| fish | CON |  |  |  |

(183) hoka hatyaotsetatyo nikare tyaonahitaha hoka kahare
hoka hatyaotseta $=$ tyo nikare $\varnothing=$ tyaona -h -ita -ha hoka kahare
CON then $\quad=$ TOP like this 3 sg= live PL IFV PL CON a.lot
atyo ehare haliti tyoa hikoahitaha
$=$ atyo ehare haliti $\varnothing=$ tyoa $\varnothing=$ hikoa -h -ita -ha
$=$ TOP this person $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ come $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ come.out, show.up PL IFV PL
enomana tsekotare
$\mathrm{e}=$ nomana tseko -ta -re
3sg= BEN far source NMLZ
'They lived like this, but other people came from far away and came for them'
(Batsaji tahi)

### 4.7.1.2 Coordination of noun phrases

The domain of noun phrase coordination is considered to be a sentence describing a single event predicated simultaneously by two participant referents, which are conceived of as separate individuals (Stassen, 2001:1105). Paresi uses the coordinate and the comitative strategies for coordination of noun phrases. In the coordinate strategy, two linking devices are employed: juxtaposition, and the markers zoaha or hare, and in the comitative strategy, the comitative kakoa is used.

Juxtaposition is said to occur more often in enumeration or noun phrase-pairs which habitually go together, forming a whole (Stassen, 2001). This tendency is also seen in Paresi, as illustrated by the examples (184) and (185).

| (184) haiyanityo, | haitsaninae | aitsa | miyatya | eye |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ha $=$ iyanityo | $\mathrm{ha}=$ itsani | -nae | $\varnothing=$ aitsa | miyatya | eye |
| $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ wife | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ son, daughter | PL | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ kill | finish | this |

atyo mazahekolahare
=atyo ma- z- aheko -la -hare
$=$ TOP NEG NMLZ think POSSED MASC
'Without thinking he killed his son and wife.' (Enore)
(185)
 'Then, Wazolie, Kerakoama and Alaolidyo went to live in the sky to be immortal, and they live there until today, he said' (Txinikalore)

In (184), the noun phrase-pair haiyanityo 'his wife' and haitsaninae 'his children' are juxtaposed, and other pairs conceived as a concept unit, such as baba 'my father' and mama 'my mother', often occur juxtaposed. In (185), juxtaposition is used for enumeration of the main characters of the story, Wazoliye and Kerakoama are brothers and Alaoliro is their grandmother. Interestingly, an noun phrase-noun phrase pair when first introduced in a text may be coordinated by a marker, but after the first mention it is coordinated by juxtaposition (similar to a pair which habitually go together).
(186) hoka Preto, Poniya zoahala tyohenahitita
hoka Preto Poniya zoaha $=1 \mathrm{a} \quad \varnothing=$ tyo -hena -hit -ita CON PN PN and =FOC 3sg= come TRS PERF IFV
wiyema hoka menetse haliya zane tityoaha
wi= yema hoka menetse =haliya $\quad \varnothing=$ zane $\varnothing=$ tityoa -ha
$1 \mathrm{pl}=\mathrm{COM}$ CON anaconda =near, next to $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ go $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ stand.up PL hoka kawitsahitaha
hoka $\varnothing=$ kawitsa -h -ita -ha
CON 3sg= shout PL IFV PL
'Preto and Poniya were coming behind us, and they went close to the anaconda, then they were shouting.' (ximatyati)

| (187) maiha zoare aka | hatyo | Preto, Poniya | aitsareha | hoka |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maiha zoare | aka | hatyo | Preto Poniya |  |  |  |
| $\varnothing=$ | aitsa | -re | -ha | hoka |  |  |
| NEG what have | 3 sg | PN | PN | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ kill | NMLZ PL | CON |

Noun phrase coordination is often marked by coordinators postposed to the second noun phrase. In general, the coordinator zoaha is used when there is coordination of two noun phrases (188), when three or more noun phrases are coordinated, in enumeration, hare is used (189), but there are exceptions, as seen in (190). Example (191) shows that a plural marker can be attached to the coordinator indicating that the coordinated noun phrases form a large phrase unit.
(188) Boneca Zeneia zoaha nikala hehana
Boneca Zeneia zoaha nika $=\mathrm{la} \quad \varnothing=$ hehana

PN PN and ? =FOC 3sg= get.lost
'Boneca and Zenéia got lost.' (ximatyati)
(189) posto farmacia escola hare ainakehenaha
posto farmacia escola hare $\varnothing=$ ainake -hena -ha
health.center pharmacy school also 3sg= stand.up, fly TRS PL
'They built a health center, a pharmacy and a school.' (Batsaji tahi)
(190) baba, mama, azeze,
baba mama azeze no= ximalo -n -i zoaha
dad mom older.brother $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ youngest sister POSSED 1sg and
wiwawa ali witsaona
wi= wawa ali $w i=$ tsaona
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ be.alone here $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ live
'My father, my mother, my oldest brother and my youngest sister, we lived here
alone here.' (Bacaval wenakalati)
(191) tohino tohino manakata zohitya hare -nae $\varnothing=$ imeza -ha meladinho.fruit type.of.pitomba cajuzinho.fruit also PL 3sg= gather PL 'They gathered meladinho, pitomba and cajuzinho fruits.' (cabeceira do osso)

Stassen (2001) classifies coordination constructions according to the number of conjunctions into two types: monosyndetic, constructions with one conjunction marker, and polysyndetic constructions, with two conjunction markers. He points out that monosyndetic postposing of conjunction markers, as seen in Paresi, is not common, and that these constructions may originate from polysyndetic constructions in which one of the markers was optionally deleted. That may be the case for Paresi, because there are a few occurrences in which hare occurs after each coordinated noun phrase, as in (192).

| (192) wahakanore | hare, fate | hare, tyotya | wanitxita, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wahakanore hare fate | hare tyotya | wa $=$ nitx | -ita |
| spider.monkey also | tufted.capuchin | also everything, all | lpl eat meat IFV |
| wazolo hare |  |  |  |
| wazolo hare |  |  |  |
| wolf also |  |  |  |

'We were used to eating everything: spider monkey, tufted capuchin monkey, and wolf.' (JT nawenane)

Both coordinators hare and zoaha also function as sentence adverbials meaning 'also, as well'. Stassen (2001) points out that a common source of coordinator markers are sentential adverbials, and that may be the case in Paresi. Examples (193), (194) and (195) illustrate their uses as sentential adverbials. In the last example, both occur at the end of the clause.
(193) Hatyo iyatyatyo ali hoka tyoma hare nakairati,
hatyo =iya -tya =tyo ali hoka $\varnothing=$ tyoma hare nakaira -ti
3sg $=$ IRR TH $=$ TOP here CON 3sg= make, do also food UNPOSS
zoare iyatyatyo hahekotya
zoare =iya -tya =tyo $\mathrm{h}=$ aheko -tya
INT $=$ IRR TH =TOP 2sg think TH
'If she is here she will make also food, and then you won't worry about anything.'
(Batsaji iraiti)
(194) Katsani zoaha notyoa hoka maihatyola Sandra ako ababa katsani zoaha no=tyoa hoka maiha =tyo =la Sandra ako ababa DESID and 1 sg come CON NEG $=$ TOP $=$ FOC PN LOC dad iyare avalizatya nomani
iyare avaliza -tya no=mani
name vouch.for TH 1sg BEN
'I wanted to go as well, maybe my father will vouch for me at Sandra.' (Batsaji iraiti)
(195) zoalinihare tyaonahitaha enomana, zoalini -hare $\varnothing=$ tyaona -h -ita -ha $\mathrm{e}=$ nomana like this NMLZ 3sg= live PL IFV PL 3sg= BEN hokakahitaha, kakamanehitaha hare $\varnothing=$ hokaka -h -ita -ha ka- kama -ne -h -ita -ha hare 3sg= be.sick PL IFV PL ATTR death POSSED PL IFV PL also zoaha
zoaha
and
'Anything can happen to him, they may get sick and they may also die.' (cabeceira do osso)

Finally, there are few instances of noun phrase coordination in which the comitative kakoa is used. The comitative occurs with noun phrase-pairs with kinship relationship, in cases where juxtaposition was expected, such as: baba 'my father' and mama 'my mother', ezanityo 'wife' and ityani 'son, daughter', as seen in (196). It can also occur in cases where hare would otherwise be used, as in (197).

'They took them, my mother with her husband, and his young brother Joãozinho.'
(Bacaval wenakalati)
(197) kalini ali escola nakordenaita, natyo, Duzanil hare, seis kalini ali escola na= kordena -ita natyo Duzanil hare seis now here school 1sg= IFV 1sg PN also six professornae kakoa ali wahakita professor -nae $=$ kakoa ali wa= hak -ita teacher PL $=\mathrm{COM}$ here $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ work IFV
'Today I am the coordinator in the school; I, Duzanil, and six teachers are working here.' (cabeceira do osso).

Disjunction of noun phrases is similarly encoded through juxtaposition. In (198) there is one such example.

| (198) hoka | koho | kiraneze | hanama, | koatrotaotse | taita |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hoka koho | kirane -ze | hanama | koatro -taotse | taita |  |
| CON basket | small NMLZ | three | four CLF:piece | only |  |
| $\emptyset=$ zaneta |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\varnothing=$ zane -ta |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3sg go EMPH |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'For a small basket, it takes only three or four pieces.' (koho) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Chapter 5 - Verb classes and adjusting valency

### 5.0 Introduction

Prototypical members of the Paresi verb class receive affixes or clitics indicating tense, aspect, mood, polarity, valence-changing operations, and person/number of subject(s) and object(s). Syntactically, these verbs can be the heads of predicates without additional coding. In Paresi, verb roots can be intransitive, transitive, or ditransitive. There are three mechanisms to decrease valency: the middle voice form -oa, the reflexive -wi, and the reciprocal -kakoa, as well as four mechanisms to increase valency: three morphological causatives, and one periphrastic causative construction.

### 5.1 Verb structure and the thematic suffixes

A verb can consist of a basic or a derived form. Verbs can be derived from nouns through the causativizer prefix $a$ - (§5.3.2.2) or the attributive marker $k a$ - (§7.3.3). Most of the verb morphology consists of suffixes.

The order of affixes on roots is given below (obligatory elements are in boldface):
(1)

1. attributive $k a$ - or negative $m a$ -
2. personal proclitics
3. causative $a$ -
4. ROOT
5. classifiers/ incorporated nouns/ postpositions
6. thematic suffixes
7. causative $-k i$
8. middle voice -oa or reciprocal -kakoa
9. aspect markers
10. plural -ha
11. third person enclitic $=$ ene

The suffixes closest to the root are thematic suffixes, followed by valency changing morphemes (reciprocal, middle voice, causative), aspect morphemes and verbal plural. Of the 15 suffixes, only up to 7 can co-occur in a verbal word, as in (2):
(2) kehezaharetyoahetehenahene
$\varnothing=$ keheza -hare -tya -oa -hete -hena -ha =ene 3sg feel.good MASC TH MM PERF TRS PL 30
'They will be feeling better.' (E)

Some verbs have bound roots that must occur with suffixes called thematic suffixes. 'Thematic suffix' is a term used in the literature on the Arawak family to "gloss affixes which have little, if any, semantic content" (Wise, 1990:90) but are required to complete the verb base. The selection of the appropriate thematic suffix depends on arbitrary classes of roots. The thematic suffixes (-tya~-tsa and -ka) are suffixes that attach to the verb root to form a base before they receive other suffixes such as the tense/aspect/mood suffixes. They may be analyzed as lexicalized with the roots, as seen in example (3). However, this analysis does not account for the fact that they never appear with the suffix -heta 'completive' or -hena 'transitional'. ${ }^{58}$ For example, the verb zakaihaka 'tell story' occurs with the thematic suffix -tya in (5a) and without it in (5b).
(3) kala nozakaihakatya
kala no= zakaihaka -tya
DUB 1sg tell.story TH
'I will tell a story.' (Wazare)
(4) nozakaihakaheta
no= zakaihaka -heta
1sg tell.story PERF
'I will tell the story.' (JT nawenane)

The distribution of the suffixes appears to be related to the transitivity of the verb: -tya occurs with transitive and ditransitive verbs, as seen in Table 71, while -ka can occur with the intransitive verbs haka 'work' and nemaka 'sleep', or transitive verbs. The choice
${ }^{58}$ Silva (2013) considers them to be perfective markers, see discussion in $\S 6.3$.
of which transitive verbs take -tya and which take $-k a$ appears to be arbitrary. There is no root which can appear with either -tya or - $k a$ as alternating possibilities.

Table 71: Verb roots that carry -tya~-tsa

| Bound <br> Root $^{\text {s9 }}$ | Root With <br> -tya | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zakaihaka | zakaihakatya | tell story |
| axika | axikatya | send |
| feta | fetatya | offer |
| emolo | emolotya | curve |
| hala | halatya | paint |
| iriko | irikotya | cut, break |
| aihono | aihonotya | cover |
| aikitsa | aikitsa | grate |
| aima | aimatya | put, give |
| etolitsa | etolitsa | lie down |

Another use of -tya is with intransitive or transitive verbs borrowed from Portuguese. $-k a$ is not used with these words.

Table 72: Borrowed verbs that take -tya

| Paresi Verb | Portuguese Verb | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| batxiyatya | passear | walk around |
| cometsatya | começar | start |
| estudatya | estudar | study |
| reclamatya | reclamar | complain |
| berekotya | pregar | fasten with nails |

Examples with the suffix $-k a$ are shown in Table 73. The verb root cannot occur without a suffix. In the examples (5) and (7), the verbs occur with $-k a$, but in (6) and (8) they do not, since the thematic suffix cannot co-occur with suffixes -hena and -heta.

[^41]Table 73: Verb roots that carry -ka

| Bound Root | Form | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ha | haka | work |
| mala | malaka | pull off |
| nema | nemaka | sleep |
| hiyo | hiyoka | suck |
| miliri | milirika | hold |
| oliri | olirika | stroke |
| tsetse | tsetseka | chew |
| owi | owika | spill |
| aoko | aokoka | breastfeed |
| atyo | atyoka | swallow |

(5) Wiyaneta wahaka Paula, maika hehokotyoa wi= yane -ta wa= ha -ka Paula maika h= ehoko -ty -oa 1 pl go IFV 1 pl work TH Paula SUG 2sg lie.down TH MM 'Let's work, Paula, you can lie down.' (iraiti JM)
(6)

| witsota | watsociaçãone |  | zema |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| witso -ta | wa= tsociação | -ne | $=$ zema |
| nikare |  |  |  | 1 pl EMPH 1 pl organization POSSED $=$ COM like this waiyateretyo hoka hahena wikakoa waiya -tya -re =tyo hoka $\varnothing=$ ha -hena $w i==$ kakoa see IFV NMLZ =TOP CON 3sg work TRS 1pl =COM 'We followed the organization that started to work with us.' (Batsaji tahi)

(7) hiyane hakawitsahene malakahenete
hi= zane ha= kawitsa -h =ene $\varnothing=$ mala $\quad$ ka $-\mathrm{h}=$ ene =te 2sg go 3sg shout PL 3O 3sg pull.off TH PL 3O =FUT miyatenete
Ø= miya -tya =ene =te
3sg finish TH $30=$ FUT
'Go call them to pull off everything.' (tolohe)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { (8) ali } & \text { mainikereta } & \text { watxikinityatyo } & \text { kala } \\ \text { ali } & \text { mainikere -ta } & \text { wa= txikini -tya =tyo } & \text { kala }\end{array}$
here whole EMPH 1 pl behind TH $=$ TOP DUB malahenahitene
$\varnothing=$ mala -hena -hit =ene
3sg pull.off TRS PERF 30
'It was whole, they pulled it off behind us' (ketetse)

### 5.2 Verb classes

Verbs can be identified according to their valence in Paresi and the semantic role of their subjects. In terms of valence, verbs can be intransitive, transitive or ditransitive. In terms of the semantic role of their subjects, Paresi verbs exhibit an agentive-patientive system. I will also describe the copula tyaona, the existential verb aka, and the quotative verb nea which have some grammatical differences from intransitive and transitive verbs. Intransitive verbs can be further classified as agentive or non-agentive verbs depending on the type of personal proclitics they take. I identify two sets of proclitics, set A and set B, which differ according to the semantic role of subjects. Table 74 illustrates the pronominal markers in set A and B .

Table 74: sets of proclitics in Paresi

|  | Set A | Set B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 sg | $\mathrm{na}=$ | $\mathrm{no}=$ |
| 2 sg | $\mathrm{ha}=$ | $\mathrm{hi}=$ |
| 3 sg | $\varnothing=$ | $\varnothing=$ |
| 1 pl | $\mathrm{wa}=$ | $\mathrm{wi}=$ |
| 2 pl | $\mathrm{za}=$ | $\mathrm{xi}=$ |
| 3 pl | $\varnothing=\ldots$-ha | $\varnothing=\ldots$-ha |

Semantically, there are two basic groups of intransitive Paresi verbs: i) active/control verbs which take set $A$; and ii) non-control verbs which take set $B$. In the first group are verbs whose participants are actors (which perform, effect, instigate, or control the situation denoted by the predicate). In the second group are verbs whose
participants are undergoers or lack control, because the participants of these verbs refer to property concepts and to some events that are not performed or controlled by the participant (such as 'die', 'wake up', 'sleep'). ${ }^{60}$ The first class of verbs I call active/agentive verbs and these take set A proclitics, and the second class I call nonagentive verbs and these take set B proclitics. It's mostly in intransitive verbs that we see sets A and set B distinction, which is typical of agentive systems cross-linguistically. This analysis supersedes Brandão (2010) where I proposed that verbs taking set B were stative verbs, while verbs taking set A were active verbs. In that earlier analysis I analyzed the form $a$ - in the proclitics of set A as an active morpheme based on the fact that $a$ - is an active morpheme in other Arawak languages. However, this analysis is not accurate because the morpheme $a$ - does not occur in the third person proclitic.

### 5.2.1 Intransitive Verbs

Intransitive verbs require one syntactic argument. They are classified in two classes: intransitive verbs which take set A markers and intransitive verbs which take set B markers. These classes are morphologically and semantically different, as will be shown below.

### 5.2.1.1 Intransitive agentive verbs

Most of the intransitive verbs are in this class. They have participants who are agents or performers of a controlled action. The notion of actor or agent is here understood as "a participant which performs, effects, instigates, or controls the situation denoted by the predicate" and undergoer or patient as a participant who does not perform, instigate, control the situation (Mithun, 1991: 516). Morphologically, they take the set A proclitics. Table 75 shows some examples of this type of intransitive verbs (some of them are not clearly control-oriented, as I will discuss below).

[^42]Table 75: agentive intransitive verbs

| Verb | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| kawitsa | shout |
| hikoa | come out |
| tona | walk |
| aitxotya | weed |
| maira | fish |
| holikoa | dance |
| heka | get drunk |
| tityoa | stand up |
| meholokoa | kneel down |
| talirikoa | slip |
| waiyore | know |
| tonokoa | cough |

Examples (9) and (10) show that only one set can be used with each verb root.
(9) Hatyaotsetala wiyaneheta, wiyaneheta, wiyane hatyaotseta $=l a \quad w i=$ yane -heta $w i=$ yane -heta $w i=$ yane then $\quad=\mathrm{FOC} 1 \mathrm{plB}$ go PERF 1 plB go PERF 1 plB go wahikoaheta
wa= hikoa -heta
1plA come.out, show.up PERF
'Then we went, went, and we arrived.' (JT nawenane)
(10) *wihikoaheta
wi= hikoa -heta
1 plB come.out, show.up PERF

In the case of word forms that start with vowels, it is difficult to identify which group they belong to based on the morphology. That is because the last vowels of proclitics are dropped, and it is not possible to identify whether the vowel was a $a$ (from set A) or $i$ (from set B). I classify them based on their semantics.

Positional verbs such as tityoa 'stand up' and meholokoa 'kneel down', and the
motion verb talirikoa 'roll down' are also in this set. Perception verbs may lack volition and not be subject to control, but the subject of the verb waiyore 'know' which also means 'learn' has volition, as in (11). The involuntary bodily process verb tonokoa 'cough' in (12) is a spontaneous behavior, but it also falls in this class regardless of whether the action is done on purpose or accidentally. These examples suggests that the class is not completely consistent semantically because the subject participant of verbs in this set may be performers with or without control. However, participants of verbs which take set B markers must lack control.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (11) } \begin{array}{ll}\text { azeze } & \text { zem } \\ \text { azeze } & \text { zem } \\ \text { older.brother } & \text { CO } \\ \text { hoka } & \text { nawaiyore }\end{array} \\ & \end{array}$
hoka na= waiyore
CON 1sgA learn
'Since I was a child I would go with my oldest brother, then I learned (how to hunt).'
(Katomo nawenane)
(12) natonokoa
na= tonokoa
1 sgA cough
'I coughed.' (E)

### 5.2.1.2 Intransitive non-agentive verbs

Verbs in this class have a participant who is an undergoer or lacks control. Semantically, they are verbs denoting states and time-stable concepts, while agentive verbs in the first group denote events. These verbs take proclitics from set B. Brandão (2010) classified intransitive verbs into two groups: standard intransitive and descriptive intransitive verbs. The descriptive verbs included only verbs denoting a property. Verbs denoting states such as naka 'be hungry' and verbs taking the gender suffixes (-hare for masculine and -halo for feminine) were not included in this class. However, because they have the same morphosyntactic behavior, I have considered descriptive verbs and verbs denoting states here to be in one group of stative intransitive verbs. Other intransitive verbs taking set B proclitics have an undergoer participant but they are not stative, and
form another group of non-stative intransitive verbs taking set B.

### 5.2.1.3 Stative intransitive verbs

Stative intransitive verbs are verbs semantically different from other intransitive verbs. They refer to color, states, some values and physical properties. Descriptive words referring to dimension, age, certain values and physical properties are adjectives and are described in §3.7.

Stative verbs referring to physical properties may take gender marking -hare 'MASC' or -halo 'FEM', as seen in Table 76.

Table 76: stative intransitive verbs

| wahahare | be tall |
| :---: | :---: |
| mazahare | be lazy |
| waxirahare | be ugly |
| ihalahare | be happy |
| tifalo | be pregnant |
| maira | be afraid |

(13) wityotya kaharehena kalore namaikohareta haiya zowakiya wi= tyotya kahare -hena kalore $\mathrm{n}=$ amaiko -hare -ta haiya zowakiya 1 pl die.out a.lot TRS a.lot 1sg be.sad MASC IFV IND2 at this time kala
kala
DUB
'We are dying out, and I am very sad sometimes.' (Kamoro nawenane)
(14)

| maha | kinatya |  | zolotyakere |  |  | xini |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maha | kina | -tya | zolotya | -ke | -re | xini |
| NEG | be.strong | TH | grate | $?$ | NMLZ | NEG |

ximazahareta neatyala hatxiyete hiye
$x i=$ mazahare -hare -ta nea -tya =la ha= txiyete =hiye $2 \mathrm{pl}=$ be. lazy MASC IFV say FOC $=\mathrm{FOC} 3 \mathrm{sg}=$ grandson $=\mathrm{BEN}$ ' "It is not hard to grate; you are lazy", she said to her grandson.' (tolohe)

| (15) iyakare niheri | wahikoa | hoka, wimaira, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| iyakare nihe -ri | wa $=$ hikoa | hoka wi= maira |
| alligator nest CLF:round 1 pl come.out, show.up | CON 1 pl be.afraid |  |
| wamairatya maheta ala wiyane |  |  |
| wa mairatya maheta ala wi= yane |  |  |
| 1pl fish PURP FOC 1 pl go |  |  |
| 'We found an alligator nest, then we were afraid and went fishing.' (JT nawenane) |  |  |

A small group of stative intransitive verbs take the suffix $-i$ for the first person subject. ${ }^{61}$ Table 77 illustrates these verbs.

Table 77: stative intransitive verbs taking -i '1sg'

| Verb | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| naka | be hungry |
| hokaka | be sick |
| kolotya | be fat |
| wawa | be alone |
| kirane | be small |
| nira | be thin |
| maloka | be warm |

(16) kalore nokanakairi hoka maiha nonaki
kalore no= ka- nakairi hoka maiha no= naka -i a.lot $1 \mathrm{sgB}=\mathrm{ATTR}$ food CON NEG $1 \mathrm{sgB}=$ be hungry 1 sg maheta maheta
PURP
'I ate a lot to not be hungry.' (E)
(17) natyo kalore nokoloti
natyo kalore no= kolot -i
1sg a.lot $1 \mathrm{sgB}=$ be.fat 1 sg
'I got very fat.' (E)

A few stative intransitive verbs refer to physical condition, such as watya 'be hot',

[^43]tiha 'be cold'. They take a beneficiary marked by the postposition hiye, but not an overt pronominal subject form.
(18) tiha wihiye
tiha wi= hiye
be.cold 1plB BEN
'It is cold for us.' (E)
(19) watya nohiye
watya no= hiye
hot 1sgB BEN
'It is hot for me.' (E)

### 5.2.1.3.1 Non-stative non-agentive verbs

Other verbs taking set B markers are some body process verbs ('cry', 'vomit', 'laugh'), as shown in Table 78, and examples (20) and (21). These are usually uncontrolled events. In addition, events which are not performed, effected, instigated or controlled by the participant, such as 'die', also take set B markers:

Table 78: Non-stative verbs taking set B

| tiya | cry |
| :--- | :--- |
| txiraka | vomit |
| koeza | laugh |
| waini | die |
| nemaka | sleep |
| tyoka | sit |
| tekoa | run away |
| zane | go |
| tyoa | come |
| kaoka | arrive |
| txiya | pass |
| kaotse | wake up |
| kaitxihini | dream |

(20) kafaka kalore notiya
kafaka kalore no= tiya
yesterday a.lot $1 \mathrm{sgB}=$ cry
'Yesterday I cried a lot.' (Enore)
(21) hihokaka zamani, hiwaini zamani, zoana kawe $\mathrm{hi}=$ hokaka zamani $\mathrm{hi}=$ waini zamani zoana kawe $2 \mathrm{sgB}=$ be.sick DUB $2 \mathrm{sgB}=$ die DUB INT hurt
hamokoa zamani
ha= mok -oa zamani
$3 \mathrm{sgAg}=$ put MM DUB
'Or you get sick or you die, or he may get hurt.' (toahiyere-NB)

The use of both sets of proclitics with a given verb root is not possible. For example, the verbs naka 'be hungry' and tiya 'cry' are assigned to set B and cannot be used with set A:
(22) a. nonakita
no= naka -ita
1sgB be.hungry IFV
'I am hungry.' (E)
b. *nanakita
na= naka -ita
1sgA be.hungry IFV
(23) a. notiya
no= tiya
1 sgB cry
'I cried.' (E)
b. *natiya
na= tiya
1 sgA cry

The verbs kaotse 'wake up' and kaitxihini 'dream' are grouped as non-agentive related verbs denoting events. The verbs nemaka 'sleep', ${ }^{62}$ tyoka 'sit' and tekoa 'run away', ${ }^{63}$ zakaihaka 'tell a story' (which is formed by the noun zaka 'story'), and the

[^44]directed motion verbs which have a inherently specified direction: zane 'go', kaoka 'arrive', tyoa 'come'. ${ }^{64}$

When stative verbs are causativized by $a$ - , as seen in (25) and (26), the vowel of the proclitic forms of set B is dropped. One hypothesis is that this process may have been the source of proclitic forms of set A which all have the vowel $a$. One can analyze the $a$ in the set A markers as a fossilized form of the causative prefix $a$-. It cannot be analyzed as a separated morpheme anymore because it does not occur in the third person of set A markers. Active verbs with set A markers have a participant with control.
(24) nozotyali
no $=$ zotya -li
1sgB be.red CLF:round
'I got red (accidentally).' (E)
(25) nazotyatyoa
no= a- zotya -tyoa
1 sgB CAUS be.red MM
'I got myself red (I painted myself).' (E)
(26) noniri
no $=$ nira
1sgB be.thin
'I am thin.' (E)
(27) naniratene
no $=$ a- nira -tya $=$ ene
1 sgB CAUS be.thin TH 30
'I made him get thin.' (E)

### 5.2.2 Transitive verbs

Transitive verbs may take two syntactic arguments in Paresi. As such, they differ from intransitive verbs with respect to pronominal marking in that they can take the enclitic =ene for third person. There are no object pronominal markers in the other persons; independent pronouns are used instead. Table 79 illustrates some transitive verbs.

[^45]Table 79: Some transitive verbs

| Verb | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| aitsa | kill |
| aihonotya | cover |
| zawatya | throw |
| aikotya | cut |
| atyoka | swallow |
| halaitsa | leave |

Examples of transitive verbs are given in (28) to (30):
(28) hatyo kamati natxikiniyeta atyo marinho neye hatyo kama natxikini -ye -ta =atyo marinho neye that death after NMLZ EMPH =TOP PN father aitsaha natxikinitala
Ø= aitsa -ha natxikini -ta $=1 \mathrm{a}$
3sg kill PL after IFV =FOC
'After that death, and after they killed Marinho's father....' (Batsaji tahi)
(29) waihalatya
waihalatya
shoot with an arrow 3sg kill 30
'He shot an arrow and killed him.' (iyamaka)
(30)

| awaira | atyo | hazawahena | emena |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| awa =ira | $=$ atyo | ha $=$ zawa | -hena | $\mathrm{e}=$ |
| mena |  |  |  |  |

Most of the transitive verbs can take either one or two core arguments freely, but these are not cases of ambitransitivity. They take one argument when the object is implicit or dropped. In (31), the object of waiya 'see' (inima 'his cloth') is implicit because it was mentioned in a previous sentence.
(31) Hom ite makani enatyokoe waiya hoka bakatene hom ite makani en= atyokoe $\varnothing=$ waiya hoka baka -t =ene yeah FUT tomorrow 3sg grandfather 3sg see CON pay TH 30 enomana
$\mathrm{e}=$ nomana
3sg BEN
'Yeah, tomorrow his grandfather will go see (the cloth) and pay him for it.' (iraiti Batsaji)

All transitive verbs take proclitics from set A, with few exceptions. One exception is the perception verb waiya 'see', which semantically lacks control, and that is why it take set B proclitics. Other exceptions are agentive verbs derived from nouns which have lexicalized the attributive $k a$-, as kanakaira 'eat', as in (32). The verb kaiwa 'steal' may also contain a lexicalized $k a$ - in spite of its apparent status as an agentive verb since it also takes set B proclitics. Other exceptions are the verbs tera 'drink' (34) and tyoma 'make' (32). ${ }^{65}$
(32) nakairala nakaira $=\mathrm{la} \quad$ wi= tyoma hoka wi= ka- nakaira food $=F O C 1 \mathrm{pl}$ make CON 1 pl ATTR food 'I made food for us to eat.' (E)
(33) namaotseratya hoka nokaiwene
na $=$ maotsera -tya hoka no $=$ kaiw $=$ ene
$1 \mathrm{sgA}=$ lie $\quad \mathrm{TH} \quad \mathrm{CON} \quad 1 \mathrm{sgB}=$ steal $=30$
'I lied and I stole it.' (E)
(34) zama howikihitiya nomani kafe hoka notera
zama $\mathrm{h}=$ owiki -hitiya $\mathrm{no}=$ mani kafe hoka no $=$ tera give $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ pour again $1 \mathrm{sgB}=\mathrm{BEN}$ coffee $\mathrm{CON} 1 \mathrm{sgB}=$ drink 'Pour coffee for me and I will drink.' (iraiti Katomo)

In Table 80, adapted from Mithun (1991:524), I summarize the distribution of pronominal markers (Sets A and B) according to the semantic features of eventhood and control. The Table shows that control may be a relevant feature since stative verbs and the

[^46]transitive verb waiya 'see', whose participants have the feature [- control], take set B markers; while verbs whose participants have the semantic feature of control, the majority of transitive verbs and intransitive verbs in line d (which have some control: waiyezehare 'be a nice person' and waiyezehekola 'be prudent'), take set A proclitics. Table 81 summarizes the distribution of pronominal forms according to control.

Table 80: Summary of the distribution of markers (Mithun, 1991:524)

|  |  | Paresi proclitic set |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. | + event 'jump', 'run.' (exception directed motion verbs <br> like 'go') <br> + control | A |
| b. | + event ' vomit' <br> - control | B |
| c. | + event 'die' <br> - control | B |
| d. | - event 'be prudent', 'be patient' <br> + control | A |
| e. | - event 'be tall', 'be strong' <br> - control | B |
| f. | - event 'be sick', 'be tired' <br> - control | B |

Table 81: Distribution of pronominal forms

|  | [+control] | [-control] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| transitives | mostly A | mostly A |
| intransitives | set A | set B |

### 5.2.3 Ditransitive verbs

Ditransitive verbs are verbs that have two core arguments in addition to the subject (Haspelmath, 2013). Paresi does not exhibit ditransitive verbs in terms of two required objects. The only occurrence of three arguments with the verb aotyakitsa 'teach'
in my corpus is given in (35), but there are verbs which may take a theme argument and a recipient or addressee argument. The occurrence of an overt third argument with these verbs is rare; usually only one of the arguments is mentioned and the other one is implicit (because it was already mentioned in the discourse). The theme is coded like a monotransitive verb's patient and the recipient is coded by a postposition, and both can be expressed either by a lexical argument or a pronominal marker. In my corpus there are only three ditransitive verbs: aotyakitsa (a-otya-ki-tsa/CAUS-remember-CAUS-TH) 'teach', hotikitsa 'show', and itsa 'give'.
(35) hiyane Kamaehiye nali hoka aotyakitsa
$\mathrm{hi}=$ zane Kamaehiye nali hoka $\varnothing=\mathrm{a}$ otya -ki -tsa
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ go $\quad \mathrm{PN} \quad$ LOC CON 3 sg CAUS remember CAUS TH
xitso hanama katyahero abali, tohiri zaira
xitso hanama katyahe -ro abali tohiri zaira
you all three under NMLZ flat shape sieve type of sieve draw
'You three go to Kamahiye for him to teach you [how to make] abali and tohiri sieves.' (Iheroware)
(36) hoka okoi halatehena hoka hotikitsene enomana
hoka okoi $\quad \varnothing=$ halate -hena hoka $\varnothing=$ hotikits =ene $\mathrm{e}=$ om ana CON INTERJ 3sg hatch TRS CON 3sg show 3O 3sg LK BEN
'Then, it hatched and he showed it to him.' (JT nawenane)
(37) notyaona ezahe ehare iraeti waiyeze haiya zowaka
no= tyaona ezahe ehare irae -ti waiye -ze haiya zowaka
1sg live CON this talk UNPOSS good NMLZ IND2 period
nitxita enomana maiha tyakekoahitaha
$\mathrm{n}=$ itsa -ita $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{om}$ ana maiha $\varnothing=$ tyakek -oa -h -ita -ha
1sg give IFV 3sg LK BEN NEG 3sg believe MM PL IFV PL
niraini
$\mathrm{n}=$ irai $-\mathrm{n} \quad$-i
1sg talk POSSED 1sg
'I lived there and gave them good ideas (speech), but they did not believe in my speech.' (Kamoro nawenane)
(38) wairati harenae itsaha enomana waira -ti hare -nae $\varnothing=$ itsa -ha $\mathrm{e}=$ om ana cure NMLZ also PL 3sg give PL 3sg LK BEN 'They also gave him medicine.' (JG nawenane)

### 5.2.4 Copula tyaona

The copula tyaona can be used with nouns, location arguments, and stative verbs. In nominal predicates, it has an aspectual meaning 'become'. In that sense, tyaona is similar to a semi-copula, as defined by Hengeveld (1992), which can never be left out without changing the meaning of the clause in nominal predicates. ${ }^{66}$

The example (39) shows a nominal predicate with two juxtaposed nouns, and the copula at the end. In (40), the copula occurs with the personal clitic $n o=' 1 \mathrm{sg}$ '. The copula can also take aspectual markers as seen in (39) -ita 'IFV', (40) -hena 'TRS', and (41) -ita 'IFV'. In (41), the adverb is followed by the noun phrase and the copula.
(39) hatyo zekohatseti tyaonita
hatyo zekohatseti $\varnothing=$ tyaona -ita
3sg leader 3sg COP IFV
'He became a leader.' (Demarcação)
(40) professor notyaonehena
professor no= tyaona -hena
teacher 1s COP TRS
'I became a teacher.' (Batsaji tahi)
(41) owene Tyabikolotsenae tyaonita
owene Tyabikolatse -nae $\varnothing=$ tyaona -ita
there PN PL 3sg COP IFV
'Tyabikolatse's family is there inside of the house.' (Kabikule Daniel iraiti)

In existential and locative predicates, the copula tyaona is used in texts only with the third person. These predicates have the same argument structure as other tyaona clauses: a theme argument (entity), a location argument, and the copula. Freeze (2001) points out the similarity between locative and existential predicates cross-linguistically.
(42) abebe ene ala iyamaka hanako tyaonita
abebe ene ala iyamaka hana -ko $\varnothing=$ tyaona -ita grandmother PST FOC sacred.flute house LOC 3sg COP IFV 'My deceased grandmother was in the house of the sacred flute' (JT nawenane)

[^47]
'Recently the village has been growing, there is a health service building and a school.' (Batsaji tahi)

I did not find negative existential clauses with tyaona in my corpus. Elicitation has shown that Paresi employs the particle maiha 'NEG' and the verb aka 'exist' in this type of construction (see example in the next section). The copula can be used with almost all types of predicates, except for possessive predicates, which take the prefixes $k a$ - or $m a$-. The origin of the copula is the verb tyaona which has the meanings 'live, be born, stay, become, happen'. Therefore, the most probable source for the copula is tyaona as a dynamic verb meaning 'happen, become', and the evidence for this is that it still means 'become' with nominal predicates. Stassen (1997) mentions dynamic verbs with meanings like these as one of the common sources of copular verbs.

### 5.2.5 Existential copula verb aka

One of the strategies to form existential constructions is the use of the existential copula verb aka. The copular verb occurs with one argument that may be a noun or a stative verb. It can take aspect markers similar to the copula tyaona, but it cannot take personal proclitics. Another difference is that $a k a$ 'exist' is restricted to negative clauses. The only example from text in a declarative clause is in (46). In addition, aka does not occur with the nominalizer -re in negative clauses (see §7.6) while the copula tyaona and
other verbs do occur with this nominalizer in negative clauses.
(45) oloniti aka
oloniti aka
chicha exist
'There is chicha.' (E)
(46) kala kozaka kamae tyaona mokaha kozakita morezalo kala kozaka kamae tyaona $\varnothing=$ moka -ha kozakita morezalo DUB already sun COP 3sg put PL ? fog akehena hoka wetekokoa zane tityoa Wazare aka -hena hoka weteko -koa $\varnothing=$ zane $\varnothing=$ tityoa Wazare exist TRS CON yard LOC 3sg go 3sg stand.up PN 'The sun had already gone, and there was fog, and Wazare went to stand up in the yard.' (Wazare)
(47) kala ehare mahalitihare witsekore atyo ezowakiya, maiha aka kala ehare ma- haliti -hare witsekore atyo ezowakiya maiha aka DUB this NEG person MASC goods TOP period NEG exist 'Then at that time there were no non-Indian goods.' (Kamoro nawenane)

### 5.2.6 The quotative verb nea

The verb nea is used only in the context of quotations, which function as its complement. There are not many studies that make distinction between general speech verbs and quotative verbs. Quotative verbs are defined by Güldemann (2008:82) as specialized forms for the quotation context which outside reported discourse have no use at all. They have restrictions and irregularities which are not shared with standard verbs, and can have weaker phonological substance.

The quotative verb nea inflects for person, as seen in Table 82. The verb presents suppletive forms in the first persons singular and plural, which is the kind of irregularity that can be expected from a quotative verb.

Table 82: inflection for person with the verb nea

| Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| nomi/nita | I said |
| h=eya/ | you said |
| Ø-nea | he/she said |
| w=ita | we said |
| z=ea | you all said |
| Ø-nea-ha | they said |

The verb nea 'say' differs from speech verbs (such as irai 'talk') because it takes a quotation (48), but not a pronominal proclitic or noun phrase as the object argument (49). Furthermore, nea 'say' does not occur in negative clauses.
(48) baba "iyalahaliti" nea
baba iyalahaliti $\quad \varnothing=$ nea
father dumb 3sg say
'My father said: "dumb things" '(E)/ *'My father said dumb things'
(49) *baba neaene
baba $\quad \varnothing=$ nea $=$ ene
father 3 sg say 30
'My father said it.' (E)
(50) baba iraetene
baba $\quad \varnothing=$ irae $=$ ene
father 3sg talk 30
'My father told it.' (E)
(51) *maiha neaze
maiha nea ze
NEG say NMLZ
'It was not said' (E)

Valency-changing morphology or thematic suffixes do not occur on the verb nea. The form with the thematic suffix -tya (*nea-tya) is not grammatical, nor is the form with the middle voice -oa (*nea-tyoa). The only cases with derivational morphology found are: e-nea-re (3sg=say-NMLZ) 'his name'; and a-he-neza-tya (CAUS-?-say-TH) 'shout'. Syntactically, the quotative verb nea directly follows the quoted material.


In (52), the form nea is followed by a postpositional phrase which characterizes the addressee of the quoted speech.

Another use, which is metapragmatic, is the report of nonspeech sounds or nonreferential speech such as nonhuman sounds (a use similar to the verb go in English):

| hiyahakoatya | txi-txi-txi nea |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hi $=$ yahakoa | -tya | $\varnothing=$ nea |
| 2sg look | TH |  |
|  |  | 3sg say |

'(They were playing) Look, and it went like txi-txi-txi.' (Txinikalore)

### 5.3 Valency-changing mechanisms

There are three mechanisms to decrease valency: reflexive, middle voice and reciprocal, while to increase valency there are three morphological causatives and one periphrastic causative construction. Many Amazonian languages exhibit more valencyincreasing operations than valency-decreasing ones (Aikhenvald, 2000), as is the case with Paresi.

### 5.3.1 Valency decreasing mechanisms

### 5.3.1.1 Middle marker -oa

The suffix -oa was described by Brandão (2010) as an intransitivizer occurring with anticausative verbs and with a reflexive function. ${ }^{67}$ Here I expand my analysis by

[^48]presenting other types of verbs taking this suffix, and I argue that it is better to call it a middle voice (Joshua Birchall, p.c., 2013).

The suffix -oa marks the inactive and inchoative form of verbs, that is, verbs whose meaning excludes the causing agent, and present the situation as occurring spontaneously (Haspelmath, 1993). Table 83 shows a list of transitive and inchoative pairs. ${ }^{68}$ The Table was based on the list with 31 verb pairs of inchoative/causative alternations given in Haspelmath (1993). Most of the verbs in this group exhibit the $-k(a)$ or $-t y(a)$ thematic marker.

Table 83: transitive/ inchoative alternations

| Transitive Form | Meaning | Intransitive <br> Form |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ehaika | spill | ehaikoa |
| eheka | split | ehekoa |
| ehoka | shatter | hokoa |
| taika | break | taikoa |
| halalaka | tear | halalakoa |
| holoka | boil | holokoa |
| aolika | untie | aolikoa |
| tsewaoka | melt | tsewaokoa |
| matxiholatya | open | matxiholatyoa |

Some examples of transitive verbs are given below with their intransitive counterparts. In (54), the verb matxiholatya 'open' occurs with -oa to derive the intransitive verb matxiholatyoa 'open'. More examples of transitive and intransitive pairs are given in (55) and (57).
(54) a. namatxiholatya hatikanatse
na $=$ ma- txihola -tya hati kanatse
1 sg NEG door TH? house mouth
'I opened the door of the house.' (E)

[^49]b. hatikanatse matxiholatyoa
hati kanatse ma- txihola -tya -oa
house mouth NEG door TH MM
'The door of the house opened.' (E)
(55) a. kalikini hanatyore kalikini ha= natyore Alalaimore kakoa alakaretse niye now 3sg brother-in-law Alalaimore COM alakaretse flower hoko hiye mahiyenae hiyalatyahitaha nea -hoko hiye mahiye -nae $\varnothing=$ hiyala -tya -h -ita -ha $\varnothing=$ nea CLF:circled BEN bat PL 3sg stick TH PL IFV PL 3sg say 'Now he is with his brother-in-law Alalaimore sticking bats in the alakaretse
flowers, he said.' (Txinikalore)
(56) b

| b. wazalimena | meketse | zane | hiyalatyoa |  | hatyo | alama |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wazali | -mena | meketse | $\varnothing=$ zane | $\varnothing=$ hiyala | -ty | -oa | hatyo | alama |
| jatobá.tree | trunk | middle | 3sg go | 3sg glue | TH | MM | 3sg | swarm | ikawa

$\mathrm{i}=$ kawa
3sg become
'He went to the middle of the jatobá tree and got stuck, then he became a swarm.'
(Txinikalore)
(57)

| a.tanorehana | wenakalati | eheno | kalore |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tanorehana | wena -kala -ti | $\mathrm{e}=\quad$ heno | kalore |  |
| PN | life | NMLZ UNPOSS | 3sg= above, on.the.top | a.lot |
| murão | atxika | tyomaha | porteira |  |
| murão | $\varnothing=$ atxika | $\varnothing=$ tyoma | -ha | porteira |
| wall | 3sg stick | 3sg make, do | PL | gate | 'Above the Tanorehana village they put up a wall and they made a gate' (demarcação)

(58)

| b.xahena |  | zakore | monoli | kali |  |  |  |  | ana |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ø= ха | -hena | zakore | monoli | ka- |  | li |  | ro | ana |
| 3sg shoot enokola | TRS | FRUST zane | termite AT | ATT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R CI } \\ & \text { ere } \end{aligned}$ | CF:rou |  | NMLZ | $\begin{aligned} & =\mathrm{BEN} \\ & \text { txan } \end{aligned}$ |
| en= o- | kola | Ø= zane | Ø= at | txik | a | -hene |  |  |  |
| 3sg= LK | arrow | 3sg go | 3sg st | tick | M | M TRS |  | MLZ |  |
| He shot | term | and the a | arrow g | stu | and | nd wen | ke | txan' | (Wazare) |

Examples (59) to (61) show a reflexive meaning with grooming or body care
verbs, and example (62) illustrates a change of body posture verb and its transitive counterpart in (63).
(59) halahalotyoaheta,

$$
\varnothing=\text { hala -halo -ty -oa -heta }
$$

3sg paint FEM TH MM PERF
tilikoatairatsetyoaheta
Ø= tilikoa taira -tse -ty -oa -heta
3sg comb fringe CLF:small TH MM PERF
fehanahalotyoahetehena
Ø= fehana -halo -ty -oa -hete -hena
3sg bless FEM TH MM PERF TRS
'She painted herself, combed her hair, and blessed her own body.' (kani zaka)
(60) nikala nixakene nikala nolokehetyoatxoa
nika $=1 \mathrm{a} \quad$ ni- $\mathrm{xak}=$ ene nika $=1 \mathrm{a} \quad \varnothing=$ nolokehe -tya -oa -txoa
? $=$ FOC 1sg shoot 3 O ? =FOC 3sg drag TH MM ?
'I shot it (the tapir) and it dragged.' (Katomo nali)
(61) hatyoharekakoa hafehanaharetyoa hoka
hatyohare -kakoa ha= fehana -hare -tya -oa hoka
that COM 2 s bless corpo TH MM CON
himamiyene hitsaona oliti aitsaha
$\mathrm{hi}=$ ma- miya =ene $\mathrm{hi}=$ tyaona oliti $\varnothing=$ aitsa -ha
2s NEG finish 30 2s COP game 3sg kill PL
'If you bless yourself with this, then you will kill game.' (zanekoare)
(62) katxolo etolitsoa
katxolo $\varnothing=$ etolitsa -0a
dog 3sg lie down MM
'the dog lay down.' (E)
(63) netolitsa katxolo
$\mathrm{n}=$ etolitsa katxolo
1sg lie down dog
'I laid the dog down.' (E)

Table 84 shows a list of transitive verbs taking -oa and their intransitive counterparts, which indicate reflexive action towards oneself.

Table 84: Verb roots that carry -(ty)oa

| Transitive Form | Meaning | Intransitive <br> Form | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| etolitsa | lie down | etolitsoa | lie oneself down |
| emolotya | curve | emolotyoa | be bent |
| halatya | paint | halatyoa | be painted |
| tilikoa | comb | tilikoatyoa | be combed |
| fehanatya | drag | fehanatyoa | bless oneself |
| nolokehe | nolokehetyoa | drag oneself |  |
| txiraka | spill | txirakalitsoa | vomit |
| zozoka | cut, break | hiyalatyoa | get <br> oneself |
| hiyalatya | cut down | ityokoa | get cut |
| irikotya | bite | tyalokoa | get cut down |
| ityoka | cut | aikotyoa | get cut |
| tyaloka | stick | atxikoa | get stuck |
| aikotya | drill | motokoa | get drilled |
| atxika | hang | erahokoa | be hanged |
| motoka | erahoka |  |  |

The middle voice morpheme can also occur with intransitive verbs without an unmarked counterpart (deponent verbs). Theses verbs with lexicalized -oa are related to changes of posture, and movements of the body with or without change of position. See more examples of deponent verbs in Table 85, and in the examples below.
(64) meholokoahena hoka eaotse hokolanatse
meholokoa -hena hoka $\mathrm{e}=$-aotse $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{o}$ kola -natse
knee.down TRS CON 3sg= place where 2sg= LK arrow CLF:long hatolokonatsetya [eye zaiyako totakotsetereako]
ha= toloko -natse -tya eye zaiyako totakotse -te -re -ako 3sg $=$ hole CLF:long TH this type.of.trap ? IFV NMLZ LOC hamoka hixaka
ha= moka hi= xa -ka
$3 \mathrm{sg}=$ put $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ shoot TH
'Only when you are kneeling down can you move and put the rifle in the place where the trap has a hole; then you shoot.' (zanekoare-FO)
(65) inityo
tiyahaloakatya ehaikoa waiyehenatyo
in= ityo tiya -halo -aka -tya $\mathrm{e}=$ haikoa $\quad \varnothing=$ waiye -hena =tyo 3sg mother cry FEM ? TH 3sg turn.back 3sg see TRS =TOP koloho atyo txiyahotya koloho =atyo $\quad$ = txiyahotya forest =TOP 3sg exceed
'His mother was crying and and she turned back to see, and the forest was too thick
to see through.' (kokotero)
(66) Hatyaotseta wahaikoaheta hoka hatyo ahota nikare
hatyaotseta wa= haikoa -heta hoka hatyo aho -ta nikare then 1 pl come.back PERF CON that path EMPH like this kazaza hiye wiyane tyalakoa heko wiyeya kazaza =hiye wi= yane tyalakoa heko wi= yeya type.of.root $=\mathrm{BEN} 1 \mathrm{pl}=$ go floodplain time $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ see
'Then we came back, and we went to the floodplain to see the kazaza root.' (JT nawenane)

Table 85: deponent verbs with lexicalized -oa

| ezoa | fall |
| :--- | :--- |
| haikoa | come back |
| halaitsoa | jump |
| hawinitsoa | breath |
| hikoa | show up |
| iraitsekoa | gossip |
| itsoa | come in |
| kenekoa | go up |
| mazakoa | knee down |
| meholokoa | go down |
| mitikoa | go away |
| tekoa | stand up |
| tityoa | come |
| tyoa |  |
| ehaikoa |  |

The polysemy of a verbal marker being used for different valency-decreasing functions (passive, reflexive, anticausative, reciprocal, middle voice) is widely reported (Shibatani, 1985; Haspelmath, 1987; Kemmer, 1993, 1994; Kazenin, 2001). According to Kemmer (1994), middle voice forms are associated with the classes of verbs mentioned above which take -oa: grooming or body care verbs, verbs of body motion without change of position, verbs of change in posture, translational motion verbs, emotion and cognition middles (such as being angry, and thinking), and spontaneous events. The only group of verbs taking this suffix in Paresi which do not fit into this classification are action verbs such as 'cut', 'bite' and 'hang' which are interpreted with a reflexive meaning when they appear with the middle voice suffix in Paresi.

The main semantic characteristic shared by all verbs marked by the middle voice form is affectedness of the subject. The difference between reflexives and middle voice
forms is that the latter indicates two semantic roles of initiator and endpoint referring to a single holistic entity, while in the former the initiator acts on itself just as it would act in another entity (Kemmer, 1994: 207). At this stage I can only elaborate on the hypothesis that -oa was historically a reflexive marker that had its function extended to mark middles. As seen in the next section, there is a coreferential marker also used to mark reflexivization. Further study comparing the middle voice and the reflexive is required.

### 5.3.1.2 Reflexive/coreferential marker

Reflexive markers indicate that the agent and another argument in a event are coreferential, i.e, they are the same entity (Kemmer, 1994; Frajzyngier, 1999; Kazenin, 2001). In Paresi, this coreferential function is expressed by the reflexive suffix -wi. The reflexive $-w i$ is also a marker of coreferentiality.

Examples (67) to (71) show transitive and ditransitive verbs taking the reflexive. ${ }^{69}$ With ditransitive verbs such as aotyakitsa 'teach' and hotikitsa 'show' -wi indicates the agent is coreferential with the beneficiary/recipient, as seen in (70) and (71).
(67) nawaiyetyoawi
n= awaiye -tya -oa -wi
1sg like TH MM REF
'I like myself.' (E)
(68) aikotyoawi

Ø= aiko -tya -oa -wi
3sg cut TH MM REF
'He cut himself.' (Rowan, 1978: 36)
(69) aolikoawiha

Ø= aolikoa -tyoa -wi -ha
3sg untie MM REF PL
'They untied themselves.' (E)
(70) naotyakitsoawi
n= aotyaki -tya -oa -wi
1 sg teach TH MM REF
'I taught myself.' (E)
${ }^{69}$ I have not found the marker functioning as a reflexive in texts, only as a co-reference marker.
(71) nahotikitsoawi
na= hotiki -tya -oa -wi
1sg show TH MM REF
'I showed it to myself.' (E)

Because reflexivization involves the affectedness of the subject in addition to coreferentiality, verbs taking the reflexive -wi may also exhibit the middle marker -oa (seen in the previous section). An important difference between -oa and -wi, is the use of the latter only with verbs whose event has a high degree of "distinguishability of participants" (Kemmer, 1993). This is illustrated by the ungrammaticality in (72)b of -wi with a change of position verb such as etolitsa 'lie down'.
(72) a. netolitsoa
$\mathrm{n}=$ etolitsa -oa
1sg lie.down MM
'I laid myself down.' (E)
b. *netolitsowi
n= etolitsa -oa -wi
1sg lie.down MM REF
'I laid myself down.' (E)

However, the difference between using only -(ty)oa or using -(ty)oawi with a given stem is unclear, as seen in (73) and (74) where the addition of -wi appears to not influence the interpretation. One possibility is that -wi here is an emphatic marker of coreferentiality.
(73) nairikotyoawi
$\mathrm{n}=$ airiko -tya -oa -wi
1sg cut TH MM REF
'I cut myself.' (E)
(74) nairikotyoa
$\mathrm{n}=$ airiko -tya -oa
1 sg cut TH MM
'I cut myself.' (E)

Marking coreferentiality is the main function of -wi. This may be its original
function which was expanded to mark reflexives. Evidence for this is its use to indicate coreference between the subject of a complement-taking predicate and the subject of the complement predicate, as shown in (75) and (76). Payne (1997) mentions similar uses of reflexives for coreference in Yup'ik Eskimo and Yagua.
(75) winityohalitira xiyazatya zahatsakoawi
wi $=$ nityohaliti $=\mathrm{ra} \quad \mathrm{xi}=$ yaza -tya $\mathrm{za}=$ hatsaka -oa -wi
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ old.person $=\mathrm{AFF}$, small $2 \mathrm{pl}=$ sing? TH $2 \mathrm{pl}=$ try $\quad$ MM SS
'Try to sing with our elder.' (xihatyoawihaliti)
kafaka nozani nixakene naokowi ene
kafaka no= zani ni- xak =ene n= aoka -wi =ene
(76) yesterday 1 sg go 1 sg shoot 1 sg say $\mathrm{SS}=$ PST
'Yesterday I wanted to go to shoot it.' (Katomo nali)

### 5.3.1.3 Reciprocal

The reciprocal occurs in what Evans et al. (2004) call "canonical reciprocal events": situations with two participants where the subevents are simultaneous and symmetrical (binary relation in which a stands to $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ to $\mathbf{a}$ ). The marker of reciprocity in Paresi is the suffix -kakoa as in the examples:
(77) zakolohekoaheta hitso

Ø= zakolo -hekoa -heta hitso
3 hug DISTR REG 2sg
'He starts to hug you.' (kani zaka)
(78) zakolokakoa

Ø= zakolo -kakoa
3sg hug REC
'They hugged each other.' (E)

The reciprocal can also be used with more than two participants. In (79), the plural set (referring to a group of people simultaneously hugging each other in pairs) is marked twice by the plural marker -ha on the verb. However, two participants can also be marked only once with the plural marker -ha. In (80) there is no plural marking on the verb, but the subject noun is marked by the plural -nae.
(79) zakolohalotyakakoahitaha

Ø= zakolo -halo -tya -kakoa -ha -ita -ha
3sg hug FEM TH REC PL IFV PL
'They (many pairs of people) hugged each other.' (E)
(80) hiyeta hatyokoniyeta toahiyereharenae irikotya hiyeta hatyo koni -zeta toahiyere -hare -nae $\varnothing=$ iriko -tya therefore that in.the.middle from ancestors MASC PL 3sg cut TH hoka xakakakoita
hoka $\varnothing=$ xaka -kakoa -ita
CON 3sg shoot REC IFV
'Then, also the ancestors cut (it) to shoot each other.' (Txinikalore)

The reciprocal can also be found with ditransitive verbs. With these verbs, the reciprocal marking on the verb indicates the coreference of the subject and the recipient. The direct object may not be overtly expressed in the clause.
(81) eye nahetakota tempone zoakiyere atyo
eye nahetakota -ta tempo -ne zoaka iyere atyo
this before EMPH time NMLZ TEMP from TOP
itsakakoahitaha ozakolohalo ozakerehare
Ø= itsa -kakoa -ha -ita -ha ozakere -halo ozakere -hare
3sg give REC PL IFV PL ancestor FEM ancestor MASC
'Before my time, the ancestors were used to giving (their daughters) to each other.'
(Toahiyereharenae-DB)
(82) haliti zako Jura Kabikule zoaha
haliti zako Jura Kabikule zoaha
person language PN PN and
aotyakitsakakoahitaha
Ø= aotyakitsa -kakoa -ha -ita -ha
3sg teach REC PL IFV PL
'Jura and Kabikule taught each other the Paresi language.' (E)

The suffix -kakoa can also occur with few agentive intransitive verbs such as tema 'run' (83) and halaitsa 'jump' (84), which refer to interactive activities. In this case, the suffix is not decreasing valency. In addition, -kakoa occurs semi-lexicalized together with the lexical verb roots aitsa 'kill' in aitsakakoa 'fight' (85), waiya 'see' in waiyakakoa
'visit' (86), and tyaona 'stay, become' in tyaonakakoa 'get marry' (87).
(83) temakakoaha
tema -kakoa -ha
run REC PL
'They ran after one another.' (E)
(84) halaitsakakoaha
halaitsa -kakoa -ha
jump REC PL
'jump on top of each other.' (E)
(85) haiyanae hitiya aitsakakoa nikare hare hatyo marinho neye haiya -nae hitiya aitsa -kakoa nikare hare hatyo marinho neye
IND2 PL also kill REC like this also 3sg PN father
eye
eye
this
'Others also killed each other, like they did to Marinho's father.' (Batsaji tahi)
(86) maiha haliti waiyakakoare
maiha haliti $\quad \varnothing=$ waiya -kakoa -re
NEG Paresi.person 3sg see REC NMLZ
'The Paresi people do not see each other.' (JG nawenane 1)
(87) maiha atyo nikare xini toahiya toahiyehalo toahiyehare atyo
maiha atyo nikare xini toahiya toahiye -halo toahiye -hare atyo NEG TOP like this NEG formerly formerly FEM formerly MASC TOP
tyaonakakoahena ihalahalo ihalaharetatyo
$\varnothing=$ tyaona -kakoa -hena $\varnothing=$ ihala -halo $\varnothing=$ ihala -hare -ta =tyo 3sg stay REC TRS 3sg happy FEM 3sg be.happy MASC IFV =TOP 'Formerly, it was not like this; when the ancestors got married, they were happy.' (Kamoro nawenane)

Finally, the reciprocal can express one of its participants by a separate comitative argument, in what Nedjalkov (2007) calls "discontinuous reciprocal constructions", as in (88) and (89).

| (88) | waikoakore | kakoa | aitsakakoaha |  |  | minita |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | hoka

'They were always fighting with each other, with the Nambikwara, and he became invisible.' (iyamaka-BO)


The reciprocal has the same form as the instrumental/comitative kakoa (§3.6.1). The form kakoa may be further analyzed as formed by reciprocal -kak and the middle voice form -oa. Then, one can hypothesize that the use of the two forms has a reciprocal function which was expanded to a comitative/instrumental function. Silva (2013) describes the reciprocal construction as a cliticization of the postposition kakoa. Considering this analysis, then the comitative kakoa would have developed into a reciprocal which is not common cross-linguistically. Nedjalkov (2007) shows sociative and comitative functions are often developed from reciprocal markers. Wise (1990) reconstructs $* k^{h} a k^{h}$ for the reciprocal marker of Proto-Arawak. She also suggests that * $k^{h} a k^{h}$ changed from a reciprocal marker to comitative or causative in some languages. Paresi appears to be a case of just such a language.

### 5.3.2 Valency increasing mechanisms

In Paresi, there are the following strategies for increasing valency: lexical causatives, the causative morphemes $a-\sim e-$ and $-(k) i$, periphrastic causative constructions with the verb moka 'put', and incorporation of postpositions.

### 5.3.2.1 Lexical causatives

Considering the definition of lexical causatives by Dixon (2000), which defines them as pairs of different lexemes in a causative relation (where one is caused and the other uncaused), we find in Paresi the following pairs of lexical causative verbs: waini 'die' and aitsa 'kill', meta 'lose (tr.)' and hehana 'get lost (intr.)'.

### 5.3.2.2 Causatives $\boldsymbol{a}-\sim \boldsymbol{e}$ - and - $(k) i$

The causative prefix $a-\sim e$ - (see Table 85 ) and the causative suffix $-k i$ co-occur obligatorily with intransitive agentive verbs (examples 1 to 6 in Table 86), intransitive non-agentive non-stative verbs (7 to 11), stative verbs, and transitive verbs as shown in Table 89. The causative suffix $-k i$ has a variant $-i$ given by some speakers.

Table 86: Intransitive verbs causativized by the morpheme -(k)i

|  | Verb Root | Causative Form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | tema 'run' | a-tema-ki-tsa |
| 1. | halaitsoa 'jump' | a-halaitsoa-ki-tsa |
| 2. | heka 'be drunk' | a-heka-ki-tsa |
| 3. | holikoa 'dance' | a-holikoa-ki-tsa |
| 4. | haka 'work' | a-haka-ki-tsa |
| 5. | ainakoa 'flight' | aina-ki-tsa |
| 6. | katse 'be alive' | a-katse-ki-heta 'resurrect' |
| 7. | koeza 'laugh' | a-koeza-ki-tsa |
| 8. | kaoka 'arrive' | e-kaoka-ki-tsa |
| 9. | tekoa 'run away' | a-ekoa-ki-tsa 'scare away' |
| 10. | nemaka 'sleep' | a-emaka-ki-tsa 'make sleep/ put to |
| sleep' |  |  |

In these constructions, the new participant is the causer in subject function, and the original subject of the underived intransitive verb is the causee in object function of the causativized verb. The verbs derived from this process are transitives.
(90) owitenehena nikatyo notehene nikatyote hoka owitene -hena nika =tyo $\mathrm{n}=$ otoka -hene nika =tyo =te hoka near TRS ? =TOP 1sg hold TRS ? =TOP =FUT CON naekoakitxitene
$\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{a -} \quad$ ekoa $\quad$-ki $\quad$-tx - -it $=$ ene
1 sg CAUS run away CAUS TH IFV 30
'I was coming close, I was almost getting it, but I caused (the animal) to run away.'
(Katomo nawenane)
(91) kala aitsahenene, hoka akatsekihetene
kala aitsa -hen =ene hoka a- katse -ki -het =ene
DUB kill TRS OBJ CON CAUS be.alive CAUS PERF 30
'He killed them, but they were resurrected.' (Wazare)
(92) enakolini aemakakihenahene
$\mathrm{e}=$ nakolini a- emaka -ki -hena -h =ene
3sg= lap CAUS sleep CAUS TRS PL =30
'They made him sleep.' (Enore)
Some verbs such as fira 'be clean', iyo 'dry', horera 'be wet', and kiya 'be black' rarely take the causativizer -ki. Silva (2013) calls the verbs fira 'be clean', iyo 'dry', horera 'be wet', and waiye 'be good' anti-causative verbs that cannot take -ki. I have only attested these verbs with $-k i$ in elicitation, and there was disagreement among speakers about the grammaticality of these constructions.

Stative verbs can occur with both causatives $a$ - and $-k i$, or only with $a$-. Some examples of stative verbs occurring with causatives are shown in Table 87. The derived verbs are transitive verbs.

Table 87: Intransitive verbs with the morphemes a- and -(ty)a

| Verb root | Causative a- | Gloss | Causatives a- <br> and -ki | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kiya 'be black' | a-kiya-tya | 'make black' | a-kiya-ki-tsa | 'make black' |
| zotya 'be red' | a-zotya-tya | 'make red' | a-zotya-ki-tsa | 'make red' |
| maza 'be soft' | e-maza-tya | 'become soft' | e-maza-ki-tsa | 'soft' |
| watya 'be hot' | e-watya-tya | 'become hot' | e-watya-ki-tsa | 'heat' |
| iyo 'be dry' | a-iyo-heta | 'make dry' | ---- | --- |
| kaitsa 'be full' | a-kaitse-tya | 'fill' | ---- | --- |
| fira 'be clean' | a-fira-tya | 'clean' | ---- | --- |
| hawahare 'be <br> different' | e-hawahare-tya | 'make different' | ---- | --- |

The two first verbs in the Table, kiya 'be black' and zotya 'be red', can occur with or without -ki constructions without a change in meaning, as in (93). The two other verbs, maza 'be soft' and watya 'be hot' also occur with or without -ki but there is a difference in meaning when the subject is non-volitional. (94) is similar to (93), showing that when the subject is a volitional entity $-k i$ is optional, but $-k i$ cannot occur when the subject is nonvolitional (95).
(93) ohiro akiyatya katxolo or ohiro a- kiya -tya katxolo woman CAUS be.black TH dog ohiro akiyakitsa katxolo ohiro a- kiya -ki -tya katxolo woman CAUS be.black CAUS TH dog 'The woman blackened the dog.' (E)
(94) nawatyakitsa
n= a- watya -ki -tya matalo
1sg CAUS be.hot CAUS TH pot newatyatya matalo
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{e}$ - watya -tya matalo 1sg CAUS be.hot TH pot
'I heated the pot' (E)

```
(95) irikate ewatyatya matalo * irikate ewatyakitsa matalo
    irikate Ø= e- watya -tya matalo
    fire 3sg CAUS be.hot TH pot
    'The fire heated the pot' (E)
```

The four remaining verbs in the Table cannot occur with the causative $-k i$. A plausible explanation why -ki does not occur with these stative verbs is that the causer of causative constructions with $-k i$ must be an agent-like volitional entity. Statives have experiencer subjects, which are less likely to have control in the causative situations in which it is involved. In examples (96) and (97), only $a$ - is used, and the causeer can be a volitional entity or not. However, in (98), the causer is a non-volitional entity, and therefore -ki is ungrammatical.
(96) kamae aiyoheta imiti
kamae a- iyo -heta imi -ti
sun CAUS be.dry REG cloth UNPOSS
'The sun dried my cloth.' (E)
(97) Paula aiyoheta imiti

Paula a- iyo -heta imi -ti
PN CAUS be.dry REG cloth UNPOSS
'Paula dried my cloth.' (E)
(98) *kamae aiyohetakitsa imiti
kamae a- iyo -heta -ki -tsa imi -ti
sun CAUS be.dry REG CAUS TH cloth UNPOSS
'The sun dried my cloth.' (E)

Other stative verbs formed by the gender markers -hare and -halo, such as the verbs in Table 88, may occur with the causative $-k i$ when they are nominalized. There is no marking of nominalization, but the forms are nominal because they exhibit the possessed marker -ne~-ni.

Table 88: Verbs taking -ne 'POSSED'

| Verb Root | Causative Form |
| :---: | :---: |
| waxirahare 'be ugly' | a-waxirahali-ni-ki-tsa |
| haihalahare 'be happy' | a-haihalahali-ni-ki-tsa |
| okoare 'be jealous' | a-okoali-ni-ki-tsa 'make jealous' |
| waiyore 'know' | a-waiyoli-ni-ki-tsa 'make know.' (learn) |
| tyoka 'sit' | a-oka-ni-ki-tsa 'make sit' |

(99) eye hare wenakalatiyere zoimanae
eye hare awenaka iyere zoima -nae this also village NMLZ child PL awaiyolinikitsaha maheta
a- waiyoli -ni -ki -tsa -ha maheta
CAUS know POSSED CAUS TH PL PURP
'To make our children in the village learn.' (makani tahi)
(100) maka hoka eze hazoimerezaha nakolini
maka hoka eze ha= zoime -re -za -ha nakolini
night CON this $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ child NMLZ POSSED PL lap
aokanikihenahene
a- oka -ni -ki -hena $-\mathrm{h}=$ ene
CAUS sit POSSED CAUS TRS PL $=30$
'When it is night, they make him sit on the lap of his youngest brother' (Enore)

The verbs kera 'burn', maza 'be soft and kaitse 'be full' are exceptions because they do not take the prefix $a$-. In (101), the verb kera 'burn' occurs as an intransitive verb and as a transitive verb in (102), with a third-person causer. The only difference is the use of the thematic suffix -tya in the transitive form. The thematic suffix does not occur with transitive verbs when the transitional and regressive aspect markers appear on the verb, but a difference is still made between the two constructions. Observe that there is vowel change in the last vowel of kera from [a] to [e] because of vowel harmony in the intransitive form (103). However, in the transitive form (104), there is no vowel change.
(101) baba nokolanatse kera
baba nokola natse $\quad \varnothing=$ kera
father arrow CLF:long 3sg burn
'My father's rifle burned.' (Fenare)
(102) taika hanatse nikare
$\varnothing=$ taika ha= natse nikare $\quad \varnothing=$ kera -tya $=$ ene hoka
3sg break 3sg CLF:long like this 3sg burn TH 30 CON nitxita
Ø= nitsa -ita
3sg nitsa IFV
'He broke (it) like this, he roasted it, and he ate.' (Kozeto)
(103) matsene kerehena
matsene $\varnothing=$ kera -hena
field 3sg burn TRS
'The field is going to burn.' (E)
(104) eye wihana kerahenaha oropa keratyahitaha
eye wi= hana kera -hena -ha oropa kera -tya -h -ita -ha this $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ house burn TRS PL type of bee burn TH PL IFV PL 'They were burning oropa bees, and they burned our house.' (Fenare)

The prefix $e$ - is a variant of $a$-found with some intransitive verbs, most of them statives, as in Table 85 . The only verb occurring with $e$ - which does not accept $a$ - is kaiyaza 'be dirty'. Silva (2013) also reports the verbs mazahare 'be lazy', maiyare 'melt', and kaye 'flower' as verbs that can only take $e$-.

Table 89: Verbs taking a-~e-

| Verbs | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- |
| maza | 'be soft' |
| kaitse | 'be full' |
| kaoka | 'arrive' |
| watya | 'be hot' |
| wahahare | 'be tall' |
| waka | 'extinguish' |
| kaotse | 'wake up' |

Rowan \& Burgess (1969) described the prefix $a$ - in some cases as a transitivizer, and in other cases as a verbalizer. The prefix $a$ - was analyzed by Brandão (2010) as an active morpheme, and by Silva (2013) as a transitivizer. Here I prefer to analyze $a$ - as a general causativizer. The prefix $a$ - is not a transitivizer because it also occurs with transitive verbs, as seen above. Neither it is appropriate to call it an active morpheme because $a$ - does not occur with all or most of the active verbs like active suffixes in Guajiro (Alvarez, 2004) and Trinitario (Rose, 2010). The causative $a$ - may be related to the form $a$ of set A proclitics which occur with active verbs.

Wise (1990) says that the prefix $a-/ e-/ i$ - occurs in most of the Arawak languages meaning 'causative/ verbalizing/ transitivizing/ thematic'. The causative suffix -cho in Trinitario (Rose, 2010) has similar functions: it causativizes stative verbs, verbalizes nouns (causativizes nouns), and occurs as an active suffix, which she hypothesizes is a lexicalized causative.

Transitive verbs such as the ones seen in Table 90 can also be used with both causativizers. In these constructions, the causer takes the subject function, the causee (original subject) is in the object function, and the original object moves out to a peripheral function marked by the postposition kakoa. I only found transitive verbs taking the causative affixes in elicitation. The derived verbs are potentially ditransitives.

Table 90: Transitive verbs causativized by the morphemes a- and -ki

| Verb Roots | Causative Form |
| :---: | :---: |
| moko 'hit' | a-moko-(tya)-ki-tsa 'make hit' |
| zalawa <br> 'swing' | a-zalawa-ki-tsa |
| waiya 'see' | a-waiya-ki-tsa 'make see' |
| ityoka 'cut' | $\mathbf{a}-\mathrm{x}$-ityoka-ki-tsa 'make cut' |
| kanakaira <br> 'eat' | $\mathbf{a}$ a-kanakaira-ki-tsa 'make eat' |

(105) nakanakairakitsa
$\mathrm{n}=$ a- kanakaira -ki -tsa zoima
1sg= CAUS eat CAUS TH child
'I made the child eat.' (E)
(106) natyo nanikaetsene kotyoi nete kakoa natyo na= nika -i -ts =ene kotyoi nete =kakoa 1sg $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ eat CAUS TH $=3 \mathrm{O}$ tapir flesh, meat $=\mathrm{COM}$ 'I made him eat tapir meat.' (E)
(107) natyo nazaitsakitsene
natyo $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{z}=\quad$ aitsa $-\mathbf{k i} \quad$-ts $=$ ene
1sg 1sg= CAUS NMLZ kill CAUS TH $=30$
'I made (the poison) to kill him.' (E)

The suffix -ki can also be used to convey the sociative type of causation as pointed out by Brandão (2010). According to the semantic continuum of causatives in Shibatani and Pardeshi (2002), there is an intermediate category in the scale of causatives between direct and indirect types of causation, the sociative causative (which itself can be divided into three types: joint-action, assistive, and supervision). The causative $-k i$ is not a dedicated sociative causative marker as described by Rose and Guillaume (2007). The sociative causative may be included within the $-k i$ constructions. For example, in (108), the sentence may have two possible meanings: one in which the causer does not participate in the action, and the other one in which the causer accompanies the causee in the action (joint-action situation).
(108) inityo zoima aetonakitsa
inityo zoima a- -e tona -ki -tsa
mother child CAUS ? walk CAUS TH
'The mother made her child walk.' (by asking her to walk) / The mother made her child walk (by walking with the child)' (E)

The causative $a$ - is also found with two verbs derived from nouns (in Table 76) and is productive with verbs taking the middle -oa.
(109) awaikoakoretyoa
a- waikoakore -tya -oa
CAUS non-Paresi Indian TH MM
'Become a Nambikwara person.' (E)
(110) awaiyeharetyoa
a- waiyehare -tya -oa
CAUS be.beautiful TH MM
'become beautiful.' (E)
Table 91: verbs derived from nouns

| noun root | Gloss | Derived Verb | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| itxo | hoe | a-itxo-tya | weed |
| tiho | face | a-tiho-tya | meet |

### 5.3.2.3 Periphrastic causative

Periphrastic causatives are formed via the causative verb moka 'put'. This type of construction is rare, with only one example from texts (111). Further research is needed to be done in order to analyze the use of this construction in texts. Periphrastic causatives are often used with stative verbs. The causee maintains its original function as subject of the complement clause, and the causative verb moka functions as a manipulative complement-taking predicate occurring at the end of the clause.
(111) (kazatya) [wimatahoko] moka
kazatya wi= matahoko $\varnothing=$ moka
jacuba 1pl be.dizzy 3sg put
'it (the jacuba drink) made us dizzy.' (JT nawenane)
(112) amama [namaikohalo] moka
amama $\mathrm{n}=$ amaiko -halo $\quad \varnothing=$ moka
my mother $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ be.sad FEM 3 sg put
'my mother made me be sad' (E)
In elicitation, there are examples of periphrastic constructions with transitive verbs. Purposive clauses marked by the subordinator maheta are employed for causation. The causee, as seen above in complement clauses, maintains its function as a subject in the purposive clause, but it is also marked as the object of the causative verb moka.
(113) ena moka kirakaharenae [one tera maheta] ena $\varnothing=$ moka kirakahare -nae one $\varnothing=$ tera maheta man 3sg put animal PL water 3sg drink PURP 'The man made the animals drink water.' (E)
(114) Kezo moka natyo [naholoka kohatse maheta] Kezo $\varnothing=$ moka natyo na= holoka kohatse maheta PN 3sg put 1sg 1sg= cook fish PURP 'Kezo made me cook fish.' (E)

The only example I have of a ditransitive verb used with moka is aotyakitsa 'teach' in (115). In (115) there are two coordinated clauses. The causee functions as the object in the first clause with the verb moka, and as the subject of the second clause.
(115) hamoka natyo hoka naotyakitsa xitso haliti
ha= moka natyo hoka $\mathrm{n}=$ aotya -ki -tsa xitso haliti
2 s put 1s CON 1sg remember CAUS TH 2pl Paresi
niraine
in= irai -ne
3s talk POSSED
'You made me teach you all the Paresi language.' (E)

### 5.3.3 Incorporation

A noun, a classifier, and a postposition can be combined with a verb in order to derive a verb stem.

### 5.3.3.1 Noun incorporation

In Paresi, only inalienable nouns referring to body parts, the noun aho 'road', and classifiers may be incorporated into intransitive and transitive verbs. They incorporate immediately following the verb root, before aspect suffixes and the middle -oa:

| proclitic | verb root | CLF/ <br> incorporated noun | thematic <br> suffixes | aspect <br> markers | middle voice |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The object of transitive verbs are incorporated, as seen in (117) to (120). There is external possession, also called "the manipulation of case" by Mithun (1984), in which
the possessor of the incorporated noun becomes an object, and the valency remains the same. (117) is an analytical construction, and the (external) possessor is indicated by the proclitic $n o=$. The derived verb in (121) is a lexical compound which has the idiomatic meaning 'punish (lit.: pull the neck)'.
(117) a. keratyaha nohake

Ø= kera -tya -ha no= kahe 3 sg burn TH PL 1sg hand
'They burned my hands.' (E)
b. kerakahitsaha natyo

Ø= kera kahe -tya -ha natyo
3 sg burn hand TH PL 1sg
'They burned my hands.' (E)
(118) waiyakahitsa natyo

Ø= waiya kahe -tya natyo
3sg see hand TH 1sg
'He saw my hands.' (E)
(119) mokokaheta
natyo, hatinihare iyita hoka,
$\varnothing=$ mokotya kahe -ta natyo ha= tinihare $\varnothing=$ iya -ita hoka
3sg hit hand IFV 1sg 3sg= container 3sg catch IFV CON
hatyaotseta nowaini komita ene
hatyaotseta no= waini komita =ene
then $\quad 1 \mathrm{sg}=$ die almost $=$ PST
'He hit my hand and caught my container, then I almost died.' (JT nawenane)
(120) himahakalone haokowita hoka, maiha
$\mathrm{hi}=$ ma- ha -ka -lo -ne $\mathrm{h}=$ aokowi -ta hoka maiha
2sg NEG work TH NMLZ POSSED 2sg want IFV CON NEG
hitso kahane holatihotya hita nohiye hoka
hitso kahane $\mathrm{h}=$ ola tiho -tya $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{ita}$ no= =hiye hoka
you EMPH? 2sg tie face TH 2 sg say 1 sg $=$ BEN CON
'If you wanted to be a good worker, nothing (would have happened), you said to me: "tie up [tucum fiber] in your face".' (ketetse)
(121) tyaonita hoka kakanotirirehare

Ø= tyaona -ita hoka kakanotirire -hare
3sg live IFV CON ? MASC
nolokahinohetehenene
$\emptyset=$ noloka hino -hete -hen $=$ ene
3sg pull neck PERF TRS $=30$
'They were with kakanotirire and they were punished.' (Formoso onetse)

In (123), because the subject and the possessor are coreferential, the possessor is not expressed. The derived verb is an intransitive marked by the middle -oa. This process of possessor raising in incorporation is also found in Nanti (Michael, 2006).
(122) natiha nohake
na= tiha no= kahe
1 sg wash 1 sg hand
'I washed my hands.' (E)
(123) natihakahitsoa
na= tiha kahe -tya -oa
1sg wash hand TH MM
'I washed my hands (lit. I hand-washed myself).' (E)
(124) naikokahitsoa
n= aiko kahe -tya -oa
1sg wash hand TH MM
'I cut my hand.' (E)
(125) nahorerakitxitsoa
na= horera kitxi -tya -oa
1sg wet foot TH MM
'I wet my foot.' (E)

In the literature about noun incorporation (Baker, 1988; Mithun, 1984), it has been argued that patients are more likely to be incorporated. Because of that, the direct object of a transitive verb can be incorporated, but the subject may be not. In Paresi, only the direct object of a transitive verb can be incorporated with transitive verbs, and incorporation of nouns with intransitive verbs is rare. There are two nouns which can be incorporated into intransitive verbs but they function are more like semantic locatives, not subjects. These nouns are aho 'path' and kilihi 'nose' in the metaphoric sense of 'on the
edge'. Their incorporation of into verbs such as tiya 'cry', kawitsa 'shout', and tona 'walk' is very productive.
(126) hoka hatyaotsetala, tiyahotya zaneheta, zane hoka hatyaotseta =la $\quad \varnothing=$ tiya aho -tya $\varnothing=$ zane -heta $\quad \varnothing=$ zane CON then $=$ FOC 3sg cry path TH 3sg go PERF 3sg go tyokeheta hati tyokoli hoka Ø= tyoke -heta ha tyokoli hoka 3sg sit PERF house buttock CON
'And then he went along the path crying and went to sit behind the house.'
(txinikalore)
(127) nakaweahotya natema
na= kawe aho -tya na= tema
1 sg shout path TH 1 sg run
'I ran shouting along the path' (E)
(128) tonakilihitsa
$\varnothing=$ tona kilihi -tya
3sg walk nose TH
'He walked on the edge.' (Rowan, 2001: 70)

Stative verbs can occur with an incorporated noun in nominalizations, as in (129) and (130).
(129) Hatyohare mawaiyekaiyehehare hoka zane
hatyohare ma- waiye kaiyehe -hare hoka $\varnothing=$ zane
that one NEG good head MASC CON 3sg go
hawaretere itsene
haware -te -re itsa =ene
be.different IFV NMLZ give 30
'That one, the one who is not good of his head, went and gave (the container) to a
different one (house).' (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 2)
(130) hatyaotsetala zane zaneta ene ala
hatyaotseta =la $\quad \varnothing=$ zane $\quad \varnothing=$ zane -ta =ene =ala
then $\quad=$ FOC 3 sg go 3 sg go EMPH $=$ PST $=$ FOC
tikore zotyakiliyetxoala
tikore zotya kili -ye -txoa =ala
giant anteater be.red nose NMLZ ? =FOC
'Then he went and he found the red-nosed giant anteater.' (waikoakore)

### 5.3.3.2 Incorporation of classifiers

Incorporation of classifiers is a productive process. In general, the noun or pronoun to which the classifier refers is not expressed in the sentence. Contrary to incorporation of nouns, it is not possible to create a semantically equivalent paraphrase of a verb with a classifier, as a verb whose classifier is not incorporated, as in (133).
(131) aikonatsetyahene

Ø= aiko -natse -tya -h =ene
3sg cut CLF:long TH PL
'They cut his hair.' (Txinikalore)
(132) aikotyaha hitsekatse

Ø= aiko -tya -ha hi= tsekatse
3sg cut TH PL 2s hair
'They cut his hair.' (E)
(133) *aikotyaha
ekatse
Ø= aiko -tya -ha e= katse
3sg cut TH PL 3s CLF:long
'They cut his hair.' (E)

Classifiers provide semantic information about the verb argument related to shape or consistency. They are rarely found with intransitive verbs, and the classifiers found with intransitive verbs in the corpus cross-reference the subject. For example, in (134) -tse refers to Nilva. The verb argument may not be expressed in the clause, as in (135) where -natse refers to a dog.
(134) Nilva itsoatsehena

Nilva $\varnothing=$ itsoa -tse -hena
Nilva 3sg come.in CLF:small TRS
'Nilva (the short woman, in a pejorative sense) is coming in.' (E)
(135) hahanahaliya tyokanatseta
ha= hana haliya $\varnothing=$ tyoka -natse -ta
3sg house along 3sg sit CLF:cylindrical IFV
'The dog is sitting close to the house.' (S)

In (136), the classifier is attached to a stative verb, and the subject is not expressed in the noun phrase (the classifier has no cross-reference).
(136) bonako $\quad \varnothing$-iya $\quad \varnothing=$ mokita
bona -ko $\quad \varnothing=$ iya kalo -li $\quad \varnothing=$ moka -ita
bag LOC 3sg catch big CLF:round 3sg put IFV
'He is putting only the ones (the manakata fruits) that are big inside of the bag.'
(ketetse)

Incorporated classifiers may refer also to an oblique argument indicating location.
(137) wiyane wakoahazatya minita ala
wi= yane wa= koaha -za -tya minita ala
1 pl go 1 pl take a bath CLF:liquid TH always FOC
'We always went to bathe in the river.' (JT nawenane)

With transitive verbs, classifiers are used for indexing a direct object on the verb. (138) shows -tse 'CLF:small' occurring on the verb and on the object. In general, there is no cross-reference because the object can be omitted, as in (139).
(138) halakoa iya hityokatsetya mairokatse
halakoa =iya $\mathrm{h}=$ ityoka -tse -tya mairoka -tse
one side $=I R R$ 2sg cut CLF:small TH cassava CLF:small
kakoare
kakoa -re
COM NMLZ
'They cut the cassava into small pieces (to cook) with it (the tapir meat).' (iraiti Katomo)
(139) eaotseta Ø-aikohokotyahene,
eaotseta $\varnothing=$ aiko -hoko -tya -h =ene
then 3sg cut CLF:circled TH PL 3O
Ø-aikonatsetyahene
Ø= aiko -natse -tya -h =ene
3sg cut CLF:long TH PL 30
'Since then, they cut their hair in a short and circled way.' (Txinikalore)

Similar to what was seen with intransitive verbs, classifiers can index the oblique argument of transitive verbs referring to manner (140), location (141), or instrument (142):
(140) hatyo ponte wiyane wezoakiheta moto-serra kakoa hatyo ponte wi= yane w= ezoa -ki -heta moto-serra =kakoa that bridge 1 pl go 1 pl fall CAUS PERF chainsaw =COM wirikotsekoatene
w= iriko -tse -koa -tya $=$ ene
1pl cut CLF:small CLF:flat? TH 30
'We went to knock down that bridge, we cut it into small pieces with a chainsaw.'
(demarcaçao)
(141) inityohaloti
inityohalitihenaha
inityo -hare -ti inityo -halo -ti -hena -ha
old.person MASC UNPOSS old.person FEM UNPOSS TRS PL
Ø=xakazatya minita
Ø= xaka -za -tya minita
3sg shoot CLF:liquid TH always
'When they were growing up they always fished with arrows.' (Kokotero)
(142) komita $\varnothing=$ tonohityakakoa
komita $\varnothing=$ tono -hi -tya -kakoa
almost 3sg perforate CLF:long.slender TH REC
'They almost perforated each other (with a knife).' (tolohe)

There are a few compounds which are lexicalizations of verbs with incorporated classifiers, as in the examples in Table 72:

Table 92: lexicalization of noun-verb compound

| Verb | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- |
| ola-hoko-tya <br> tie-CLF:cicular-TH | tie game in a bundle |
| ola-hi-tse-tya <br> tie-CLF:long.thin-CLF:small- <br> TH | collect in a bundle |
| toloka-hoko-tya <br> dig-CLF:circular-TH | roast in the ashes |
| aiko-tse-tya <br> cut-CLF:small-TH | cut in small pieces |
| taika-tse-tya <br> breakCLF:small-TH | fracture |

### 5.3.3.3 Incorporation of postpositions

The incorporation of postpositions may increase the valency of intransitive verbs, or result in a rearrangement of argument structure of transitive verbs ${ }^{70}$ (they rarely make transitive verbs into ditransitives). Incorporation of postpositions in Paresi is not very productive, and it only occurs with obliques indicating location. I will not consider the forms incorporated to be applicatives because an applicative promotes a thematically peripheral argument or adjunct to core-object argument (Peterson, 2007), and incorporation of postpositions in Paresi does not necessarily promote an argument to core status. Generally applicative forms are different from postpositions, but in Paresi the incorporated forms are identical to the postpositions.

Of the 17 postpositions described in §3.6, only five of them appear incorporated into verbs in my corpus: zema with', katyahe 'under', haliya 'around', koni 'in the middle of', and ako 'inside'. Similar to noun incorporation, they incorporate following the verb root or thematic suffix, and before aspect markers. When postpositions are incorporated into transitive verbs, a copy of the postposition may occur on the oblique argument, and in a few cases (with the postpositions zema and katahe) the oblique argument may be promoted to object position (a rearranging valency mechanism).

## Incorporation of (a)ko 'inside'

The meaning of ako is 'be inside of a container'. (143) illustrates a construction where the noun phrase tinihaliti is accompanied by the locative ako in a transitive sentence. In (144), ako is incorporated into the verb moka 'put', but the noun phrase tinihaliti cannot be analyzed as an object of the transitive clause. As it will be seen with the postpositions zema and katahe, when the oblique is promoted to core status, the object of the non-incorporated construction becomes an oblique argument marked by the postposition kakoa.

[^50](143) namoka kotyoi nete tinihalitiako
na= moka kotyoi nete tinihare ako
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ put tapir meat container LOC
'I put tapir meat in the container.' (E)
(144) namokakotya kotyoi nete tinihaliti
na= moka ako -tya kotyoi nete tinihare
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ put LOC TH tapir meat container
'I put tapir meat in the container.' (E)

In the example (145), the postposition ako is incorporated into the verb waiya 'see'; however, the peripheral argument habotanetxoako is not promoted to the object position, as shown by the postposition marker on the oblique argument. This type of construction is commonly found in texts.
(145) Dirizonae ala haboatanetxoako zaore

Dirizonae =ala ha= boata -ne -txoa -ko zaore
Dirizonae $=$ FOC 3sg boot POSSED big LOC FRUST
waiyakotya maiha zoare hekoti
Ø= waiya -ko -tya maiha zoare hekoti
3sg see LOC TH NEG INT at least
'Dirizonae was looking for (it) even inside of the boot, but there was nothing there.' (Dirizonae)
(146) is an example featuring an intransitive verb. Notice that the first vowel of the postposition is the same vowel ending the verb root, and there is vowel deletion, leading to the form tiyako, instead of *tiyaako.
(146) zoare halani notyonakirinae tiyakotita
zoare halani no= tyonakiri -nae $\varnothing=$ tiya $-k 0 \quad$-tya -ita
INT ? 1s descendant PL 3sg cry LOC TH IFV
notaholoni nityako
no= taholo -ni ni= tyako
1 s toy? POSSED 1 sg stomach
'What are my descendents, toys crying inside of my stomach?' (Txinikalore)

I found one example where the postposition is lexicalized with the verb: hiyalako 'fill.' (lit.: 'stick inside of'):

| ewalolonetse | atyo | hiyalakohitita |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{e}=$ walolo -ne | -tse | $=$ atyo | Ø= hiyala | -ko | -hit | - -ita

## Incorporation of zema

The postposition zema has a general spatial meaning of being on the opposite side of someone as seen from the speaker's point of view, when incorporated into a verb. The incorporation of zema has only been attested with transitive verbs in texts, but it can be incorporated into intransitive verbs in elicitation. It increases the valency of intransitive verbs. In (148), there is an example with a non-incorporated construction, where the postposition zema occurs with the noun tyakoira 'chicken', and in (149) it occurs incorporated into the verb tema 'run'. In (150) zema is incorporated into the intransitive verb wahakotya 'look', and the oblique argument of the verb is then marked by the object enclitic =ene, promoting the oblique to the object position. Example (151) shows incorporation into transitive verbs, where the oblique argument was promoted to object direct, and the object of the non-incorporated construction became an oblique argument (marked by the postposition kakoa).
(148) natema takoira zema
na= tema takoira =zema
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ run chicken $=$ COM2
'I ran after the chicken.' (E)
(149) natemazematya takoira
na= tema =zema tya takoira
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ run $=$ COM2 TH chicken
'I ran after the chicken.' (E)
(150)

'Once we were going, when I looked back, first I saw your deceased grandma. I saw that she was going away.' (Ketetse)
(151) nazawazematya Jurandir haira kakoa
na= zawa =zema -ita Jurandir haira =kakoa
1sg= throw COM2 IFV PN ball =COM
'I threw the ball behind Jurandir.' (PAGRSS27Mar1204.10)

The incorporation of zema has a more lexicalized meaning with the verbs tiya 'cry' meaning 'cry behind someone's back (because the person is leaving)' (152), tsema 'hear, listen to' meaning 'listen to something carefully' (153), and tawazematya 'hunt, look for someone' (154).
(152)

| nityani | tiyazematya |  | natyo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ ityani | tiya =zema | -tya | natyo |
| 1 sg son, daughter | cry $=$ COM2 | TH | 1 sg |
| 'My son cried behind me.' (E) |  |  |  |

(153) nitatya notsemazemahenene

Ø= nita -tya no= tsema zema -hena =ene
3sg say EMPH 1sg listen COM2 TRS 30
'He was talking and I was listening to him.' (Kabikule)
(154)

| tawazematya | maiha | zalanae | hekoti |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ø= tawa =zema -tya | maiha | zala -nae | hekoti |
| 3sg look.for COM2 TH | NEG | INT PL | at least |
| kehalakehetere |  |  |  |
| kehalaka -hete -re |  |  |  |
| know REG NMLZ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

```
(155) eye hare niyatsehare xikakoiya ehare
eye hare n= iyatse -hare xikako =iya ehare
this also 1sg= be.alive? MASC period =IRR this
zatawazematya natyo hoka
za= tawa =zema -tya natyo hoka
2pl= look.for =COM2 FOC 1sg CON
nokazakaihanihetehenaiya hitso nali
no= ka- zakaiha -ni -hete -hena =iya hitso nali
1sg= ATTR tell NMLZ PERF TRS =IRR you LOC
notxi
notxi
my grandson
'If you all look for me while I am alive, I will tell you, my grandson' (toahiyere-
DB)
```


## Incorporation of koa

The postposition koa 'on (a flat surface)' is rarely found incorporated into verbs; I only have one example from texts (159). It does not affect the valency of transitive verbs (unlike ako), but in (156) there is promotion of the oblique argument to an object position with an intransitive verb.
(156) nemakakoita nokamaxikoa
nema -ka -ita no=kama -xi -koa
sleep TH IFV 1 sg bed POSSED LOC
'He is sleeping in my bed.' (E)
(157) nemakakoita nokamaxi
nema -ka -koa -ita no= kama -xi
sleep TH LOC IFV 1sg bed POSSED
'He is sleeping in my bed.' (E)
(158) Oloniti aiyalaharetya hoka ehaikakoatya
oloniti a- iyalahare -tya hoka $\varnothing=$ ehaika -koa -tya
chicha.beverage CAUS be.rotten FOC CON 3sg pour out LOC FOC
kotyo
kotyo
type.of.container
The chicha drink was rotten and then they threw it out of the container.' (E)
(159) ekahainakoalaha tyoka
$\mathrm{e}=$ ka- haina -koa =la -ha $\quad$ = tyoka
3sg= ATTR tripod LOC FOC? PL 3sg sit
waiyatsekoatya
Ø= waiya -tse -koa -tya
3sg see CLF:small LOC TH
'They made the tripod, and they saw he was sitting on it.' (Txinikalore)

## Incorporation of koni

The postposition koni 'among' may be incorporated into intransitive or transitive verbs, with the more restricted meaning 'in the field or forest'. There is no rearrangement of arguments in transitive clauses, and there is no promotion of obliques to core status. In (160), the postposition occurs with the noun kozeto, and in (161) it is incorporated into the intransitive verb tona 'walk' in the same text.
(160) hiyeta tohiyereharenae awatyo kozeto
hiyeta tohiye -re -hare -nae awa =tyo kozeto
therefore formerly NMLZ MASC PL NEG =TOP corn
koni hatona hikawa nita
koni ha= tona $\mathrm{hi}=$ kawa $\varnothing=$ nea -ita
in.the.forest $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ walk $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ transform 3 sg say IFV
'Because of this, the ancestors (said): he cannot walk in the cornfield, you undergo a transformation.' (kozeto)
(161) eaotseta iki toli kozeto nea, tonakonitsa
eaotseta toli kozeto $\varnothing=$ nea $\varnothing=$ tona koni -tsa then a lot corn 3sg say 3sg walk in.the.forest VBLZ zanehena
Ø= zane -hena
3sg go TRS
'Then he said: "iki! a lot of corn!". He was walking in the forest, and he went.' (kozeto)

Examples (162) and (163) show that when koni is incorporated, there is no rearrangement of arguments. zanekonitsa 'hunt in the forest (lit.: 'go in the forest') is the only example in my corpus of a lexicalized verb with koni, as seen in (164).
(162) kolohokoni zaore atyo hoka
koloho koni zaore =atyo hoka
forest in.the.forest FRUST =TOP CON
watomekonitsa wiyaneta makiya
wa $=$ tome koni -tsa wi= yane -ta makiya
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ hunt with an arrow in.the.forest VBLZ $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ go IFV at night
wiyaneta watonakonitsa wiyaneta
wi= yane -ta wa= tona koni -tsa wi= yane -ta
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ go $\mathrm{IFV} 1 \mathrm{pl}=$ walk in.the.forest VBLZ $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ go IFV
'It was only forest, but we were hunting with arrows in the forest. We went at night
to walk in the forest.' (Fenare nawenane)
(163) Kalini Owihoko nali kohetseti ehare wata hare
kalini Owihoko nali kohetseti ehare wata hare
now Owihoko LOC savanna this jatobá also
wikanakairakonitsa, wakeratya iya hoka
wi= kanakaira koni -tsa wa= kera -tya =iya hoka
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ eat in.the.forest VBLZ $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ burn TH $=\mathrm{IRR}$ CON
watomitya, watomitya iya kotehala
wa= tomitya wa= tomitya =iya kotehala
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ hunt with an arrow $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ hunt with an arrow $=I R R$ bird
'Then in the Owihoko village, there was only savanna, we were eating jatobá fruit, and hunting birds with arrows.' (JT nawenane)
(164) zanekonitsaha iya hoka hozore, kalahi, Ø= zane koni -tsa -ha iya hoka hozore kalahi 3sg go in.the.forest TH PL catch CON type.of.fish type.of.fish kazaza
kazaza
type.of.arrowroot
'We hunted in the forest, and we caught hozore, and kalahi fish and kazaza root.' (JT nawenane)

## Incorporation of haliya

The postposition haliya 'near, next to' also occurs incorporated into intransitive or transitive verbs. Similar to koni, it does not affect the valency of the verb.
(165) natemita weteko haliya
na= tem -ita weteko =haliya
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ run IFV yard =near, next to
'I ran around the yard.' (E)
(166) natemahaliyatita
na $=$ tema =haliya -tya -ita weteko
1 sg= run =near, next to TH IFV yard
'I ran around the yard' (E)
(167) hiyane hamairahaliyatya
$\mathrm{hi}=$ yane $\mathrm{ha}=$ maira =haliya -tya $2 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{go} \quad 2 \mathrm{sg}=$ fish $=$ near, next to FOC 'You go fishing around the village.' (Toahiyere-DB)
(168) ainaihenahene
$\varnothing=$ ainai -hena -ha $=$ ene kala $\varnothing=$ inityohare -tse -hena -ha 3sg raise TRS PL =3O DUB 3sg old.person CLF:small TRS PL hoka tomihaliyatyahitaha hoka $\varnothing=$ tomi $\quad=$ haliya $\quad$-tya -ha -ita -ha CON 3sg hunt with an arrow =near, next to TH PL IFV PL
'He raised them, and when they were adults, they were hunting with bows and arrows (caçada infantil)
(169) nazawahaliyatya
veneno
na= zawa =haliya -ta veneno
1sg throw =near, next to IFV poison
'I threw poison all along the field.' (E)
Example (170) below shows a copy of the incorporated postposition in the oblique argument.
(170) ah nafirahaliyata na= fira =haliya -ta ha =haliya 1 sg clean $=$ near, next to IFV house =along
'I cleaned around the house.' (cotidiano)

## Incorporation of katyahe

The only two examples of katyahe 'under' incorporated into verbs in my corpus are (171) and (172), where it is incorporated into transitive verbs. Elicited example (173) is interesting because it illustrates the promotion of an oblique argument into the direct object position, while the original direct object becomes an oblique argument marked by the postposition kakoa. More work is needed to investigate how productive this type of
construction is with katyahe and other postpositions. ${ }^{71}$

```
(171) kaniritse
    kani -ri -tse katyahe
    pequi.fruit CLF:round CLF:small under
    'under the pequi tree.' (Wazare)
(172) ali awo nitsakatyahitita katyola
    ali awo \(\varnothing=\) nitsa katyahe -tya -ita katyola
    here emu 3sg eat under TH IFV mangava.fruit
    'The emu was eating the mangava fruit under (the mangava tree).' (Kotitiko
        wenakalati)
(173) zomotse kakoa namokakatyahita matyai
    zomotse =kakoa na= moka katyahe -ita matyai
    flat bread \(=\) COM \(1 \mathrm{sg}=\) put under IFV tripod
    'I put the flat bread under the tripod' (E)
```


## Grammaticalization of postpositions into applicatives

According to Peterson (2007), a possible source of applicatives is zero anaphora: the omission of an object which is given information. In these cases, the postposition may be cliticized to the verb because of pragmatic reasons, such as to make an oblique a salient argument in the discourse. This may be the explanation for the incorporation of postpositions in Paresi, but further research is needed to describe the pragmatic factors determining the preference for an incorporated construction instead of a non-incorporated one.

Other Arawak languages also exhibit incorporation of postpositions, such as Waurá and Guajiro (Aikhenvald, 2001). Danielsen (2011) reports the grammaticalization of adpositions into valency increasing verbal affixes (applicatives) in Arawak languages. ${ }^{72}$ The Paresi data supports Danielsen's claim that adpositions grammaticalized

[^51]72 She argues that the marking of semantic roles shows a tendency towards a north-south split. Most of the southern Arawak languages have applicatives such as languages from the Campa subgroup and other
into applicatives in the Arawak family through incorporation.

[^52]
## Chapter 6-Tense, reality status, aspect and modality

### 6.0 Introduction

In this chapter, I will describe tense, reality status, aspect, and modality in Paresi. First, I describe Paresi as a tensed language with past and future markers in §6.1. In §6.2, I describe the realis-irrealis distinction. In §6.3, the four aspect markers are presented: imperfective, perfective, transitional, and iterative, and in $\S 6.4$, I describe the frustrative, dubitative, and desiderative modalities.

### 6.1 Tense

The term tense used here refers to "a grammaticalized expression of location in time" (Comrie, 1985: 9). Paresi is a language that has morphosyntactic Tense marking, showing overt marking of past (ene) and future (ite), but not present time. Even though these markers can be present, most of the temporal information is conveyed by adverbs.

### 6.1.1 Past ene

The clitic ene refers to an event which took place before the moment of reference or utterance. Examples (1) to (4) show the use of ene in prototypical occurrences of past. (1) and (2) refer to the recent past. In (3), ene refers to some years ago when people moved out from the Formoso village, and in (4) it refers to the lives of the Paresi's ancient ancestors. The clitic ene is rarely found indicating the tense of clauses in texts, and is used only when no other time reference is available in the discourse. In the sparse context of elicitation, the clitic is more frequently used.

| Q: zoare | Luciano | tyomita |  | kafaka? |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zoare | Luciano | $Ø=$ tyoma | -ita | kafaka |
| what | PN | 3sg do | IFV | yesterday |

'What was Luciano doing yesterday? (E)

| A: zane | mairatita |  | ene |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\emptyset=$ zane | $\emptyset=$ maira | -tya | -ita | $=$ ene |
| 3sg go | 3sg fish | TH | IFV | $=$ PST |
| 'He was fishing.' (E) |  |  |  |  |

(2) kazakoita ene hoka kafakatse kalini

Ø= kazako -ita =ene hoka kafaka -tse kalini
3sg take.care IFV =PST CON yesterday CLF:small now
witxiyehenere terehokoane
wi= txiye -hene -re terehokoane
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ pass TRS NMLZ year
'He was taking care the year before last.' (Batsaji tahi)
(3) Elizabeth taitehena ene tyaonita

Elizabeth taite -hena =ene $\varnothing=$ tyaona -ita
PN only TRS =PST 3sg live IFV
'Only Elizabeth was living here then.' (Katomo nawenane)
(4) hahekoiya ene atyo toahiya wenati tyaona womana
ha= heko -iya ene atyo toahiya wena -ti $\quad \varnothing=$ tyaona $w=$ om mana
3sg time ? PST TOP formerly life UNPOSS 3sg COP 1pl LK BEN
kalikini atyo wityotya
kalikini atyo wi= tyotya
now TOP 1 pl die.out
'Formerly our lives were different, but today we are dying out.' (Kamoro nawenane)

In Brandão (2010), I described ene as an Anterior marker, indicating an aspect referring to events in the past that have relevance for the present. The examples below are cases where ene was described as Anterior. In these cases, the situation is located prior to the reference point, similar to the pluperfect in English. In this grammar, I treat ene as tense, following Rowan \& Burgess (1969) and Silva (2013). The clitic ene can be used both for past relative to utterance time or to reference time. In (5), the running is marked with ene because that action in the past was relevant for their arrival.
(5) temita ene ala tyairikilihitse ala $\emptyset=$ tem -ita ene ala tyairi kili -hi -tse ala 3sg run IFV PST FOC mountain nose CLF:long.slender CLF:small FOC hikoa
Ø= hikoa
3sg come.out, show.up
'He had been running, and he arrived at the edge of the mountain.' (Dirizonae)
(6) namairaita ene, kalahihitxoa
na= maira -ita =ene kalahi -hi -txoa
1sg $=$ fish IFV $=$ PST type.of.fish CLF:long.slender big
nanoloka hoka natema, nakenekoaheta
na= noloka hoka na= tema na= kenekoa -heta
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ pull CON $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ run $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ go.up PERF
'I was fishing, I caught kalahi, and then I ran, going up.' (JT nawenane)

According to Dahl (1985), the role of past depends on its interaction with other categories. In Paresi, most of the text examples of ene are instances where there is no other indication of time reference, such as a temporal adverb (7). When there are other ways to mark time reference, there is no need to use ene. In examples (8) and (9), the adverbs kafaka and toahiya are used, and there is no marking of past.
(7) hoka maiha wawaiyoreze hoka wahiyokene komita
hoka maiha wa= waiyore -ze hoka wa= hiyok =ene komita
CON NEG 1 pl= know NMLZ CON $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ suck $=3 \mathrm{O}$ almost
ene wiwaini
=ene wi= waini
$=$ PST 1pl= die
'We did not know, we ate it, and almost died.' (JT nawenane)
(8) eze toli atyo kafaka wiyaya
eze toli =atyo kafaka wi= waiya
this a.lot $=$ TOP yesterday 1 pl see
'Yesterday, we saw many people.' (T. JUS-AUG-ALI. 100410)
(9) toahiya atyo kalore tyomitaha
toahiya =atyo kalore $\varnothing=$ tyom -ita -ha
formerly $=$ TOP a.lot 3 sg make IFV PL
menehitatyo
mene $\quad$-h -ita =tyo
for.a.long.time PL IFV FOC
'Formerly, they were always making a lot of it (of the chicha).' (Oloniti zaka)

The particle ene generally occurs in second position, and can attach to nouns, verbs, and adverbs. ${ }^{73}$ It is widely used to mark nominal past in most of the cases in discourse referring to people who are deceased, as in (10) to (12), or to a previous state of inanimate referents (13). ${ }^{74}$ The nominal tense functions independent of the tense of the clause, providing local information to the noun (Nordlinger \& Sadler, 2004). While ene is most commonly found with kinship terms, it can also occur with non-kin terms and proper nouns.
(10) mama ene atyo kaearetya natyo
mama =ene =atyo ka- eare -tya natyo
mom $=$ PST $=$ TOP ATTR name TH 1 sg
nozoiminita
no= zoimi -ni -ta
1sg child NMLZ IFV
'It was my deceased mother who gave me a nickname.' (Katomo nawenane)
(11) nali nika Fabio ene matsene xityokalaotse
nali nika Fabio =ene matsene $x=$ ityoka -la otse

LOC ? PN =PST field $2 \mathrm{pl}=$ cut down POSSED place
hekotata
heko -tata
period IFV
'There it was the late Fabio's field, the place where we cut down once.' (ximatyati)
 'My deceased husband took a basket, ran, and we went, arrived and they blessed (the honey).' (JT nawenane)

[^53]| wiwaikohera |  |  |  | ene | wawenakala | enela |  | kalini |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wi= waikohe |  | -ra |  | =ene | wa= wenakala | =ene | $=1 \mathrm{a}$ | kalini |
| $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ | land | POS | SED | $=\mathrm{PST}$ | $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ village | $=\mathrm{PST}$ | =FOC | now |
|  | ah Zok | zokor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| eye | Zok | zoko | -re | -ze |  |  |  |  |
| this | PN |  | NM | Z NML |  |  |  |  |

'What used to be our land and village, now is the Zokozoko's place.' (Formoso onetse)

### 6.1.2 Future ite

The future clitic (i)te refers to events taking place after the moment of utterance. It occurs in second position following adverbs, as in (14), interrogative words in questions (15), verbs, and nouns (16). The short form te is used after words ending in /i/. In (16), te follows the proper noun Leli. The future clitic and the transitional -hena are commonly used in the same sentence.

| Makaniyana | ite | ali | mahalitihare |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| makani =ya | =na $=$ | ali | a- hal | -hare |
| $\text { tomorrow }=\text { IRR }$ | ? =FUT | here | NEG perso | MASC |
| Ø= tyoa -hena | Ø= irai -aka | -tya | maheta |  |
| 3sg come TRS | 3sg talk ? | TH | PURP |  |
| Next week, the n | -Indian wil | m | talk.' (mak | ahi) |


| Q: zoare | ite | hiximarene | tyomita |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zoare $=$ ite | hi $=$ ximarene | $\emptyset=$ tyom | -ita |  |
| what $=$ FUT | 2sg $=$ youngest brother | 3sg do | IFV |  |
| wahikoahenere |  | hoka? |  |  |
| wa $=$ hikoa |  | -hene -re | hoka |  |
| $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ come.out, show.up TRS NMLZ | CON |  |  |  |
| 'What will your brother be doing when we arrive? (E) |  |  |  |  |

A: hafirahaliyaita
ite
ha= fira =haliya -ita =ite
3sg= clean =near, next to IFV =FUT
'He will be cleaning up the field (E)
(16) Lelite awitsa naza, Leli yatyatyo akota hoka

Leli =te awitsa $\mathrm{n}=$ aza Leli =ya =tya =tyo ako -ta hoka
PN =FUT soon 1sg ask PN =IRR FOC =TOP LOC EMPH CON
waiyehena ekakoa
$\varnothing=$ waiye -hena $e=$ kakoa
3sg good TRS 3sg COM
'I will ask Leli later, if she is in the house, then it will works for her.' (Iraeti Batsaji)

Similar to ene, ite can also follow a noun, functioning as a floating clitic. However, its use involves non-local interpretation, that is, it refers to the tense of the clause as a whole, contrary to what was seen with ene. This nominal tense is only rarely found in texts, as in (17) through (19):
(17) kala hatyaotse trator iya fazendeiro itsa womana, xitso kala hatyaotse trator iya fazendeiro $\varnothing=$ itsa $w=o m-$ mana xitso DUB then tractor IRR farmer 3sg give 1pl LK BEN you all ite tratorista nea hatyotere tomahetene ite tratorista $\quad \varnothing=$ nea hatyo -tya - re $\quad \varnothing=$ toma -het $=$ ene FUT tractor.driver 3sg say that ? NMLZ 3sg take PERF 30
'Then the farmer gave us a tractor, and he said, "You all will be tractor drivers",
and then he took it back.' (Kamoro nawenane)

| imotikonite |  | witsaonehena | nali |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| imoti koni | $=$ te | wi $=$ tsaone | -hena | nali |
| non-Indian among | $=$ FUT | $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ stay | TRS | LOC |

'We are going to stay among the non-Indian people.' (JG nawenane 2)
 himatiye.' (iyamaka-BO)

The clitic ite is not syntactically obligatory in a sentence. Once the future clitic is introduced in the discourse, the future temporal information can be provided by aspect markers or temporal adverbs. In example (20), the transitional suffix -hena (§6.3.3) is indicating that the action has not yet ended. In negative sentences, the use of the Irrealis marker ( $\S 6.2$ ) more often indicates the future as shown in (21). Future tense can also be indicated only by adverbials such as makani 'tomorrow' as in (22).

| tyohena |  | hoka | waiyoreha |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\varnothing=$ tyoa | -hena | hoka | $\emptyset=$ waiyore | -ha |
| 3sg come | TRS | CON | 3sg know | PL |

aotyaitsaha wiyoimalanae
Ø= a- otya -i -tsa -ha wi= yoima -la -nae
3sg THS remember CAUS TH PL 1 pl child POSSED PL
maheta
maheta
PURP
'They are coming to study and to teach our children.' (makani tahi)
(21) mahaya nakikitxoita nomi
maiha =ya na= kikitsoa -ita no= nea
NEG =IRR 1sg move.out IFV 1sg say
'I said, "I will not move out!' (JG nawenane)
(22) kahare makani notyoma naokowita
kahare makani no= tyoma $\mathrm{n}=$ aokow -ita
a.lot tomorrow 1sg make 1 sg want IFV
'Tomorrow I would like to do many things.' (cotidiano)

The future ite can also be used to refer to immediate or non-immediate future. Example (23) was uttered in a text where the speaker talks about a project planned for the following year. Examples (25) and (26) illustrate ite referring to a non-immediate future. Example (24) refers to a distant future. When the time is the non-immediate future, usually temporal adverbial words are also used in the sentence or discourse such as mitxini or mês (from Portuguese) 'month' and terehokoane 'year'.

| eye | wawenakala | ite | tyaohena | hatyo | tehitiya |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eye | wa $=$ wenakala | $=$ ite | $\emptyset=$ tyaona | -hena | hatyo | $=$ te hitiya |
| this | $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ village | $=$ FUT | 3 sg happen | TRS | 3 sg | $=$ FUT again |
| hoka |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hoka |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CON |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'This project will also happen again in this village.' (makani tahi) |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| eye | wawenane | ite | maitsa | makani | tyotya |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eye | wa $=$ wena | ne | =ite | maitsa | makani |
| Ø= tyotya |  |  |  |  |  |
| this | $1 \mathrm{p}=$ life | POSSED | $=$ FUT | NEG | tomorrow | 3sg die.out

waiyehetehena
Ø= waiye -hete -hena
3sg see PERF TRS
'Tomorrow this (way of) life will not die out, your grandsons, siblings will see it.' (xihatyoawihaliti)

| hoko | um | mês | ite | ali | hatyaha | nea |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hoko | um | mês $=$ ite | ali | $\mathrm{h}=$ atyaha | $\emptyset=$ nea |  |
| CLF:circled | one | month | $=$ FUT | here | $2 s g=$ wait | 3sg say |

'You have to wait one month", he said.' (JG nawenane 2)

Cross-linguistically, the semantics of the future involves actions that are planned, suggesting that intention is part of its prototype (Dahl, 1985: 105), which raises the question of whether future time reference should be subsumed under tense or mood in general. This is specially the case when a marker may look like a prototypical future but is only applied to planned or intended future events. Paresi provides such a problem for the categorization of ite. The clitic ite is described here and in Rowan \& Burgess (1969) as a future marker, whereas Silva (2013) analyzes it as an intentional modal marker.

According to Dahl, the future prototype involves 'intention', but non-intentional future events can also be labeled as future. In Paresi, ite does not refer only to intentional future events. In (26), the future marker is used in a 'pure prediction'.

| enenaharenae | iya | atyo | makani | oneza |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| enenahare -nae | $=$ iya | $=$ atyo | makani | one | -za |

Though Paresi's present tense has no phonological exponent, I do not analyze a null Present morpheme since, as was seen in (8) and (22) above, verbs referring to past or future action can also appear without tense marking.

### 6.2 Reality status: irrealis $\boldsymbol{i y a}$

In Paresi, there is a system for marking reality status in which irrealis is marked by iya and realis is unmarked. Irrealis is used for counterfactual, concessive conditional, and negative clauses in the future. My analysis is based on Elliot (2000) and Michael (forthcoming) who argue for the realis-irrealis distinction to be a grammatical category of "reality status". Other works on Paresi (Rowan \& Burgess, 1969; Silva, 2013) treat iya as a conditional marker.

The Irrealis marker occurs in negative clauses in the future (27), or with a deontic meaning (28):

| maihayatyatyo | naihonotitene | waiye hareclamatya |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| maiha =ya -tya =tyo | $\mathrm{n}=$ aihono -t -it =ene | waiye ha= reclama -tya |
| $\begin{align*} & \text { NEG }=\text { IRR } \mathrm{TH}=\mathrm{TOP}  \tag{27}\\ & \text { hitsoheta } \end{align*}$ | 1sg cover TH IFV 30 oka | good 3sg complain TH |
| hi= tyoa -heta | oka |  |
| 2 sg come.back PERF | CON |  |

```
mahaya holatita natyo!
maiha =ya h= olatya -ita natyo
NEG IRR 2sg tie IFV 1sg
'You should not tie me up!' (JG nawenane)
```


'You can take it (the radio). Tomorrow when you come you can pay. If it doesn't work, then I won't pay.' (ketetse)

Example (30) illustrates iya in counterfactual clauses. In a negative counterfactual condition, iya can occur with the negative particle awa, illustrated in (31). In this example, the clitic iya occurs both in the protasis and the apodosis (sentence in which the consequence is expressed). (32) is an example of iya in a concessive clause.

```
nokaoloxiye iya hoka nakolatene
no= ka- olo -ye iya hoka n= kolatya -ene
1s ATTR money POSSED IRR CON 1s take 3sO
'If I had money, I would take it.' (E)
```

| awaiya | wimesane |  | namalahitita |  | hoka |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| awa =iya | wi= mesa | -ne | na $=$ mala | -hit | -ita | hoka |
| NEG =IRR | 1 pl | table POSSED | 1sg | pull.away | PERF | IFV | CON



Paresi does not exhibit a 'prototypical' reality system, i.e., a system in which reality status is an obligatorily marked feature realized in all types of constructions, and whose marking patterns according to the notional definition of these categories. Thus, in a prototypical reality system, realis marking is expected in an affirmative imperative, but not in a negative imperative (Bybee et al., 1994). Bybee et al. (1994) and others, such as Palmer (2001), consider reality status a modal category and not a grammatical category because the distribution of irrealis forms across languages do not fit into the notional definitions. Paresi is one more example of a language in which irrealis is not used in as many contexts as might be expected.

On the other hand, Michael (forthcoming), argues for the validy of the reality status as a grammatical category by providing examples from Nanti and diachronic evidence. Michael affirms that the semantics of realis and irrealis marking in Kampa languages are very similar. Other non-Kampa Arawak languages, such as Baure and Ignaciano also exhibit a reality status system where conditional, counterfactual and negative clauses are marked by the same morpheme. Data from Paresi also supports the evidence for the historical stability of the reality status system at least in the Southern Arawak branch, which as Michael points out, runs counter to Bybee's analysis of reality status as "a post-hoc label for fortuitous formal similarities in the marking of certain modal notions" (forthcoming: 21).

### 6.3 Aspect

Aspect is traditionally described as "reference to the internal structure of a situation" (Comrie, 1976: 6). In broader definitions such as Smith (1997), "aspect" includes viewpoints (imperfective versus perfective) and situation types (beginning, end, state, and duration). In this description of Paresi I consider the viewpoints, with a few considerations about situation types where it is concerned. Paresi marks four aspects: imperfective, perfective, transitional, and iterative.

### 6.3.1 Imperfective -ita

The imperfective aspect presents information about the boundedness of a situation in the sentence, specifically that there is no information about the initial and final endpoints (Smith, 1997). In Paresi, the imperfective -ita indicates an unbounded situation. Examples of prototypical uses of the imperfective in the present, past, and future are given in (33) through (38).

| Q: zoare | hiximarene | tyomita | kalikini? |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zoare | $\mathrm{hi}=$ ximarene | $\overparen{\emptyset}=$ tyom | -ita |
| what | 2sg= youngest brother | 3sg do | IFV |
| wow |  |  |  |
| 'What is your brother doing right now? |  |  |  |

A: moitsati etalakita
moitsati $\quad$ = etalaka -ita
firewood 3sg split IFV
'He is splitting the firewood.' (E)

| zoimanae | haiya | eye | escolata | kozaka | kalikini | curso |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zoima -nae | haiya | eye | escola -ta | kozaka | kalikini | curso |
| child PL | IND2 | this | school ? | already | now | course |
| tyomita |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\emptyset=$ tyom -ita |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3sg do IFV
'There are other children from this school already taking a course.' (Batsaji tahi)
(35)

| Q: zoare | Luciano | tyomita | kafaka? |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zoare | Luciano | $\emptyset=$ tyom | -ita |
| what | kN | anaka |  |
| 3sg do | IFV | yesterday |  |
| 'What was Luciano doing yesterday? |  |  |  |

A: zane mairatita ene
$\varnothing=$ zane $\quad \varnothing=$ maira -t -ita =ene
3sg go 3sg fish TH IFV =PST
'He was fishing.' (E)

| tyomita | ene | ala | hoka | kala | Iheroware | ezoa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\emptyset=$ tyom | -ita | $=$ ene | $=$ ala | hoka | kala | Iheroware |
| $\emptyset=$ ezoa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3sg make IFV | $=$ PST | =FOC | CON | DUB | Iheroware | 3sg fall |
| enomana |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| en= om ana |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3sg LK BEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'He was making (a sieve) and Iheroware descended to him.' (Iheroware) |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Q: zoare | ite | hiximarene | tyomita |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zoare | $=$ ite | $\mathrm{hi}=$ | ximarene | $\varnothing=$ tyom | -ita

A: hafirahaliyaita ite
ha= fira =haliya -ita =ite
$3 \mathrm{sg}=$ clean $=$ around $\mathrm{IFV}=$ FUT
'He will be cleaning up the field.' (E)

'Go, when you all go, and when you all arrive, you will see that only his wife is there...'

For identifying the morpheme -ita as imperfective, I considered the use of this morpheme in when-clauses, as suggested by Smith (1997: 65): "Despite the flexibility of when, the sequential reading does not arise for sentences with imperfective in the main sentence." In (39), the main clause event is in progress at the same time as the whenclause event, showing that the imperfective viewpoint excludes an endpoint.

| kafaka | nohanako |  | nokaokeheta | hinama | babera |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kafaka | no= han | -ako | no= kaoke -heta | hinama | babera |
| yesterday | $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ house | LOC | $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ arrive RE | two | paper |
| zairatitya |  |  |  |  |  |
| zaira -tya |  |  |  |  |  |
| write TH |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'When I ar | ed at home | he wa | riting two letters. |  |  |

Therefore, -ita is not a progressive and -hena is not an imperfective, contra (Brandão, 2010). The imperfective -ita can also occur with stative verbs, and progressives usually do not occur with statives. With semelfactive verbs, such as tonokoa 'cough', the interpretation is of a repeated action (tonokita ' he is coughing many times').
(40) wityotya kaharehena kalore namaikohareta
wi= tyotya kahare -hena kalore na= maiko -hare -ta
1 pl die.out a.lot TRS a.lot 1 sg sad MASC IFV
'Many of us are dying out, I am very sad.' (Kamoro)

| waha | kala | notemaita |  | nokirahareta |  | hoka |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| waha | kala | no= tema | -ita | no= kirahare | -hare | -ta | hoka

'He was sleeping all day, because he was tired.' (cotidiano)

The morpheme -ita occurs very frequently with negative sentences in the past reference time, as in (42) and (43). In the present, nonfinite clauses are used instead. That may be because of the idea that a negative state is continuing in the past.
(42) maiha wainita tihenare maiha wainakatere
maiha waini -ta tihenare maiha waina -ka -te -re
NEG die IFV sorcerer NEG die TH IFV NMLZ
'He did not die; a sorcerer does not die.' (JT nawenane)

| barato nika | hatyohare | mezatse | hoka | maiha | wiyita |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| barato nika | hatyohare | meza -tse | hoka | maiha | w= iya | -ita |
| cheap ? | this | table CLF:small | CON | NEG | 1pl buy | IFV |
| 'This table is cheap, but we did not buy it.' (ketetse) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

'This table is cheap, but we did not buy it.' (ketetse)

A morpheme -tata 'continuative' is mentioned in Rowan (2001). The instances of
tata in the corpus show that this form may be better analyzed as two morphemes: the imperfective -(i)ta and the emphatic marker -ta:

| eye | Hanawarekoa | maniya | nozoimatata |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eye | Hanawarekoa | maniya | no $=$ zoima | -ta | -ta |
| this | PN | side | $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ child | IFV | EMPH |

'When we were in Hanawarekoa I was still a child.' (BO nawenane)'

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { kalinitya Bahowanonae nemakitata }  \tag{45}\\
& \text { kalini -tya Bahowano -nae nema -k -ita -ta } \\
& \text { now FOC PN PL sleep TH IFV EMPH } \\
& \text { 'Now the Bahowanos are still sleeping.' (iyamaka-BO) }
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.3.2 Perfective -heta

The suffix -heta ${ }^{75}$ indicates bounded events, particularly actions which are repeated or restored, implying a return to a state or location (towards a deictic center). This aspect is generally used with telic verbs, such as kaoka 'arrive', tyoa 'come', zane 'go', and hikoa 'come out'. ${ }^{76}$ Brandão (2010) considered -heta a Completive marker, but the emphasis is on the endpoint of the situation, not on the completion of the action. The examples below show the use of -heta to indicate endpoints (46), a return to a state through repetition of the action (47), and (48) indicates restored state without repetition:

| abebe | ene | iyeheta |  | natyo | hoka | ainaihena |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| abebe | $=$ ene | $\emptyset=$ iye | -heta | natyo | hoka | $\emptyset=$ ainai | -hena |
| grandmother | $=$ PST | 3sg catch | PERF | 1 sg | CON | 3sg raise | TRS |
| natyo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| natyo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1sg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'My deceased grandmother caught me and raised me.' (JT nawenane) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| tyotya | akere | zakore | iya | hoka | ainakoaheta |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\emptyset=$ tyotya | akere | zakore | =iya | hoka | $\varnothing=$ ainakoa | -heta |  |
| 3sg die.out | seem | FRUST | =IRR | CON | 3sg stand.up | PERF |  |
| tyotya | akere | zakore | iya | hoka | ainakoaheta |  | zoaha |
| $\emptyset=$ tyotya | akere | zakore | =iya | hoka | $\varnothing=$ ainakoa | -heta | zoaha |
| 3sg die.out | seem | FRUST | =IRR | CON | 3sg stand.up | PERF | and |

[^54]
## tyaonita

Ø= tyaona -ita
3sg happen IFV
'It seems it will die out, but it gets up. It seems it will die out, and it gets up again, and it is how that goes.' (Bacaval)

| fehanatya |  | hoka | nawaiyeheta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\varnothing=$ fehana | -tya | hoka | na $=$ waiye -heta |
| 3sg bless | TH | CON | 1 sg= good |

'They blessed me and I got well.' (Fenare nawenane)

In addition to the return to a state, -heta also has a spatial meaning, indicating a motion to some point and then a return to the original location. In (49), the interpretation is that they go to the field, and they come back to their original location, and this explains why the suffix -heta is used.

| kala | matsenek |  | wiyane | wamalaka |  | ketetse |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kala | matsene | -koa | wi= yane | wa= mala | -ka | kete | -tse |
| DUB | field | LOC | 1 pl go | 1 pl pull.off | TH | manioc | CLF:small |
| wikaokeheta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wi= kaoke -heta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 pl arrive PERF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(T. I. 071508)
(50) naestudaheta hoka Jorge ezoaheta wonita
na= estuda -heta hoka Jorge $\varnothing=$ ezoa -heta $w=$ onita
1sg study PERF CON PN 3sg fall PERF 1pl SOUR
'I decided to study again when Jorge went away from us.' (Kamoro nawenane)

It is likely that the origin of -heta is the verb aiheta 'come' which is described by Rowan (2001), but this verb form was not recognized by speakers. If this is indeed the origin of this morpheme, then the original meaning of the morpheme -heta is spatial. I called this morpheme 'perfective' in order to account for the cases where it does not impart a spatial meaning.

The transitional -hena may be combined with the perfective suffix -heta,
indicating an action which has started again as in (51), or is ongoing in the past (52), or when in the imperative (53).
(51) txiyaka natxikini kala naestudahetehena, kala dois ano taita $\varnothing=$ txiya -ka natxikini kala na= estuda -hete -hena kala dois ano taita 3sg pass ? after DUB 1sg study REG TRS DUB two year only naestudaheta
na= estuda -heta
1sg study REG
'After some time passed, I started to study again, I have studied for two years.'
(52) kawiyatyahetehenala ani ala kaotsekoatyaha hoka kawiya -tya -hete -hena $=1 \mathrm{la}$ ani ala kaotse -koa -tya -ha hoka shout TH PERF TRS =FOC wasp FOC wake.up LOC TH PL CON 'They were shouting again, and they woke the wasp up' (Dirizonae)
(53) nonanohi witya nonanohi xiyehetehena, paula no $=$ nanohi witya $n o=$ nanohi $x=$ iya -hete -hena paula $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ rope go.IMP $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ rope $2 \mathrm{pl}=$ catch PERF TRS PN 'Come get my rope back, Paula.' (xihatyoawihaliti)

### 6.3.3 Transitional -hena

The suffix -hena is used in situations where an action has just started, or has not started yet. ${ }^{77}$ The general meaning of the morpheme is to indicate that there will be a change or transition, hence the term "transitional". It is used in future, past, and present reference time, as in (54) through (56) respectively. The most frequent use is with the future reference indicating an action that has not yet started. The suffix may occur twice on one of the verbs to indicate iteration of an action, as in (57).

| Makanitya | wiyanehena |
| :--- | :--- |
| makani -tya | wi $=$ zane -hena |
| tomorrow FOC | lpl go TRS |
| 'Tomorrow we are going.' (Batsaji iraiti) |  |

[^55]| Tropico | kazaikohena |  | witso | za | kahare | haliti |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tropico | Ø= kazaiko | -hena | witso | za | kahare | haliti |
| PN <br> wainita | 3sg take care of | TRS | 1 pl |  | a.lot | person |
| Ø= waini |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 sg die | IFV |  |  |  |  |  |

'The Tropico started taking care of us, but a lot of people were dying.' (Bacaval tahi)


The transitional -hena is also used with achievement verbs, such as kaoka 'arrive' to indicate that the change is imminent. The transitional can mark a change of a state (inchoative) as seen in (59), or the beginning of an action as seen in example (55) above. In (60), it is used in an after-clause with a sequential reading, implying that the event in the main clause started after the event in the after-clause.
(58) avião kaokehena
avião $\quad$ = kaoka -hena
airplane 3sg arrive TRS
'The airplane is arriving [about to arrive].' (Rowan, 1978: ix)
(59) nihalaharehena
$\mathrm{n}=$ ihalahare -hena
1sg be.happy TRS
'I became happy.' (E)
(60) baba waini hoka, imoti koni notyaohena baba $\varnothing=$ waini hoka imoti =koni no= tyaona -hena father 3sg die CON non-Indian among 1sg live TRS 'After my father died, I started to live with the non-Indians.' (JG nawenane)

In addition, the suffix -hena is used in nominalized subordinate clauses (§8.2), as in (61):

| kozaita | Jatobá | wiyane | tinihaliti | zahita |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kozaita | Jatobá | wi= zane | tinihaliti | zahita |
| today | Jatobá | lp go | pot | $?$ |
| wiyeyakahenere |  |  | zowaka |  |
| wi= waiyaka | -hena -re | zowaka |  |  |
| 1p look.around | TRS NMLZ | time |  |  |

'Today we went to the Jatobá village to look for the pot.'

### 6.3.4 Iterative -hitiya

The morpheme -hitiya indicates that an action is repeated in a specific occasion. The repetition can be once (62), or several times, as shown by the repetition in (64) of the action in (63). It is different from the perfective -heta because it does not imply a return.
(62) Hatyohekota ala wiyanehitiya
hatyohekota =ala $\mathrm{wi}=$ yane hitiya
then $\quad=$ FOC $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ go ITER
'Then we went again.' (JT nawenane)
haiyalitsekatsene
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { ha }= & \text { iyali } & \text {-tse } & \text {-katse }\end{array}$-ne
kawakatseronae aikawatyaha hoka
kawa -katse -ro -nae a- i- kawa -tya -ha hoka
transform CLF: long NMLZ PL CAUS ? transform TH PL CON
atxikatetyaha mokotyaha zaore hoka zaore
$\varnothing=$ atxika -te -tya -ha $\varnothing=$ mokotya -tya -ha zaore hoka zaore
3sg stick ? TH PL 3sg hit TH PL FRUST CON FRUST
tsekoata hawaretse tyaona
tseko a -ta hawaretse $\varnothing=$ tyaona
far ? IFV? peccary 3sg become
'Their pubic hair changed, they stuck [it into him], and when they hit (the hair),
unfortunately they spread all over and became a peccary.' (iyamaka-BO)

| mokohenehitiya | eye | haiyali | itsenae |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ø= moko -hene -hitiya | eye | ha= | iyali | -tse | -nae |  |
| 3sg hit TRS ITER | this | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ | body hair | CLF:small | PL |  |
| kawakatseronae |  |  | atxikatehe | enahitiya |  | hoka |
| Ø= kawa -katse | -ro | -nae | Ø= atxika | a -te -hena | -hitiya | hoka |
| 3sg transform CLF: long | NMLZ | Z PL | 3sg stick | ? TRS | ITER | CON |
| mokohenahitiya | haman | noza |  | kakoa |  |  |
| Ø= moko -hena -hitiya | ha= | mano | -za | =kakoa |  |  |
| 3sg hit TRS ITER | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ | cudgel? | 1? POSSED | = COM |  |  |

'They took their pubic hair and they stuck it again, and they hit [the hair] with their cudgel' (iyamaka-BO)

The source of the suffix -hitiya may be the sentence adverbial hitiya 'also'.

| alite |  | hitiya | atyo | mahalitiharenae |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ha al | $=$ te | hitiya | =atyo | ma- haliti | -hare |
| here | =FUT | also | =TOP | NEG non-Indian | MASC |
| koita |  | ehare | haliti | nawenane | tahi |
| = aheko | -ita | ehare | haliti | na= wena -n | =tahi |
| 3sg think | IFV | this | person | 1sg= life PO | ED =about | 'The non-Indians are also thinking about another project for the Paresi people that may happen in the Rio Verde village.' (makani tahi)


| eye | wawenakala | ite | tyaohena |  | hatyote | hitiya |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eye | wa= wenakala | $=$ ite | Ø= tyaona | -hena | hatyo =te | hitiya |
| this | $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ village | $=$ FUT | 3 sg become | TRS | that $=$ FUT | also |
| hoka |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hoka |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CON |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'This | project will also | pen | our village.' ( | makan | ahi) |  |

There are frequent combinations of TAM morphemes. According to Silva (2013: 237) frequent combinations include hitiya following any morpheme (heta-hitiya, henahitiya) and -heta preceding any morpheme (heta-ita, heta-hitiya, heta-hena). The morphemes -ita and -hena cannot precede other morphemes (*ita-hitiya, *ita-heta, *itahena, *hena-ita, *hena-heta) with the exception of hena-hitiya. In Table 93, I show these combinations, and add two other combinations that I found in my corpus. As seen in the
table, -hena can precede -ita when a plural suffix occurs between them (in: -hena-h-ita). The imperfective -hena can also follow -ita when it is reduplicated in the verb (in: hena-ite-hena).

Table 93: Aspect suffix combinations

|  | -ita | -hena | -heta | -hitiya |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -ita | --- | Yes | Not attested | Not attested |
| -hena | Yes | --- | Not attested | Yes |
| -heta | Yes | Yes | --- | Yes |
| -hitiya | Not attested | Not attested | Not attested | --- |

### 6.4 Modality

The term modality is used here to refer to a semantic and grammatical notion that can be expressed through verb inflection (mood), modal verbs or particles (Nordström, 2010: 16). Paresi distinguishes frustrative, dubitative, and desiderative modalities, which are expressed through particles.

Table 94: Markers of modality and evidentiality in Paresi

| Form | Function |
| :--- | :--- |
| zaore, zakore | frustrative |
| motya | frustrative |
| zamani | dubitative (high uncertainty) |
| kala | dubitative (moderate certainty) |
| katsani | desiderative |

### 6.4.1 Frustratives zaore and motya

## Frustrative zaore

The frustrative marker zaore indicates the goal of an action was not achieved. ${ }^{78}$

[^56]The form zakore is in free variation with zaore. In example (67), the action is intended, but is not possible, and is not finished. The particle zaore occurs before the clause expressing the undesired situation. In examples (68) to (70) the action is finished but did not have the expected outcome. The frustrative is widespread across Amazonia and occurs in most Arawak languages (Aikhenvald, 1999). A frustrative marker is commonly used in counterfactual contexts in South American languages (Mueller, 2013: 159), but that is not the case of zaore in Paresi.

| kakikitsakeheta | zaore | waohena | acordo | tyoma |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ka- kikitsa | -ke -heta | zaore | w= aoka -hena | acordo | $\emptyset=$ tyoma |
| ATTR separate TH PERF | FRUST | lp say TRS | agreement | 3sg make |  |
| wikakoa nikarehareta |  | wasofrehitiya | hoka |  |  |
| wi= kakoa nikare -hare | -ta | wa= sofre hitiya | hoka |  |  |
| 1pl COM | like this MASC IFV | lpl | suffer ITER | CON |  |

'We wanted to kick him out but he made an agreement with us, and we are suffering like this.' (JM iraiti)

| aizehenahitehena |  |  | zakore | mataka, mataka | mataka <br> mataka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ø= aize - | -hena -h | -ite -hena | zakore |  |  |
| 3sg smell TRS PL IFV TRS FRUST tasteless tasteless |  |  |  |  |  |
| kanitse tyaon |  |  |  |  |  |
| kani | -tse | Ø= tyao |  |  |  |
| pequi.fruit | CLF:smal | 3 sg COP |  |  |  |
| They smell | led it (in | ), but th | qui see | not s | mellin |

kazatya witserehena zakore ahekaetsa witso kazatya wi=tsere -hena zakore a- heka -e -tsa witso jacuba 1 pl drink TRS FRUST CAUS be.drunk CAUS TH 1pl 'We were drinking jacuba, and unfortunately we got drunk.' (JT nawenane)
\(\left.\begin{array}{llllll}ezoa, \& ezoahena \& zaore \& kohatsenae \& teke \& toka <br>
\emptyset=ezoa \& \varnothing=ezoa \& -hena \& zaore \& kohatse -nae \& teke <br>

\emptyset=toka\end{array}\right]\)| 3sg fall | 3sg fall | TRS | FRUST |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fish | PL |  | 3sg hold |
| zokowiye |  |  |  |
| zokowiye |  |  |  |
| mythical figure |  |  |  |
| 'It fell, it fell. When he (Zokowiye) fell, the fish held him.' (ikona) |  |  |  |

## Frustrative motya

The particle motya is another frustrative marker which indicates that one's internal assumptions based on visual evidence turned out to be wrong. The particle motya is a particle occurring before the clause expressing the assumption of the speaker. In (71), someone thought a person was Kabikule, but when he saw the person closely, it turned out that he was Kabikule's son, Jura. In (72), a deer's horn was mistaken for a branch. (73) is used in a context in which the speaker saw that the sky was cloudy, and thought it was going to rain, but it did not. Example (74) illustrates an example in which the assumption that a man was the killer turned out to be wrong.

| Jura | motya | Kabikule |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jura | motya | Kabikule |
| PN | FRUST | PN |

'Jura looks like Kabikule (Jura has an appearance or behavior similar to Kabikule).' (E)
(72) atya taona motya ala azama zotawa atya taona aowita hoka atya taona motya ala azama zotawa atya taona aowita hoka tree branch FRUST FOC deer (cervo) deer tree branch think CON tokoitene ala
Ø= toko -ita =ene ala
3sg hold IFV 30 FOC
'The branch looked like the horn of a deer, he thought, and he was holding it.'
(Dirizonae)
(73) motya atyo ite onehena wihiye
motya atyo ite one -hena wi=hiye
FRUST TOP FUT water TRS 1pl BEN
'Apparently it was going to rain (but it did not).' (E)

| sarampo | aitsa | zoimahalotinae |  |  | kala | ityaninae |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sarampo | $\varnothing=$ aitsa | zoima | halo -ti | -nae | kala | ityani |

'The measles killed the children, but he has thought it was that man who killed their siblings.' (Batsaji tahi)

### 6.4.2 Dubitatives

Epistemic modality indicates the extent to which the speaker is committed to the truth of the proposition (Bybee et al., 1994: 179). In Paresi, the following markers are used to express doubt: zamani and kala.
zamani
The particle zamani is used to indicate uncertainty. It may occur twice in clauses expressing alternatives, as in (77) to (79). The particle zamani occurs after the word being questioned or in doubt. In some of the examples the interrogative zoana (71) and the question word zoare (73) occur but they are not interrogative sentences.

| zala | niraene | zamani? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zala | in= irai -ne | zamani |
| INT | 3s say NMLZ | DUB |
| 'Who is saying?' (Tolohe) |  |  |

(76) Sandro zamani Valeria kakoa kaitsani aokaha
Sandro zamani Valeria kakoa ka- itsani $\varnothing=$ aoka -ha

PN DUB PN COM ATTR son, daughter 3sg say PL
'They are saying that Valeria is pregnant by Sandro (but I doubt he is the father).'
(Tolohe)
(77) zoana waiyoreha zamani maiha zamani
zoana $\varnothing=$ waiyore -ha zamani maiha zamani
INT 3sg learn PL DUB NEG DUB
'I don't know whether they learned or not.' (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 1)
(78) kaoka zoana ferakoahena zamani zoana makahikoahena
kaoka zoana ferakoa -hena zamani zoana maka hikoa -hena
arrive INT morning TRS DUB INT night come TRS
zamani
zamani
DUB
'Maybe they will arrive in the morning or maybe it will be in the afternoon.'
(Hitsehaliti)
wiraitsekoala, aliyakere zamani haferakene witsaonita, $\mathrm{w}=$ iraitsekoa =la aliyakere zamani ha= ferakene wi= tyaona-ita $1 p$ conversation FOC how DUB 3s day 1 p live IFV zoare zamani witsomita
zoare zamani wi=tyoma -hena
INT DUB 1 p do TRS
'[She wants to know] our conversation, how we live our daily routine, what we do.' (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 1)

## Dubitative kala

The dubitative kala $^{79}$ indicates probability of happening (more certain than zamani). This dubitative occurs in the first position in a clause, and may occur in traditional narratives when the speaker does not want to express complete certainty about how the events are presented in the story.

Kala Enoharetse tyaonita eye hawawaharenae
kala Enoharetse tyaona -ita eye ha= wawa -hare -nae
DUB PN live IFV this 3sg= be.alone MASC PL
'Enoharatse was already living alone here (I think).' (Wazare)

In examples (81) through (83), the speakers are making assumptions about the information. The particle kala is not related to indirect evidentiality because its use does not entail that the speaker did not directly experience the event.
(81) kala maiha ehareza wiyakaihakaita Paula hiye
kala maiha ehare -za wi= zakaihaka -ita Paula hiye
DUB NEG this story 1 p tell.story IFV Paula BEN
'Maybe we should not tell this story to Paula.' (zanekoare)
(82) kalatyo nowawitehena iniranae notita ehare
kala =tyo no=hawawa -ita -hena inira -nae $n=$ otya -ita ehare
DUB FOC 1sg only IFV TRS few PL 1s remember IFV this
'It is likely that I am the only one who remembers parts of this (story).' (Kozeto)

[^57]| maihalaore |  | waiyeze | kalaore | mataka | nomi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maiha =la | -ore | waiye -ze | kala | -ore | mataka | no nea

### 6.4.3 Desiderative katsani

The desiderative katsani is a particle which indicates a wish or desire in the first person. It occurs at the beginning of the clause expressing the desire.

$\varnothing=$ iya -re $\quad \varnothing=$ avaliza -tya no= mani

3sg buy NMLZ 3sg vouch.for TH 1sg BEN
'I also wanted to go. Doesn't my father buy at Sandra's? They can vouch for me.'
(iraiti Batsaji)

(Fenare nawenane)

## Chapter 7 - Simple clauses and negation

### 7.0 Introduction

This chapter describes the structure of basic clauses and negative clauses. In §7.1 I describe grammatical relations. In $\S 7.2$, I start by describing clause structure and constituent ordering within a clause. $\S 7.3$ focuses on the different types of non-verbal predicates. Then, I describe the strategies used to mark interrogatives $\S 7.4$, command constructions $\S 7.5$, and negatives $\S 7.6$.

### 7.1 Grammatical relations

In Paresi, word order and the obligatory use of a subject help identify and define the subject, object, and oblique arguments. The grammatical alignment is nominativeaccusative and there is no morphological case marking. Some of the common ways that grammatical relations are overtly encoded in the world's languages include word-order, verb-agreement, and nominal case morphology (Andrews, 2007). Pronominal marking in Paresi does not involve overt coding properties. Paresi, in this respect, is different from the majority of the Arawak languages, e.g. Apurinã and Yine, which use overt coding properties such as the pronominal marking system of subjects and objects on the verb.

### 7.1.1 Core arguments

There is cross-referencing between a subject noun phrase and a personal proclitic on the verb (1), but often the subject noun phrase is not overt (2). There is no crossreferencing between an object noun phrase and the only object personal enclitic ene '3O' (3). The clitic ene is only used when the object noun phrase is not mentioned in the sentence.
(1) natyo nozaniya natyo no= zani $=y a$
$1 \mathrm{sg} \quad 1 \mathrm{sg}$ go $=I R R$
'I am going alone.' (Batsaji iraiti)
(2) (natyo) no=kanakairene natyo no= ka- nakaira =ene 1 sg 1 sg ATTR food 30 'I ate it.' (E)
(3) nokanakairene kohatse no= -ka nakaira =ene (*kohatse) 1sg ATTR food 30 fish
'I ate it (the fish).' (E)

Subjects precede the predicate, though pragmatic conditions may override this (see §7.2.1.1). Subjects are obligatory in a predicate whereas objects are usually omitted after the first mention if they can be easily recovered from the discourse. Personal pronouns functioning as objects must follow the predicate (as the preferred SOV only order applies to overt non-pronominal objects).

### 7.1.2 Oblique arguments

Oblique arguments are marked by postpositions in Paresi and are always optional. They can have one of the following semantic roles: instrumental, comitative, dative, and locative. They often occur at the beginning of a clause, but they can occur in any position. An example of oblique argument with the instrumental kakoa is shown in (4) (see §3.6).
(4) kore kakoa waitsa wola
kore =kakoa $\mathrm{w}=$ aitsa $\mathrm{w}=$ ola
arrow INSTR 1 pl kill 1 pl game hunting
'We killed our game with an arrow.' (Katomo nawenane)

### 7.2 Clause structure

A simple clause in Paresi must contain a predicate, which may be verbal or nonverbal. Verbal predicates have a personal clitic attached to a verb (5), or the clitic may
have no phonological form like the 3 sg clitic in (6). It is also possible to find cooccurrence of a personal clitic and a coreferential independent noun phrase (a noun or independent pronoun) in pre-predicate position (7).
(5) nokaoki
no= kaoka
1sg arrive
'I arrived'
(6) eaotsetatyo harekahare $\varnothing=$ kaoka
eaotseta =tyo harekahare $\varnothing=$ kaoka
then $=$ TOP host 3sg arrive
'Then the host arrives.' (hitsehaliti)
(7) natyo nozaniya
natyo no= zani $=y a$
$1 \mathrm{sg} \quad 1 \mathrm{sg}$ go $=I R R$
'I am going alone.' (Batsaji iraiti)

Transitive clauses require one more argument in the clause expressing the object; however, it is rare to find two noun phrases in the same clause, which is not surprising given the cross-linguistic findings that suggest that the appearance of two full noun phrases in a transitive clause is marked (Mithun, 1987; Dryer, 1997). In Paresi, sentences with two noun phrases occur once the participants are introduced in the discourse, and their reference is expressed by means of personal clitics or independent pronouns. The examples in (8) and (9) show that noun phrases can precede or follow the verb, and that there is no marking in the verb signaling the subject or the object. In (9), there is a second-position clitic ala 'FOC' after katxolohokotsetxoa 'small dog' which indicates that the object noun phrase is in focus position. In $\S 7.2 .1 .1$, I will describe the pragmatic parameters which are relevant for constituent ordering.
(8) $[\text { sarampo }]_{\mathrm{NP}}[\text { aitsa }]_{\mathrm{V}} \quad[\text { zoimahalotinae }]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ sarampo $\quad \varnothing=$ aitsa zoima -halo -ti -nae measles 3sg kill child FEM UNPOSS PL 'The measles was what killed our children.' (Batsaji tahi)

| (9)hatyonatse <br> hatyo -natse | ala | ala | katxolohokotsetxoa $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ | ala | $[\text { ani }]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| that CLF:long | FOC | dog | CLF:circled CLF:small big | FOC wasp |  |

Example (10) shows that it is possible to drop both subject and object noun phrases when the object is third person. However, in other persons, an object personal proclitic is required. In (11) we see an object noun phrase, which cannot be omitted since the object is not third person. If the object noun phrase is an independent pronoun, then it must follow the predicate, as shown in (11) and (12).
(10) waholokene, wanitsene
wa $=$ holoka $=$ ene wa $=$ nitsa $=$ ene
1 pl cook 3 O 1 pl eat 3 O
'We cooked it, and we ate it.' (ximatyati)
(11) baba aotyaitsa natyo hoka
baba $\varnothing=$ a- otya -tsa natyo hoka
dad 3sg CAUS remember TH 1sg then
'Then my father taught me.' (Katomo nawenane)
(12) *baba natyo aotyaitsa hoka
baba natyo $\varnothing=$ a- otya -tsa hoka
dad 1sg 3sg CAUS remember TH then
'Then my father taught me.' (Katomo nawenane)

Ditransitive clauses may have a third noun phrase expressing the oblique argument, which is a beneficiary or maleficiary (13). However, in general, only two noun phrases are expressed in the clause (14):
(13) hixirone enana itsa natyo
$\mathrm{hi}=$ xirone ena $=$ ana $\varnothing=$ itsa natyo
2sg sister man $=\mathrm{BEN}$ 3sg= give 1sg
'Your sister gave me to the man.' (Enore)
(14) hamokotse ala itsaha enomana hoka haiyanityo haliyeta ha= mokotse ala $\varnothing=$ itsa -ha en=om ana hoka ha= iyanityo haliye -ta 3sg baby FOC 3sg give PL 3sg LK BEN CON 3sg wife along IFV tyaonahokotyoa
Ø= tyaona -hoko -tyoa
$3 \mathrm{sg}=$ become, stay CLF:circled INTR
'They gave him a puppy, and he (the frog) stayed together with his wife.'
(Dirizonae)

### 7.2.1 Constituent order

The constituent order is relatively flexible in Paresi, as long as the verb does not come first, in clauses in which both subject and object are expressed as lexical noun phrases. ${ }^{80}$ The default word order is SOV. Four orders are attested in Paresi texts: SOV, SVO, OSV and OVS. ${ }^{81}$ The order VOS, which is not attested here with sentences where both noun phrases were nouns, has been attested by Derbyshire (1986) analyzing data published by Rowan $(1966,1979)$. Since Derbyshire counts free pronouns as constituents for the purposes of identifying word orders, all possible word orders are said to occur.

The example in (15) shows the subject preceding the object when both are preverbal (SOV).

| (15) $[\text { paula }]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ | [iyakaniti $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ | $[\text { hotikitsa }]_{\mathrm{V}}$ | hoka | nowaiyita |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| paula | iyakane | -ti | $\emptyset=$ hotikitsa | hoka | no $=$ waiyi | -ta

In (16), the object is preceding the subject when both are pre-verbal (OSV):
(16) zane ala hikoaheta "maiha, [nezanityo] $]_{N P}$

Ø= zane =ala $\varnothing=$ hikoa -heta maiha $n=$ ezanityo 3sg go =FOC 3sg come.out, show.up PERF NEG 1sg wife
$[\text { nityaninae }]_{\mathrm{NP}} \quad[\text { waikoakore }]_{\mathrm{NP}} \quad[\text { aitsa }]_{\mathrm{v}} "$
$\mathrm{n}=$ ityani -nae waikoakore $\quad \varnothing=$ aitsa
1 sg son, daughter PL non-Paresi.Indian 3sg kill
'He went, arrived (and said): "no, non-Paresi Indians killed my wife and sons".' (Enore)

[^58](17) shows pre-verbal subject and post-verbal object (SVO):
(17) $[\text { sarampo }]_{\mathrm{NP}}[\text { aitsa }]_{\mathrm{V}} \quad[\text { zoimahalotinae }]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ sarampo $\quad \varnothing=$ aitsa zoima -halo -ti -nae measles 3sg kill child FEM UNPOSS PL 'Measles killed our children.' (Batsaji tahi)

In (18), the object precedes while the subject follows the verb (OVS):
(18) kala [koloho $]_{\mathrm{NP}}[\text { miyatya }]_{\mathrm{V}}$ [mahalitihare $]_{\mathrm{NP}}$ hoka kala koloho $\varnothing=$ miya ma- haliti -hare hoka DUB forest 3sg finish NEG person MASC then 'Then the non-Indian destroyed the forest.' (Kamoro nawenane)

In this section, I provide two arguments for considering SOV the default word order: constituent order in ambiguous sentences and frequency distribution. Where semantic and pragmatic context cannot disambiguate grammatical roles, the default interpretation is SOV. The interpretation is that the first noun phrase is always the subject.
(19) zoimahaliti zoimahaloti waiya
zoimahaliti zoimahaloti $\varnothing=$ waiya
boy girl 3sg see
'The boy saw the girl' / *'the girl saw the boy.' (E)
(20) zoimahaloti zoimahaliti waiya
zoimahaloti zoimahaliti $\varnothing=$ waiya
girl boy 3sg see
'The girl saw the boy' / *'the boy saw the girl.' (E)
(21) kokoi owi tyaloka
kokoi owi $\quad \varnothing=$ tyaloka
hawk snake 3sg bite
'The hawk bit the snake'/ *'the snake bit the hawk.' (E)

In contexts where it is possible to disambiguate through semantics or pragmatics, such as in (22) and (23), the meaning is the same regardless of the order.
(22) ena balazoko waiya
ena balazoko $\varnothing=$ waiya
man bottle 3sg see
'The man saw the bottle.' (E)
(23) balazoko ena waiya
balazoko ena $\varnothing=$ waiya bottle man 3 sg see
'The man saw the bottle'/ * 'the bottle saw the man.' (E)

Frequency is an important parameter in determining basic word order in a language (Dryer, 1997), but not the most important one. As discussed by Mithun (1987), the rarity of constructions with both lexical noun phrases cannot provide a strong statistical evidence of basic word order. However, I will present a frequency count as an additional argument to establish SOV as the basic constituent order. Contrary to my analysis, Silva (2013) reports that the most frequent constituent orders in texts are SVO and OSV. ${ }^{82}$ A possible explanation for why Silva found more SVO sentences is because, like Derbyshire (1986), he did not exclude free pronouns (which always follow the verb) in his counting.

The frequency count was based on 18 texts ( 2507 sentences): 4 traditional narratives, 4 personal narratives, 1 procedural text, 5 other types of narratives (including narratives in which there are some descriptions), and 4 dialogs. There was no preference for one word order depending on the genre, hence they are considered together.

Table 95 shows the frequency of pre-verbal and post-verbal objects. For this count I had a total of 155 sentences with both an overt subject and object (including 23 sentences with subject and object noun phrases). Pre-verbal objects are more frequent than post-verbal objects (almost twice more).

[^59]Table 95: Frequency of pre-verbal and post-verbal objects

| OV | VO | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 103 | 53 | 155 |
| $66 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

In the other count, only sentences with subject and object noun phrases were considered. The number of sentences with both lexical subjects and objects was 23 , only approximately $1 \%$ of the sentences in the corpus used for the counting. Table 96 shows the types of constituent orders in these 23 sentences and their frequencies:

Table 96: Frequency distribution of constituent order in sentences with lexical NPs

| SOV | OSV | SVO | OVS | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 23 |
| $57 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

As seen in Table 96, type SVO is less than half as frequent as either the SOV or OSV orders. The subject occurs in pre-verbal position, except for three sentences (two intransitives and one transitive-OVS). When counting clauses for Table 96, I did not consider sentences with a complement clause as the object (complement clauses precede main clause).

In agreement with the evidence from constituent order frequency and ambiguous sentence interpretation, Paresi shows a typological profile similar to the OV or verb-final languages discussed by Greenberg (1963) and Dryer (1992). The subject almost always precedes the object (there is only one exception) in line with Greenberg's first principle (1963:77). The ordering relations in verb-final languages are also found in Paresi: manner adverbs tend to precede the verb, genitives precede nouns, and there are postpositions rather than prepositions.

### 7.2.1.1 Pragmatic parameters relevant for constituent ordering

The present work describes word order by considering pragmatic parameters such as new and old information. Based on the pragmatic parameters for constituent ordering across languages in Mithun (1987), I identified four which are relevant for Paresi are: old versus new information; newsworthiness, topic shift, and contrast. The clause-initial position correlates with new information, newsworthiness, topic shift and contrast, and the post-verbal is associated with old information.

## Old versus new information

In general, the subject is expressed by a personal clitic attached to the verb. When the subject expresses new information, it occurs in pre-verbal position, a position of focus (leading to an SOV or SVO order). Consider the following passage from a text. The speaker was telling a personal narrative, and in (24) he talks about getting honey. In (25), he then starts talking about what the ancestors used to do when they got honey. The ancestors here are new information. Topic shift is also indicated with (a)tyo.
(24) nonityohalitihena hoka, wiyane, wataweheri konitsa no= nityohaliti -hena hoka wi=yane wa= tawe -heri koni -tsa 1 sg old.person TRS CON 1pl go 1 pl look.for ? in.the.middle.of TH iya atyotyo ene wiyaneta hoka maha kahiyalakatse iya atyotyo ene wi= yane -ta hoka maha kahiyalakatse IRR grandpa PST 1pl go IFV CON honey type.of.bee ityoka
Ø= ityoka
3 sg cut, cut down
'I was an adult, and we went to look for honey, and my deceased grandpa cut down some honey of the kahiyalakatse bee.' (JT nawenane)
(25) Ozakereharenaetyatyo maha ityohena hoka ah
ozakerehare -nae -tya =atyo maha $\varnothing=$ ityo -hena hoka ancestor PL ? =TOP honey 3sg cut, cut down TRS CON fetazaita Ø= feta -za -ita
3sg bless POSSED IFV
'The ancestors when they got honey they would give an offering' (JT nawenane)

## Newsworthiness

The subject noun phrase may also be focused even though the information was already given in order to emphasize the principal information. In (26), the topic of the narrative is the iyamaka 'sacred flute', and the information given is that it is not good for women to see the sacred flute. The same information is given again in (27), and ohironae 'women' appears in the preverbal position (leading to an SVO order).

| (26) | maiha | ohiro | kakoa | waiyakere |  | xini | zotenetyoa |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maiha | ohiro | = kakoa | waiya | -ke | -re | xini | zotene | -ty | -oa |
| NEG | woman | $=$ COM | see | $?$ | NMLZ | NEG | $?$ | TH | INTR |
| waini | aka | ihozare | walihitse | nihozala | kakoare |  | tyaona |  |  |
| waini | aka | ihozare | walihitse | nihozala | =kakoa | -re | tyaona |  |  |
| die | have | spell | $?$ | spell | $=$ COM | NMLZ | become |  |  |
| iyamaka | no | nozae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| iyamaka | no | nozae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

'One cannot see the sacred with a woman, one can die, the flute has the spell of walihitse.' (iyamaka-BO)
(27) hatyaotseta eye ohironae maitsa waiyare iyamaka ezahe
hatyaotseta eye ohiro -nae maitsa waiya -re iyamaka ezahe
then this woman PL NEG see NMLZ sacred.flute CON
hetati zowakiya
hetati zowaka -iya
in.the.old.days period ?
'Since the old days, women cannot see the sacred flute.' (iyamaka-BO)

Another case is when both subject and object noun phrases express new information. Then, the main information comes first. The following passage is from a personal narrative, in which the speaker is talking about what happens when he was young. Again the information offered by both noun phrases in (29) are new, but the object noun phrase wihalanatse 'our dog' is the most important information (as they did not expect the anaconda to attack their dog). The object noun phrase is preposed to the subject in the focus position (OSV order).
(28) Hoka nonityohalitinatse kaitsereharehena ala hoka
hoka no= nityohaliti -natse kaitsere -hare -hena ala hoka
CON 1sg old.person CLF:cylindrical EMPH MASC TRS FOC CON wiyaneta wiyanetala hoka
$\mathrm{wi}=$ yane $-\mathrm{ta} \quad \mathrm{wi}=$ yane $-\mathrm{ta}=\mathrm{la}$ hoka 1 pl go IFV 1 pl go $\mathrm{IFV}=\mathrm{FOC}$ CON
'I was already an adult and we went'
(29) mama Bojoza zoimahalonetse enazenane Kaniyo mama Bojoza zoimahalo -ne -tse en= azenane Kaniyo mom Bojoza girl POSSED CLF:small 3sg elder brother Kaniyo harenae wiyaneta wihalanatse menetse toka olatya hare -nae wi= yane -ta wi= halanatse menetse $\varnothing=$ toka $\varnothing=$ ola -tya also PL 1pl go IFV 1 pl dog anaconda 3 sg hold 3 sg tie TH 'My mother Boja was young and along with my brother Kaniyo we went, and an anaconda held our dog and tied him up.' (JT nawenane)

## Topic shift

A new topic occurs in the focus position. In (30), the speaker was telling how he went to gather mangos, and in (31), he shifted the topic. Both subject and object are new information, but the new topic (the subject) Paula precedes the object (SOV).
(30) oh mangala wiyane wezoitsa hoka wahiyota manga $=\mathrm{la} \quad \mathrm{wi}=$ yane $\mathrm{w}=$ ezo -i $\quad$-tsa hoka wa= hiyo -ta mango $=\mathrm{FOC} 1 \mathrm{pl}$ go 1 pl fall CAUS TH CON 1 pl suck IFV 'We went to get mangos and we ate them.' (Cotidiano)

| (31) hatyaotsetala | makehena | kaitserehare | ala | hoka | Paula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hatyaotseta $=1 \mathrm{a}$ | make -hena | kaitsere -hare | =ala | hoka | Paula |
| then $\quad=\mathrm{FOC}$ | evening TRS | EMPH ? | $=\mathrm{FOC}$ | then | PN |
| iyakaniti hotikitsa | hoka nowaiyita |  |  |  |  |
| iyakane hotikitsa | hoka no= waiyi | -ta |  |  |  |
| picture show | CON 1sg see, w | atch IFV |  |  |  |
| 'Then, it was getting | really dark and P | la showed pic | ; and | as | king at |
| them.' (Cotidiano) |  |  |  |  |  |

In another passage of a dialog, the speakers were talking about someone, saying she was lazy. Then, they shift the topic to talk about a table, and wimezane 'table' is
preverbal (OV order).


In the same dialog, (34) shows that when the topic shifts from meza 'table' to wityatyalati 'our bark'. The object noun phrase then precedes the subject and the verb (OSV).
(34) hazerore wamiyatya wityatyalatiraira hatya
hazerore wa= miya -tya wi= tyatya -la -ti hatya
be.fast 1 pl finish TH 1 pl bark POSSED UNPOSS IND1
zawahetehena
Ø= zawa -hete -hena
3sg throw PERF TRS
'We finished fast; someone has to throw away our bark.' (ketetse)

## Contrast

The constituents representing a focus of contrast come first in the clause regardless of whether or not they are new topics or new information. The following passage illustrates the contrast between initima milyahotse 'hot coal' and initima niyehe 'ash': both are in focus position preceding object and verb (SOV order).
(35)

| ) initima | milyahotse |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tima | milyahotse |  |
| fire | hot coal |  |
| initima | niyehe | im |
| ini= tima | niyehe | im |
| 3sg fire | ash | no |
| ihitone |  | k |
| i- hito | -ne | k |
| 3sg bow | POSSED | b |
| ikawehena |  |  |
| i= kawe | -hena |  |
| 3sg transform | TRS |  |

'The hot coal transformed into black people and the ash transformed into white people, the bow transformed into Indians.' (Txinikalore)

In (36), a passage from a narrative, the subject ohironae 'women.' (also new information) is used in contrast to enanae 'man' in the following SOV clause. The clitic atyo 'TOP' occurs marking the focus position.

| (36) ferakoa | ohironae | atyo | hakohone |  | kolatya |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ferakoa | ohiro | -nae | $=$ atyo | ha $=$ koho | -ne | $\varnothing=$ kolatya |  |
| in the morning | woman | PL | $=$ TOP | 3sg | basket | POSSED | 3sg take |

'In the morning, the women take their basket, and go to the field to get starch, and the men clean up the yard.' (hitsehaliti)

The following passage is from a narrative about a boy, his dog and their frog. The speaker tells what happened when the boy and his dog went to look for the frog. The contrastive entities are the boy Dirizonae (37) and katxolo 'dog' (38), and both are old information in the narrative. Because katxolo is a contrastive entity (contrasting with the
boy) it is focused, marked by the clitic ala 'FOC' in (38). The order is OSV in (37) and (38).
(37) Dirizonaelitse ala kakohita ehare atyanatseako

Dirizonae -li tse ala $\varnothing=$ kakoha -ita ehare atya -natse ako
PN CLF:small FOC 3sg go.up IFV this tree CLF:long LOC
kakoeta tawita kali
k- ako -ita $\varnothing=$ tawa -ita kali
ATTR LOC.inside IFV 3sg look.for IFV frog
'Dirizonae went up and he was looking for the frog inside of the hole (in the tree)'


### 7.3 Non-verbal predicates

Noun phrases or adverbs can function as heads of non-verbal predicates. The following types of predicates will be discussed here: nominal, locational/existential, and possessive predicates. In these constructions, two noun phrases are juxtaposed, the second being the main predicate. In addition, Paresi has a copula: tyaona 'become'.

### 7.3.1 Nominal predicates

There are two types of nominal predicates: proper inclusion (or identification) and equative clauses (Payne, 1997). The proper inclusion asserts that an entity belongs to the class of items specified in the predicate. For example, the identity statement ("That's a N"), which in Paresi involves demonstrative eze~eye 'this', or hatyo 'that', or a pronoun, and a nominal predicate (with a pause between the two), as in (39) and (40). The subject of the nominal predicate can be marked by atyo 'TOP', ala 'FOC' or -tya 'EMPH', as illustrated from (41) to (44).
(39) eye, Buritiza
eye Buriti -za
this PN CLF:liquid
'This is the Buriti river.' (cabeceira)
(40) eze, kozeto kaotyakene
eze kozeto kaotyaka -ne
this corn show.up POSSED
'This is the story of corn.' (kozeto tahi)
(41) eze atyo hati atxikoaliro
eze atyo hati atxikoaliro
this TOP house rafter
'This is a rafter of the house.' (CN)
(42) hoka hatyo atyo haliti zaolone, owene
hoka hatyo atyo haliti zaolo -ne owene
CON that TOP Paresi.person headdress POSSED there
'That is the real headdress of the Paresi people there' (omati-ZK)
(43) eye ala notahi
eye ala no= tahi
this FOC 1sg about
'This is about me' (JT nawenane)
(44) ezetya mahiye kaolihi nea
eze -tya mahiye kaoli -li $\quad \varnothing=$ nea
this FOC bat knee CLF:long.thin 3sg say
'This is the knee of the bat, he said.' (omati-ZK)

Another type of nominal predicate construction is the equative clause. Equative clauses are clauses which assert that an entity is identical to the entity in the nominal predicate. The same strategy used in proper inclusion is found to equate two full nouns.
(45) wazolo neare txowaware
wazolo neare txowaware
wolf name wolf
The (other) name of the wolf is txowaware (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 1)
(46) notxiyete kore iyawitsekohare
no= txiyete kore iyawitseko -hare
1 sg grandson DUB? hunter MASC
'My grandson is a hunter.' (JT nawenane)

| (47) wiketsera | atyo koretahera |
| :--- | :--- |
| wi= ketse -ra | atyo koreta -hera |
| 1pl knife POSSED TOP bamboo ? |  |
| 'Our knife was made of bamboo.' (JT nawenane) |  |

Aspect markers and personal clitics only occur with nouns related to the phases of life including mokotse infancy, 'zoimahaliti 'childhood' and nityohaliti 'adulthood'. In (48), inityohaliti 'adulthood' is a nominal predicate which takes the personal clitic no= ' 1 sg ' and transitional -hena.
(48) nonityohalitihena
no $=$ inityohaliti -hena
1sg old.person TRS
'I was becoming an adult.' (JT nawenane)

However, aspect markers and personal clitics can combine with nominal predicates which have a modifier (a numeral or a quantifier) as the head of the noun phrase. (49) illustrates the predicative use of the numeral hinama 'two', taking the personal clitic $w a=' 1 \mathrm{pl}$ ', and the transitional -hena. Nominal predicates only require a copula when there is aspectual marking and pronominal clitics, unless the head of the nominal predicate is a quantifier.

| wahinamitehena |  | kalikini | hoka | azeze | atyo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wa= hinama | -ite | -hena | kalikini | hoka | azeze | =atyo

'Now it is only the two of us, me and my older brother.' (Katomo nawenane)

The negation in nominal predicates is formed by using the particle maiha and the negative focus xini, as described in § 7.6.2.

### 7.3.2 Locational/existential predicates

The same juxtaposition strategy used in nominal predicates is used in locative and existential predicates. I only found five examples of juxtaposition among locative and existential predicates, because the most common strategy is the use of the copula tyaona
(see §5.2.4). The theme may precede the location (50) or follow it (53).
(50) oloniti ita baldeakore
oloniti ita balde -ako -re
chicha there bucket LOC NMLZ
'The chicha is there in the bucket' (Kabikule)
(51) hoka hatyo hiyetatatyola eze hitsaonero
hoka hatyo hiyeta -ta =tyo =la eze hi= tsaonero

CON 3sg therefore IFV $=$ TOP $=\mathrm{FOC} \quad$ this $\quad 2 \mathrm{sg}=$ cousin
alimaniya
ali maniya
here side
'Therefore it is because of this that your cousin is here on this side.' (Katomo Aug iraiti)
(52) alita natyo
ali -ta natyo
here EMPH 1sg 'I am here.' (E)
(53) owi henetsekoa enokola
owi henetse -koa en= kore -la
snake on.top LOC 3sg arrow POSSED
'His arrow was on the snake.' (kozeto)
(54) kakohala hatyo Koitiwere enohenola zanetaene

Ø= kakoha =la hatyo Koitiwere eno -heno =la $\quad$ = zane -ta
3sg go.up $=$ FOC that PN high on.the.top $=$ FOC 3sg go IFV
=ene, tai irikotyahala etseiri
ene $\quad \varnothing=$ iriko -tya -ha $=1 \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{e}=$ tseiri
PST 3sg cut TH PL $=$ FOC 3 sg head
'He went up, Koitiwere was at the top, and they went and they cut his head tai!'
(Txinikalore)

In the following example, the noun phrase head of the locativel predicate is the classifier -tse 'CLF:small'.
(55) awaezore hiteretya waiye malakaka
awaezore $\mathrm{h}=$ ite -re -tya waiye $\varnothing=$ malaka -ka
type.of.root $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ say NMLZ TH good 3sg pull.up TH
ehaotatse hoka
$\mathrm{e}=$ hao -ta -tse hoka
3sg on.the.surface IFV CLF:small CON
'They are saying that it is awaezore plant. It is good to pull up because its root is on the surface.' (tolohe)

I am describing clauses that are translated into Portuguese and English as existential predicates in the same section with locative predicates because they exhibit the same juxtaposed structure in Paresi: a theme followed by a location. Existential clauses without a location argument are formed by the existential verb aka (see §5.2.5), or the copula tyaona. Hengeveld (1992) points out that in many languages existential constructions correspond to some locative construction, and this is certainly the case for Paresi. (56) illustrates an existential clause formed by two juxtaposed nouns.
(56) Kalini Owihoko nali kohetseti
kalini Owihoko nali kohetseti
now Owihoko LOC savanna
'Then in the Owihoko village there is savanna.' (JT nawenane)

### 7.3.3 Possessive predicates

In Paresi, there are possessive clauses formed by the attributive prefix $k a$ - (see $\S 4.3 .4$ ) or the negative $m a$ - (see $\S 7.6 .6$ ). I treat $k a$ - as an attributive prefix following Aikhenvald (1999:99). These constructions occur with all nouns, including alienably and inalienably possessed nouns. The nominalizers -re~-ye (for masculine) and -lo (for feminine) can be used when it is important to mention the gender of the subject. In (59), the information about the gender is lexical (the word ohiro 'woman'), and therefore the gender marking is not needed. In (60), it is known by the discourse context, therefore the nominalizer is not used.
(57) nokaitsaniro
no= ka- itsani -ro
1sg ATTR son, daughter NMLZ
'I have children.' (E)
(58) maiha nokaitsaniro
maiha no= ka- itsani -ro
NEG 1sg ATTR son, daughter NMLZ
'I do not have children' (E)
(59) hatyaotseta owa nozakaitere eye ohironae
hatyaotseta owa no= zakai -ita -re eye ohiro -nae
then right now 1 sg tell IFV NMLZ this woman PL
kaiyanene, kaitsaniha hoka
ka- iyanene ka- itsani -ha hoka
ATTR husband ATTR son, daughter PL CON
'Then, as I just said, the women got married (lit. got a husband) and had children.'
(Batsaji tahi)
(60) kalini nokatxiyete hoka notxiyete nohaliye
kalini no= ka- txiyete hoka no= txiyete no= haliye
now 1sg ATTR grandson CON 1sg grandson 1sg along
tyaonita
Ø= tyaona -ita
3sg live IFV
'Now I have grandsons, and my grandson lives with me.' (Katomo nawenane)
(61) nokakawaloniye
no= ka- kawalo -ni -ye
1sg ATTR horse POSSED MASC
'I had a horse.' (Kotitiko wenakalati)
(62) wimamahaza
$\mathrm{wi}=$ ma- maha -za
1 pl NEG honey POSSED
'We had no honey.' (JT nawenane)

As seen in the above examples, there are two strategies for forming the negative possessive constructions, one with the negative maiha and the attributive $k a$-, as in (58), and the other one with the negative $m a$-, as in (62). The difference between them is that the latter refers to a more permanent or less temporary state, while the former refers to a
temporary state (see §7.6.6).

### 7.4 Interrogative clauses

Interrogatives are associated with the speech act of requesting information. I will discuss question-word interrogatives, then polar interrogatives, then I will show how question words are formed from the interrogative particle used to mark polar interrogatives, and then how interrogative alternatives are formed.

### 7.4.1 Question-word (constituent) interrogatives

Question-word or constituent interrogatives are sentences which expect a more elaborate response than simply an affirmation or disaffirmation (Payne, 1997). The use of interrogative words in sentence-initial position is obligatory. These sentences can also be marked by rising intonation at the beginning of the clause (as is the case with polar interrogative sentences). These interrogative words are provided in Table 97.

Table 97: Interrogative words

| Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| zala | 'who?' |
| zoare | 'what?' |
| aliyo | 'where is?' |
| alyako | 'where, at what location?' |
| aliyakere | 'how?' |
| otene | 'when?' |

## zala 'who'

Zala is an interrogative pronoun used for human referents, as illustrated below:
(63) Zala nemakakaweta?
zala nemaka kawe -ta
who sleep hurt IFV
'Who is sleepy?' (iraiti Batsaji)
(64) zala nika aikoli aromaita?
zala nika aikoli aroma -ita
who ? tooth fix IFV
'Who is having his tooth fixed?' (Katomo nali)
(65) zala hatyo axita hitso?
zala hatyo aza -ita hitso
who that ask IFV you
'Who was asking you?' (Katomo Aug iraiti)
(66) zakaihakatya, Bikirita nehena: "zala ityani eze?" Ø= zakaihaka -tya Bikirita $\varnothing=$ nea -hena zala ityani eze 3sg tell TH PN 3sg say TRS who son, daughter this 'He told a story and Birikita asked "whose son is he"?' (tolohe)

## zoare 'what, which'

Zoare 'what, which' can be used as an interrogative pronoun, as seen in (67) and (68), or as a modifier of a noun, as shown in (69).
(67) zoare hamairaita nozai?
zoare ha= maira -ita nozai
what $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ fish IFV my nephew
'What are you fishing my nephew?' (iyamaka)
(68) zoare kore wakolatya watsero Alaoliro waiyane
zoare kore wa= kolatya $w=$ atsero Alaoliro waiya -ne
what DUB 1 pl take 1 pl grandmother Alaoliro see NMLZ
katorenae?
katore -nae
brother PL
'What will we take to show to our grandma Alaoliro, my brothers?' (Txinikalore)
(69) zoaretatya notxi Wakolata Wakomo, Wazoliye,
zoare -ta -tya zo= kola -ta notxi Wakomo Wazoliye
what EMPH ? 2pl= arrow EMPH my.grandson PN PN
Kerakoama?
Kerakoama
PN
'What types of arrows, my grandsons Wakomo, Wazoliye, Kerakwama?' (Txinikalore)

A postpositional or adverbial phrase can be questioned, as shown in (70) and (71).
The postposition or adverbial particle occurs after the question-word.
(70) zoarekakoa kani zezoakiheta natyo zaoka? zoare =kakoa kani $\mathrm{z}=$ ezoa -ki -heta natyo $\mathrm{z}=$ aoka what COM ? 2 pl fall CAUS PERF 1 sg 2pl= say
'With what can you all make me go down again? (Iheroware)
(71) zoare maheta koreta xirikoita nozaitsenae?
zoare maheta koreta $x=$ iriko -ita no $=$ zaitse -nae what PURP bamboo $2 \mathrm{pl}=$ cut IFV $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ nephew PL 'Why are you cutting bamboo, my nephews?' (Txinikalore)

In constituent interrogatives involving nominal predicates, the question-word is clause-initial, but may also be clause-final, as in (74), where the noun is focused in clause-initial position. The questioned nominal form may be a demonstrative (72) or a full noun (73).
(72) zoare hare Jucilene?
zoare ehare Jucilene
what this? PN
'What is this, Jucilene? (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 1)
(73) zoare neare areka?
zoare en= eare are -ka
what 3 sg name ? DUB?
'What is your name? (ximatyati)
(74) kazatarene ala zoare?
kazatarene ala zoare
? FOC what
'Kazatarene, what is it?' (Kabikule-Daniel iratiti 2)

## aliyo, alyako 'where is', 'where'

There are two adverbial interrogative forms used for questioning a location: aliyo and alyako. Either of them can be used in verbal predicates, aliyo meaning 'where is' and alyako 'where'. Only aliyo can be used in nominal predicates without the copula, as seen in (77).
(75) aliyo nemaita?
aliyo $\quad \varnothing=$ nema -ita
where.is 3sg sleep IFV
'Where is she sleeping?' (iraiti Batsaji)
(76) aliyo /alyako atyo ala Bere zane ?
aliyo alyako =atyo =ala Bere zane
where.is where $=T O P=F O C$ PN go 'Where did Pedro go?' (AL)
(77) aliyo /*alyako zawati?
aliyo alyako zawati
where.is where axe
'Where is my axe?' (JT nawenane)
(78) alyako ite witsaohena?
alyako =ite wi= tyaona -hena
where =FUT 1 pl stay TRS
'Where will we stay?' (Formoso onetse)
(79) alyako ala koreta tyaona abe Alaoliro? 'Where is alyako =ala koreta $\varnothing=$ tyaona abe Alaoliro where $=$ FOC bamboo 3sg COP grandma PN
the bamboo, grandma Alaolidyo?

## aliyakere 'how'

The interrogative adverb aliyakere is used to question a manner. Examples (80) and (81) illustrate its use.
(80) zaneha aliyakerete witsaona? wiyekohatse waini,

Ø= zane -ha aliyakere =te wi= tsaona wi= yekohatse $\varnothing=$ waini
3sg go PL how =FUT 1pl= COP 1pl= chief 3sg die
aliyakerete witsaonehena nea hoka
aliyakere $=$ te $\quad$ wi $=$ tsaona -hena $\varnothing=$ nea hoka
how $=$ FUT $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ COP TRS 3sg say CON
'They went and he said: "How are we going to be? Our boss died. How are we going to be"?' (Bacaval wenakalati)
(81) aliyakeretala hatyohare? maiha zala aliyakere -ta $=\mathrm{la}$ hatyohare maiha zala how EMPH =FOC this NEG who hikoareha enomana Ø= hikoa -re -ha $\mathrm{e}=$ nomana 3sg come.out, show.up NMLZ PL 3sg= BEN
'How is this? Nobody came for them.' (tolohe)

The responses to content questions are declarative clauses with the questioned information fronted (82), or only a word that provides the information asked (83).
(82) A)

| zoaretatya | zokolata |  |  | notxi | Wakomo, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zoare -ta | -tya | $\mathrm{z}=$ | $\mathrm{o}-$ | kola | -ta |
| what EMPH | notxi | Wakomo |  |  |  |
| Wazoliye, Kerakoama? | LK arrow | EMPH | my.grandson | PN |  |
| Wazoliye | Kerakoama |  |  |  |  |
| PN | PN |  |  |  |  |
| 'What types of arrows, my grandsons Wakomo, Wazoliye and Kerakwama?' |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Txinikalore) |  |  |  |  |  |

B) Zolotoherare Eyahezare Zaolowirokatseta wokolata Zolotoherare Eyahezare Zaolowiro -katse -ta wo= kola -ta PN PN PN CLF:long ? 1pl= arrow EMPH nea
Ø= nea
3sg say
'Our arrows are made of zolotoherare, eyahezare, zaolowiro, he said.' (Txinkalore)
(83) A) aliyo atyo ala Bere zane?
aliyo =atyo =ala Bere zane
onde? =TOP =FOC Pedro go
'Where did Pedro go?' (Batsaji iraiti)

```
B)Tangará! eye babera hare toli tyomitere ala waiya
    Tangará eye babera hare toli }\varnothing=\mathrm{ tyoma -ite -re =ala Ø= waiya
    PN this paper ? a lot 3sg do IFV NMLZ =FOC 3sg see
    maheta
    maheta
    PURP
```

'Tangará city! He went to see the paperwork he is doing.' (Batsaji iraiti)

### 7.4.1.1 Complex question words

Complex question words are formed by the interrogative particle zoana, as shown in Table 98.

Table 98: Complex question words

| Form | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: |
| zoana zowaka | 'when?' |
| zoana heko | 'at what moment?' |
| zoanere | 'how much?' |
| zoanama | 'how many?' |
| zoanere hoka | 'why?' |

The question word zoanere 'how much' is formed by the interrogative zoana and the nominalizer -re,. The question words zoana or zoanere together with the connector hoka means 'why' (87). Zoanama 'how many' has a suffix -ma whose meaning is not clear, but may mean 'quantity' (85). The form zoana heko 'when' includes the noun heko 'moment' (86).
(84) zoanere koho?
zoane -re koho
INT NMLZ basket
'How much is the basket?' (E)
(85) kirakahare awitxiraore zoanamala?
kirakahare awitxira -ore zoanama =la
animal wait.IMP EMPH how many =FOC
'Animals, wait, how many...?' (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 1)
(86) zoana heko ala ehokotyoita?
zoana heko =ala $\quad \varnothing=$ ehoko -tyoa -ita
INT time $=$ FOC 3sg lay down INTR IFV
'When is he lying down?' (Txinikalore)
(87) zoanere ala hoka Owihoko kaiyaretyaha ali? zoane -re ala hoka Owihoko ka- iyare -tya -ha ali INT NMLZ FOC CON Owihoko ATTR name VBLZ PL here 'Why is it named Owihoko?' (JT nawenane)

### 7.4.1.2 Indefinite pronouns

The same interrogative forms can be used as indefinite pronouns together with the dubitative zamani or the negative maiha. Table 99 shows the indefinite pronouns derived from interrogative words.

Table 99: Indefinite pronouns

| Paresi | Some-series | No-series |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| person | zala zamani | maiha zala |
| thing | zoare zamani, zoalini | maiha zoare |
| place | aliyo zamani | maiha alyako |
| time | not attested | maiha zoana heko |
| manner | aliyakere zamani | maiha aliyakere |

In (88), the interrogative zoare is used with the negative particle meaning 'nothing'. In (89), zoare takes the suffix -ni having a meaning of 'something':
(88) kawiyatyahitaha zaore ala maiha zoare tsemareha Ø= kawiya -tya -h -ita -ha zaore ala maiha zoare $\varnothing=$ tsema -re -ha 3sg shout TH PL IFV PL FRUST FOC NEG what 3sg hear NMLZ PL hekoti hoka hekoti hoka at least CON
'They were shouting, but unfortunately they did not hear anything.' (Dirizonae)
(89) owene zoalini toli tyokahitaha
owene zoare -ni toli $\varnothing=$ tyoka -h -ita -ha there what ? a lot 3sg sit PL IFV PL 'There is a lot of something sitting there.' (Katomo nali)

### 7.4.2 Polar interrogatives

In Paresi, polar questions are expressed by using a rising intonation at the left edge of the clause or less frequently the interrogative particle zoana. Generally the focused questioned argument is fronted, and the verb is clause-final, as shown in the examples below. In declarative clauses, the intonation is flat with some rising in stressed syllables of words carrying important information.In imperatives the intonation is rising towards the right edge of the clause (see §7.5).

Examples of polar questions are given in (90) to (93). (90) questions time; (91) questions the agent of the action; (92) questions an action, and (93) a location.
(90) makanika ite, xiyane, ama?
makani -ka =ite $x i=y a n e ~ m a m a ~$
tomorrow DUB $=$ FUT 2 pl go mom
'Will you all go tomorrow, mom? ' (Batsaji iraiti)
(91) xitso, kafaka xitxiyehitita?
xitso kafaka xi= txiya -hitita
$2 p$ yesterday $2 p$ pass again
'Was it you all, who passed yesterday?' (Kabikule-Daniel iratiti 2)
(92) Dorala ozaka zaneheta?

Dora $=$ la ozaka $\quad \varnothing=$ zane -heta
PN =FOC already 3sg go PERF
'Has Dora already gone? (Katomo nali)
(93) nali kotyoi aitsaha?
nali kotyoi $\varnothing=$ aitsa -ha
there tapir 3sg kill PL
'Did they kill a tapir there?' (Katomo nali)

In polar interrogatives involving nonverbal predicates, there may be a demonstrative and the noun (94), or only an adverb and a focus marker (95).
(94) catxibo, hatyotyore catxibo?
catxibo hatyo =tyo -re catxibo
pipe that $=$ TOP NMLZ pipe
'Pipe, is that a pipe? (Katomo Aug iraiti)
(95) nalitatala?
nali -ta -ta $=$ la
there IFV EMPH =FOC
'Is she still there?' (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 2)
zoana
The particle zoana is an interrogative particle used in formulaic questions, in complex question words (see next section), and in interrogative alternatives (see §7.4.3). The are only a few examples of zoana in polar questions in my corpus, as in (98) and (99), so it is not clear when zoana can be used in this function.
(96) zoana ite wikoke wamoka?
zoana =ite wi= koke wa= moka
INT $=$ FUT $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ uncle $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ put
'What are we going to do with our uncle?' (iyamaka)
(97) zoana xitsaonita?
zoana $x i=$ tyaona -ita
INT 2pl= COP IFV
'How are you all?' (Kabikule-Daniel irati1)
(98) zoana hiya iyamaka?
zoana hi= iya iyamaka
INT 2sg catch flaute
'Did you get the flute?' (iyamaka)
(99) zoana Dora zaneheta?
zoana Dora $\emptyset=$ zane -heta
INT PN 3sg go PERF
'Did Dora go away?' (E)

Negative polar questions exhibit the negator maiha and the nominalizer -re or the progressive -ita similar to how declarative clauses exhibit negation, as shown in examples (101) and (102):
(100) hakolatene haokowi?
ha= kolatya =ene $\mathrm{h}=$ aoka - wi
2sg take 3 sO 2sg say SS
'Do you want to take it?' (E)
(101) maiha hakolatene haokowiye?
maiha ha= kolatya =ene $h=$ aoka -wi -ye
NEG 2sg take 30 2sg say SS NMLZ
'Don't you want to take it?' (ketetse)
(102) maiha baba tyoita maiha nita?
maiha baba $\varnothing=$ tyoa -ita maiha $\varnothing=$ nea -ita
NEG father 3sg come IFV NEG 3sg say IFV
'Didn't she ask whether my father didn't come?' (Kabikule-Daniel irati 2)

Polar questions are answered by the interjection hã 'yeah' and/or the repetition of the questioned verbal predicate in order to confirm the information. Negative answers simply use the negative particle maiha (104).
(103) A) awitxita ite tyoheta?
awitsa -ita =ite $\quad$ = tyoa -heta
soon IFV =FUT 3sg come PERF
'Is he coming today?' (Batsaji iraiti)
B) tyoheta ite
tyoa -heta =ite
come PERF =FUT
'He is coming.' (Batsaji iraiti)
(104) hokatya hitso hazolotya hoka zoana waitare ala hoka -tya hitso ha= zolotya hoka zoana waitare =ala CON FOC you 3sg grate CON INT ? =FOC malahitya
Ø= mala -hi -tya
3sg pull.off CLF:powder TH
Q: 'And did you grate? Did you all pull off again?'
maiha
maiha
NEG
A: 'No.' (Tolohe)

### 7.4.3 Interrogative alternatives

Interrogative alternatives are formed by the interrogative particle zoana and the epistemic zamani 'DUB' after each noun questioned. In (105) zamani occurs after the
nouns zotyare 'deer' and awo 'emu'. Another construction with zoana and the dubitative kore is (106).
(105) hatyo iya hiyaiya zaore tota zoana zotyare zamani
hatyo =iya $\mathrm{hi}=$ yaiya zaore tota zoana zotyare zamani
3sg $=I R R \quad 2 \mathrm{sg}=$ see FRUST straight INT deer DUB awo zamaniya? owene txiyehena maotikone awo zamani =iya owene $\varnothing=$ txiya -hena maotikone emu DUB $=I R R$ there 3sg pass TRS stupid
'You see it straight and you will see it, is it a deer or an emu? there, it is passing stupidly (zanekoare-JT)
(106) zoana oloniti haokita one kore?
zoana oloniti $h=$ aoka -ita one kore
what chicha 2 sg say IFV water DUB?
'Do you want chicha or water?' (E)

### 7.4.4 Questions used for greetings

Questions are also used for greetings. The most frequent ones are below. (107) is a morning greeting, and is used to greet visitors when they arrive in another village.
(107) zoana hitsaonita?
zoana hi= tyaona -ita
what 2 sg COP IFV
'How are you?' (E)
(108) zoaneretya xitso?
zoana -re -tya xitso
what NMLZ FOC? 2p
'How are you all doing?' (Kabikule-Daniel iratiti 2)
(109) hikaotse?
hi= kaotse
2sg awake
'Are you awake?' (Katomo nali)
(110) xikaoka?
xi= kaoka
$2 p$ arrive
'Did you all arrive?' (E)

### 7.5 Commands

### 7.5.1 Basic commands

There is no dedicated imperative morpheme, except for a few verbs that have suppletive imperative stems. Either a verb marked for transitional aspect and a personal clitic or a construction using the verb zane 'go' and another verb is used. What makes commands different from non-command sentences is intonation. The intonation changes according to the type of command. Invitation and request exhibit rising intonation, while order exhibit rapidly descending intonation. In (111), someone was offering me some soda. (112) is a polite request addressed to the young people and children who were in the house where the storyteller was telling traditional narratives. In general, polite requests are formed by using the transitional -hena plus ira, which may be an affective marker, or by using the expression haiya hoka. ${ }^{83}$
(111) hitserehena! hoka hifakate
$\mathrm{hi}=$ tsere -hena hoka $\mathrm{hi}=$ fakate
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ drink TRS CON 2sg= full
'Drink to get satisfied!' (Katomo Aug iraiti)
(112) zatsemehena =ira! zoimanae
za $=$ tseme -hena ira zoima -nae
$2 \mathrm{pl}=$ hear TRS AFF? child PL
'Listen children!' (Iheroware)

Both (113) and (114) are requests made during a conversation.
(113) hiyane one hakolaheta! hoka notera
hi= zane one ha= kola -heta hoka no= tera
2sg go water 3sg bring PERF CON 1sg drink
'Go bring some water for me to drink!' (tolohe)

[^60](114) hiyane hakawitsahene! malakahenete
hi= zane ha= kawitsa -ha =ene $\varnothing=$ malaka -hena =ene =te
2sg go 2sg shout PL 30 3sg pull.off TRS 30 FUT
miyatenete
$\varnothing=$ miya -tya =ene
3sg finish TH 30
'Go call them to finish pulling it off!' (tolohe)

Some speakers use the forms haiya hoka or haokariya hoka for a polite request, where haiya may be the proclitic $h a=$ 'you' and iya is a irrealis marker while hoka is a connector (115); and haokariya is haoka 'you want' plus the irrealis. However, this construction is rare and occurred only in elicitation; the form found in texts was the use of transitional aspect and the morpheme ira. More research is need to know how these forms are indirect strategies for expressing wishes.
(115) haiya hoka cafe hitsoma womana
ha= iya hoka cafe hi= tyoma w=om ana
2sg IRR CON coffee 2sg make 1pl LK BEN
'Please, you should make some coffee for us.' (E)

Orders (impolite requests) are marked prosodically by a rapidly descending pitch and lengthening of the last vowel; these primarily affect the last syllable of the clause. Example (116) is a quotation of an order made during an argument. (117) is an order made by the shaman, who was very upset.
(116) hatyaotseta "S. hiyanehetehenaa! nikareta
hatyaotseta S . hi= yane -hete -hena nikare -ta
then PN 2sg= go PERF TRS stop EMPH
hairawaiyaitsa natyo!"
$\mathrm{h}=$ airawaiyai -tsa natyo
2sg= blame TH 1sg
'Then: "Go away, S., stop blaming me.' (tolohe)
(117) Joao Antonio itse ene xiyane wairati hana xiyaa! hoka Joao Antonio itse ene $x i=$ yane waira hana $x=$ iya hoka PN give PST 2pl go medicine leaf 2pl catch CON noliriheta xiyainaira
$\mathrm{n}=$ oliri -heta $\mathrm{xi}=\mathrm{z}$ - ainai -ra
1sg apply PERF 2pl NMLZ raise POSSED
'The late João Antonio: go catch the medicinal leaf! because I will apply (the medicine) to the body of the one you raised.' (JT nawenane)

In addition, there are suppletive imperative verb forms. Table 100 shows the suppletive forms I found in my corpus. The suppletive forms, different from verbs in commands seen above, do not have a person clitic indicating second person singular or plural. Another difference is that they do not need to be in the transitional aspect, and can be unmarked for aspect (118) or else have the regressive marker, as in the examples below.

Table 100: Suppletive forms of verbs for imperative

| Verb Root | Imperative |
| :--- | :--- |
| itsa 'give' | zama |
| tyoa 'come' | witya |
| wahatya 'wait' | awitxira |
| nika 'come' | nikahena |

(118) zama howikihitya nomani kafe! hoka notera zama h= owika -hitiya no= mani kafe hoka no= tera give. IMP 2sg pour AGAIN 1sg BEN coffee CON 1sg drink 'Give me; pour some coffee for me to drink!' (Katomo nali)
(119) zamehena nomani noherokoli!
zame -hena no= mani no= heroko -li give. IMP TRS 1sg= BEN $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ drink POSSED
'Give me my chicha.' (hitsehaliti)
(120) tiyahotya,

Ø= tiya aho -tya 3sg cry path TH hiyaitso hiye $\mathrm{hi}=$ yaitso =hiye $\mathrm{hi}=$ wakatsehe 2 sg niece $=\mathrm{BEN}$ 2sg mistreat 'She was crying along the path, (and he said:) "Give me your niece, you are mistreating her.' (ketetse)
(121) witya hitserehena! coca kakoare
witya hi= tera -hena coca kakoa -re
come. IMP 2sg drink TRS coca COM NMLZ
'Come to drink with coke.' (Katomo Aug nali)'
(122) wityahenaira! kazokohatya natyo nea
witya -hena $=$ ira kazokoha -tya natyo $\varnothing=$ nea
come.IMP TRS =AFF, small ? TH 1sg 3sg say
'He said "Come, they are beating me".' (waikoakore)
(123) hitsohena koko! awitxira! nozaitsenae nea
hi $=$ tyoa -hena koko awitxira no $=$ zaitse -nae $\varnothing=$ nea $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ come TRS uncle wait.IMP $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ nephew PL 3sg say 'Come my uncle! (the uncle said) wait! my nephew.' (Txinikalore)

The imperative form nikahena 'move.IMP' is formed by the verb nika 'come' and the transitional hena. However, I have not glossed the imperative form as 'come.IMP' because it does not imply venitive motion, only motion, meaning 'move, act'. A frequent use of nikahena is when people are cheering for their soccer team, and they say to the players: nikahena, nikahena! 'move, move!'
(124) nikahena katorenae, wahikoahena matse
nika -hena katore -nae wa= hikoa -hena matse
move.IMP TRS brother PL 1pl come.out, show.up TRS field
waiyakitiya
waiyakitiya
world
'Move my brothers, we will come out of this field.' (Wazare)

| (125) eaotseta | nikahena |  | zoimahalitinae | nea |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eaotseta | nika | -hena | zoimahaliti -nae | $\varnothing=$ nea |
| then | move.IMP TRS | boy | PL | 3sg say |

'Then he said: "Move, boys!"' (hitsehaliti)

### 7.5.2 Hortatives

Commands addressed to the first person inclusive use a construction with the verb wiya 'let's go'. The form wiya may be used with the regressive, and it is andative (away from the point of reference) motion, while the form witya (second person imperative verb), seen above, implies venitive motion (toward the point of reference).
(126) wiya wiyaiya!
wiya wi= yaiya
let's go 1 pl see, watch
'Let's go see.' (ketetse)
(127) wiya wimahaza wiyeheta
wiya wi= maha -za w= iye -heta
let's go 1pl honey POSSED 1pl catch PERF
'Let's go catch our honey.' (JT nawenane)
(128) nanoloka kalahi hoka wiyaheta watsero ana witsa
na= noloka kalahi hoka wiya -heta $w=$ atsero ana $w=$ itsa
1sg pull pacu.fish CON let's go PERF 1 pl grandmother BEN 1 pl give
hoka holoka hoka, wanitsa
hoka $\varnothing=$ holoka hoka wa= nitsa
CON 3sg cook CON 1 pl eat meat
'I got a pacu, let's go give it to my grandma. She will cook and we will eat.' (JT nawenane)

A description of negative imperatives is shown in $\S 7.6 .5$.

### 7.6 Negation

There are two primary ways of expressing negation in Paresi; one is syntactic (by using the particles maiha or maitsa) and the other is morphological (by the prefix ma-). The alternation between these strategies appears to be conditioned by semantic factors. The derivational negator $m a$ - is very productive in Paresi, and it is a form attributed to Proto-Arawak (Payne, 1991; Michael, forthcoming). Interestingly, the tense and/or aspect
of the sentences are important in determining the type of negative construction that will occur in Paresi, including whether it will have a non-nominalized or nominalized verb. Finally, there is a structural difference between the simple and complex negative clauses found in conditional constructions.

### 7.6.1 Standard negation

Standard negation, i.e. negation in declarative main clauses, is expressed in Paresi with the particle maiha or its variants maha (a fast-speech variant) and maitsa. The forms maiha and maitsa can be analyzed as being formed by the prefix $m a$ - plus an unknown form iha and itsa historically. Examples (129) through (130) illustrate the positive clauses and their negative counterparts, with the intransitive verb waini 'die', and the transitive verbs aitsa 'kill' and tyakeko 'believe'.
(129) waini
$\varnothing=$ waini
3sg die
'He died.' (E)
(130) maiha wainita, tihenare maiha wainakatere maiha $\varnothing=$ waini -ta tihenare maiha $\varnothing=$ waina -ka -te -re NEG 3sg die IFV sorcerer NEG 3sg die TH IFV NMLZ 'He did not die; a sorcerer does not die.' (JT nawenane)
(131) aitsahene
$\varnothing=$ aitsa -h $=$ ene
3sg kill PL $=30$
'He killed them.' (E)
(132) maiha aitsahitene
maiha $\varnothing=$ aitsa -h -it =ene
NEG 3sg kill PL IFV $=30$
'He did not kill them.' (iyamaka)
(133) haiya tyakekota niraini
haiya maiha
haiya $\varnothing=$ tyakeko -ta $n=$ irai -n -i haiya maiha
IND2 3sg believe IFV 1sg talk POSSED 1sg IND2 NEG
tyakekore niraini
Ø= tyakeko -re n= irai -n -i
3sg believe NMLZ 1sg talk POSSED 1sg
'There are some who believe in what I say. Others do not believe in what I say.'
(Kamoro nawenane)

The negator maiha is preverbal, occurring immediately before the verb, as seen in the examples above, or before the object. The negative clauses are not identical to their positive counterparts. In (130) and (132), they exhibit the progressive aspect. (133) shows a verb with the nominalizer -re.

Paresi is a language which exhibits two types of asymmetrical negation constructions Miestamo, 2005): paradigmatic and constructional asymmetries. Paradigmatic asymmetries are related to the aspectual restrictions, and constructional asymmetries are related to the loss of finite morphology and the use of the nominalizer.

In nonnegative sentences there are two possible tense markers, ene and ite, and four aspects: the transitional which is marked by the suffix -hena, example (134), the imperfective marked by -ita (135), the regressive marked by -heta (§6.3.2), and the iterative hitiya:
(134) nazehenene ite
$\mathrm{n}=$ aza -hena $=$ ene ite
1 sg ask TRS 30 FUT
'I will ask it.' (E)
(135) naxitene
$\mathrm{n}=$ aza -ita $=$ ene
1sg ask IFV 30
'I am asking it.' (E)

In negative constructions, the future can be indicated either by the future marker ite or the irrealis mood which is marked by the clitic iya. Apparently there is no semantic difference between the two constructions.
(136) maiha ite zawaiyoloka hekoti nokakoi maiha ite $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{a}$ - waiyo -lo -ka hekoti no=kakoa NEG FUT 2p CAUS know FEM? at least 1 sg COM 'You all will never learn with me.' (Tarsila nawenane)
(137) maihayatyatyo naihonotitene waiye hareclamatya
maiha $=y a \quad$-tya =tyo $n=$ aihono -t -it =ene waiye ha= reclama -tya
NEG $=$ IRR TH $=$ TOP 1 sg cover TH IFV OBJ good 3 sg complain TH
hitsoheta hoka
hi= tyoa -heta hoka
2sg come.back PERF CON
'I won't cover your food because when you come back you will complain.' (iraiti Batsaji)

In addition to the change in the future tense, the difference between aspect markers is neutralized. Negated finite verbs, when marked for aspect, will be in the imperfective aspect. ${ }^{84}$ The unmarked form is not permitted, as shown in (139). The form -ita must be used in negative clauses, as in (138) and (140). This type of asymmetry, in which a contrast in values for a grammatical category is lost in negative clauses, is treated as paradigmatic asymmetry by Miestamo (2005).


[^61]```
(140) kafaka Quirino ali tyoa hoka maiha
    kafaka Quirino ali tyoa hoka maiha
    yesterday Quirino here come CON NEG
    emezanetse naxitene
    e= meza -ne -tse n= aza -ita =ene
    3sg table POSSED CLF:small 1sg ask IFV 3sO
    'Yesterday Quirino came here, and I did not ask him for the table.' (ketetse)
```

Paresi also exhibits another type of asymmetry called constructional asymmetry, in which some grammatical categories (such as tense, aspect, mood, evidentiality) found in affirmative clauses cannot be expressed in negative clauses (Miestamo, 2005:112). In Paresi, the negated verb may lose its finiteness by taking the nominalizing suffix -re or $-z e$, as in (141). Interestingly, constructions with -re exhibit a habitual or temporally nonspecific meaning, contrary to clauses with -ita, seen above.
(141) barato nika hatyohare mezatse hoka maiha wiyare barato nika hatyohare meza -tse hoka maiha w= iya -re cheap ? this table CLF:small CON NEG 1pl buy NMLZ 'This type of table is cheap, but we do not buy it.' (ketetse)
(142) barato nika hatyohare mezatse hoka maiha wiyita barato nika hatyohare meza -tse hoka maiha w= iya -ita cheap ? this table CLF:small CON NEG 1pl buy IFV 'This type of table is cheap, but we did not buy it.' (ketetse)

With stative verbs, the difference is that negative clauses with -re (or its variants) have a permanent meaning, while the ones with -ita have a transitory meaning:
(143) ketse mawehare maiha waiyeze
ketse ma- we -hare maiha $\varnothing=$ waiye -ze
knife NEG sharp MASC NEG 3sg good NMLZ
'The knife is not sharp; it is not good.' (ketetse)
(144) witsaodini maitsa waiyeta
wi= tsaodi -ni maitsa $\varnothing=$ waiye -ta
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ health NMLZ NEG 3sg good IFV
'Our health is not good.' (Bacaval)

The particle maiha is also used with stative verbs derived by the attributive $k a$-. The clause exhibits constructional asymmetry, using the nominalizer -re. However, another strategy is also used: negation through the prefix ma- 'negative' with the gender markers -hare 'MASC' or -halo 'FEM', as seen in (147) and (148). There is a slightly difference in meaning between sentences (146) and (147) which will be discussed in the section about the negative prefix $m a$ - in §7.6.6.
(145) ezawa maiha kakanohiye
e= zawa maiha ka- kano -hi -re
3s bunch NEG ATTR arm CLF:long NMLZ
'It has bunch, but it does not have branch.' (E)
(146) maiha nokaitsaniye
maiha no=ka- ityani -re
NEG 1sg ATTR son NMLZ
'I do not have children (temporarily).' (E.)
(147) maitsanihalo
ma- ityani -halo
NEG son FEM
'One who does not have children'/ 'she does not have children'/ 'my children do not exist.' (E)
(148) kalini hekota katxolo maiyanityohare tyotya hazerore iya haiya kalini hekota katxolo ma- ezanityo -hare tyotya hazerore iya haiya now time dog NEG wife MASC all fast IRR IND2
toli kakoa ozaka tyaonehitiya
toli kakoa ozaka $\varnothing=$ tyaona hitiya
a lot COM already 3sg COP AGAIN
'At that time Dog (the nickname of a person) was without a wife, soon he would be with a lot of (women) again.' (Kabikule)

In other Arawak languages which employ negative auxiliares (such as Achagua and Bare), finiteness asymmetries are related to the loss of inflection of the negative auxiliary (Michael, forthcoming). That is not the case with Paresi, and further research will be carried out to explain these asymmetries. ${ }^{85}$

[^62]One exception to these asymmetries are the existential predicates expressed by the existential verb aka. There is no special negative existential, and the verbal negator maiha negates the existential predicate. Croft (1991) observes that languages commonly lack a special negative existential. There is no neutralization of aspects and the nominalizer -re is not used.
(149) maiha kamatihera aka
maiha kamatihera aka
NEG iron EXIST
'There are no tools.' (iraiti JM)

### 7.6.2 Negation in nonverbal clauses

The negative particle maiha is used in transitive and intransitive clauses and in negative non-verbal predicates. In this section, I will describe negation in non-verbal predicates without a copula verb.

In nominal predicates, the negative particle maiha occurs obligatorily with the negative focus xini following the nominal head, as in (150) through (152). The same construction occurs with locational predicates, as in (153).
(150) maitsa atyo alitereze hekoti wairatyare xini maitsa atyo alitere -ze hekoti wairatyare xini NEG TOP true NMLZ at least shaman NEG
'It is not true; he is not a shaman.' (Kamoro nawenane)
(151) maiha wiwaikohera hekoti xini
maiha wi= waikohe -ra hekoti xini
NEG $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ land POSSED at least NEG
'It is not even our land.' (BO nawenane)
(152) maiha hinama mitxini xini, hinama kaimare maiha hinama mitxini xini hinama kaimare NEG two month NEG two moon
'It is not two months; it is two moons.' (JT nawenane) verb to lose its finiteness in all cases, since the progressive can also used with negated verbs.

'For the first time, they came to live here, but not here; it was there at the other side of the road' (Batsaji tahi)

Non-verbal predicates which are formed with the copula tyaona are negated by maiha, and these negated clauses always occur with the nominalizer -re. (154) illustrates a negative locational predicate while (155) and (156) illustrate negative existential predicates.
(154) maihata ali tyaonare
maiha -ta ali tyaona -re
NEG EMPH here COP NMLZ
'He is not here.' (Kabikule Daniel iraiti 2)
(155) ikiyawa hatyo escola za maitsa aldeia tyaonare ali ikiyawa hatyo escola za maitsa aldeia tyaona -re ali ? 3sg school NEG village COP NMLZ here 'If it was not for that school, there would not be a village here.' (Bacaval wenakalati)
(156) maiha alimaniya ezowaka kalore cidade tyaonare maiha ali maniya ezowaka kalore cidade tyaona -re NEG here side period, time a.lot city COP NMLZ
'At that time there was no big city on this side.' (cabeceira do osso)

### 7.6.3 Negation of constituents

In order to negate a part of a proposition, the particle maiha immediately precedes the constituent to be negated. The particle xini, a negative focus marker, follows this constituent, as shown in (157), where the particle precedes the proper noun Wazare. In (158), there is also the focalizer -tya.
(157) maiha atyo Wazare xini, Wazare zoimereza Mazare atyo aimahenene maiha atyo Wazare xini Wazare zoimereza Mazare atyo aima hena =ene NEG TOP Wazare NEG Wazare ? Mazare TOP ? TRS 3O aokaha
aoka -ha
say PL
'It was not Wazare, it was Mazare who caught it, they say.' (Wazare)
(158) Helena taitatya maihatya Branco, maihatya

Helena taita -tya aitere -ze maiha -tya Branco maiha -tya
PN only FOC it.is.true NMLZ NEG FOC PN NEG FOC
Bolika xini
Bolika xini
PN NEG
'It is true that it was only Helena, it was not Branco or Bolika.' (Tolohe)

### 7.6.4 Negation in interrogatives

Interrogative sentences exhibit negation in the same way as declarative clauses: they can occur with the imperfective or with a nonfinite verb marked by -re. Example (159), which is a negation of (160) shows negation with the nominalizer -re, and example (161), which is a negation of (162), with the imperfective ( $-i$ )ta.
(159) maiha hakolatene haokowiye?
maiha ha= kolatya =ene $\mathrm{h}=$ aoka -wi -ye
NEG 2sg take 30 2sg say SS NMLZ
'Don't you want to take it?' (ketetse)
(160) hakolatene haokowi?
ha= kolatya =ene h= aoka -wi
2sg take 30 2sg say SS
'Do you want to take it?' (E)
(161) maiha iyakatyo hiwawa hitso hiyaneta?
maiha =iya -ka =tyo hi= wawa hitso hi= yane -ta
NEG $=I R R$ DUB $=$ TOP 2sg alone you 2 sg go IFV
'Won't you be able to go alone?' (iraiti Batsaji)
(162) hiwawa hiyaneta?
hi= wawa hi= yane -ta
2sg alone 2sg go IFV
'Did you go alone?' (E)

### 7.6.5 Prohibitive constructions

Commands have no dedicated imperative marker in non-negative sentences: they either take the transitional -hena or occur with the verb motion zane (as seen in §7.5). The two strategies used to form a prohibitive construction are completely different from the non-negative commands: i) the use the particle maiha with the irrealis iya, as in examples (163) to (165); or ii) the use of the particle awa, as in (166) to (169). ${ }^{86}$

The first strategy is similar to standard negation. The use of the irrealis marker leads to two possible meanings for the sentence, a deontic meaning 'one should not do' or a future meaning. The intonation is the same rising intonation used for invitation and requests.
(163) maiha iyatya himahateneta!
maiha =iya -tya hi= ma- hatene -ta
NEG =IRR FOC 2sg NEG work IFV
'You cannot stay without working!' (ketetse)
(164) mama ene nehena: "maiha iya hiyaneta!"
mama =ene nea -hena maiha =iya hi= yane -ta
mom =PST say TRS NEG =IRR 2sg go IFV
'My mother said, "You won't go!" (Aug nawenane)
(165) mahaya holatita natyo!
maiha =ya $\mathrm{h}=$ olatya -ita natyo
NEG IRR 2sg tie IFV 1sg
'You should not tie me up!' (JG nawenane)

Constructions with the particle awa differ from both standard negation and positive imperatives as they do not require the verb to be in the transitional aspect nor use the motion verb zane. They have also rising intonation similar to standard negation with maiha, and feature a lengthening of the last vowel. Constructions with awa and the clitic ira and the transitional -hena are advice. The use of ira also makes the constructions a more "polite" prohibition. The intonation in (169) is different from that of (166) and (167), it is flatter and similar to declarative clauses.

[^63](166) awaa! awaa! waiya
nokoiliye haitsa
awa awa waiya no= koili -ye $\mathrm{h}=$ aitsa NEG NEG see, watch 1sg parakeet POSSED 2sg kill 'Don't do it! Don't do it! You will kill my parakeet.' (ketetse)
(167) awa hiwatyalii!
awa $\mathrm{hi}=$ watyali
NEG 2sg handle
'Don't handle it!' (Katomo Ag iraiti)
(168) awa ixikako kirakahare xirai!
awa ixikako kirakahare $x$ - irai
NEG period bullshit $2 p$ nephew
'Don't say bullshit during this period!' (Festa)
(169) ihiye atyo iraita awairatyo iniyalahare
$\mathrm{i}=$ =hiye =atyo irai -ta awa =ira =tyo iniyalahare
$3 \mathrm{sg}==\mathrm{BEN}=\mathrm{TOP}$ talk IFV NEG =AFF? =TOP ?
xitsomehena! awatyo!
$\mathrm{xi}=$ tsome -hena awa =tyo
$2 \mathrm{pl}=$ make, do TRS NEG =TOP
'He told him: don't do something bad! Don't do it!' (Toahiyere-NB)

### 7.6.6 The prefix ma-

In Paresi, nouns and stative verbs can take the prefix $m a$ - to derive privative stative predicates. This prefix can also be used as a means of negating subordinate clauses (see §7.6.7) In (170a), ka- 'attributive' ${ }^{187}$ derives a stative verb from the inalienable noun ityani 'son, daughter', and (170b) shows its negative counterpart with the negative $m a$-. The negative verbs derived by the prefix $m a$ - indicate that the subject of the predicate does not possess the root from which the predicate is derived.
(170) a. kaitsaniro
ka- ityani -ro
ATTR son, daughter FEM
'She has a son/daughter.' (E)
b.maitsani(halo)ha
ma- itsani -halo -ha
NEG son, daughter FEM PL
'They do not have children.' (E)
${ }^{87}$ See description of $k a$ - in $\S 7.3 .3$.
(171) a. nokaketserahare
no= ka- ketse -ra -hare
1sg ATTR knife POSSED MASC
'I have knives.' (E)
b. nomaketserahare
no= ma- ketse -ra -hare
1sg NEG knife POSSED MASC
'I do not have knives' (E)
(172) illustrates a privative stative predicate derived from the stative verb airaze 'be savory':
(172) a. airaze
airaze
be.savory
'Sweet-smelling'
b. mairazehare
m- airaze -hare
NEG be.savory, delicious NMLZ
'It is not sweet-smelling.' (E)

The privative derivational negator $m a$ - is common in Arawak languages, but its distribution in Paresi is different from that in other languages. In Tariana, for example, the negative $m a$ - occurs with obligatorily possessed nouns and numerous stative verbs, as a counterpart of the attributive $k a$-. In Apurinã, a Southwestern Arawak language, the negative marker occurs only with objective descriptive intransitive verbs.

In Table 101 provide lists of nouns and stative verbs that can take ma-deriving private stative predicates. These predicates can also function as nouns when used with the possessed marker -ne.

Table 101: privative stative predicates

| Form | Gloss | Form | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ityani | son | ma-itsani-halo | not having a son |
| haliti | Paresi person | ma-haliti-hare | not being a Paresi person |
| inityo | mother | ma-nityo-hare | not having a mother |
| etonane | walking | ma-e-tona-ne- <br> hare | be paralyzed (lit.: not <br> being able to walk) |
| hiyokaka | edible thing | ma-hiyoka-ka- <br> hare | not be edible |
| kirane | be small | ma-kirane-hare | not being small |
| waiye | be good | ma-waiye-ze | not being good |

The difference in meaning between choosing to use the syntactic strategy (the maiha particle) or the derivational/morphological strategy (the ma-negator) is a temporal difference. In (173) and (174), the difference is that in the first (a) sentences, the statement is temporary while in the second (b) sentences, it is a permanent state. Michael (forthcoming) identifies similar temporal differences between prohibitive and standard negation in other Arawak languages as well. For example, in languages such as Wapishana and Wayuu, ma- occurs in active verbs as a negative habitual (similar to the example in (174)). In §7.6.9, the co-occurrence of the two forms, ma- and maiha will be described.
(173) a. maiha nokaitsaniye
maiha no=ka- ityani -re
NEG 1sg ATTR son NMLZ
'I do not have children.' (E.)
b. maitsanihalo
ma- ityani -halo
NEG son FEM
'One who does not have children'/ 'She does not have children (E)

[^64](174) a.maiha tsemare
maiha $\varnothing=$ tsema -re
NEG 3sg listen NMLZ
'He does not listen.' (E)
b. matsemanehare
ma- tsema -ne -hare
NEG listen POSSED MASC
'One who does not listen, stubborn person'/ 'He is stubborn.' (E)

Inherently negative lexemes in Paresi may contain the negative morpheme ma-, such as the lexemes maotikone 'dumb' and the verb maotseratya 'lie'. However, these roots are bound, and do not occur without $m a$ in any other contexts.

### 7.6.7 Negation in complex clauses

In most subordinate clauses negation is expressed by using the clausal negator maiha similar to standard negation. Negation can occur independently in main or embedded clauses, as seen in (175) and (176):
(175) nowaiya [maiha waiye hakita] no= waiya maiha waiye $\varnothing=$ haka -ita 1sg see NEG good 3sg work IFV
'I saw that he did not do a good job.' (E)
(176) maiha nowaiyita [waiye haka] maiha no= waiya -ita waiye $\varnothing=$ haka NEG 1sg see IFV good 3sg work
'I did not see that he did a good job.' (E)

Relative clauses undergo negation by means of the clausal negator maiha (with or without the negative focus xini) or the negative prefix ma-. In (177), the relative clause zanehenerenae is preceded by maiha and followed by xini. In elicitation, the negative prefix $m a$ - was also used in a purpose clause, as in (179), though the required context was difficult to invent.
$\begin{array}{rlllll}\text { (177) koko } & \text { Xiro atyore } & \text { zane } & \text { batsaji } & \text { hikoahena, } & \text { [maiha } \\ \text { koko } & \text { Gildo =atyo -re } & \varnothing=\text { zane } & \text { batsaji } & \varnothing=\text { hikoa } & \text {-hena }\end{array}$ maiha uncle Gildo =TOP NMLZ 3sg go Rio Verde 3sg show.up TRS NEG tyore zanehenerenae xini]
$=$ tyo -re $\quad \varnothing=$ zane -hene -re -nae xini
TOP NMLZ 3sg go TRS NMLZ PL NEG
It was my uncle Gildo who showed up in the Rio Verde village, it was not the ones who went from here.' (tolohe)
(178) nitsa ite kawalo [ohiro maiyanetere]
$\mathrm{n}=$ itsa ite kawalo ohiro ma- iya -ne -ita -re
1sg give FUT horse woman NEG buy NMLZ? IFV NMLZ
'I will give her the horse that the woman did not buy.' (E)
(179) wakolatya nakairati homana himahokaka
wa= kolatya nakaira -ti $\quad \mathrm{h}=\mathrm{om}^{-}=\mathrm{ana} \quad \mathrm{hi}=$ ma- hokaka
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ bring food UNPOSS $2 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{LK}=\mathrm{BEN} \quad 2 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{NEG}$ be.sick maheta
maheta
PURP
'We brought this food for you to not get sick' (E)

Cross-linguistically, expressions with the verbs think, believe, and want are more likely to exhibit negative transport. That is, they present the negation of subordinated clauses in which the negator of the embedded clause is attached to the verb in the higher clause. In Paresi, there is negative transport only with the verb aoka 'say'. (180) illustrates an example with the verb awita 'say', in which the negator precedes the verb tiha 'wash' in the embedded clause. $\operatorname{In}(181)$, the negation occurs in the main complement-taking predicate aoka 'say', which receives the nominalizer -re, not in the verb zane 'go'.
(180) motyatyo [maiha Maria tihita] nawita motya =tyo maiha Maria $\varnothing=$ tiha -ita $n=$ awita FRUST =TOP NEG PN 3sg wash IFV 1sg= say
'I thought that Maria did not wash the clothes (lit.: 'I say to myself: "Maria did not wash the clothes"').' (E)
(181) hikoahenaha hoka katsani maiha [zanehenahitaha] $\varnothing=$ hikoa -hena -ha hoka katsani maiha $\varnothing=$ zane -hena -h -ita -ha 3sg show.up TRS PL CON DESID NEG 3sg go TRS PL IFV PL naokare nowawiro notyaonita n= aoka -re no= wawi -ro no= tyaon -ita $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ say NMLZ $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ be.alone NMLZ $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ COP IFV
'When they showed up I did not want them to go away because I was alone. (lit.: 'When they showed up I said that they should not go away because I was alone. )' (Fenare nawenane)

The negation of real conditions (182) and of hypothetical clauses is expressed by using the negative particle maiha, as in standard negation. The irrealis iya occurs in the protasis of counterfactual clauses (183).

| (182) | [maihatya | ite | zatsemita | hoka | tyotyata |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maiha | -tya | $=$ ite | za $=$ | tsem | -ita | hoka | tyotya | -ta 'If you don't listen, you will be arrested with your wife and siblings.' (JG nawenane)

(183) [maiha iya wabrigatyare hoka] maiha iya zoare maiha =iya wa= briga -tya -re hoka maiha =iya zoare NEG =IRR 1pl fight TH NMLZ CON NEG =IRR INT demarcação tsaitxita womana demarcação tsai -tx -ita w= om- ana demarcation issue TH IFV 1pl LK BEN 'If we did not fight for it, the demarcation would not have been issued to us.' (demarcação)

In negative counterfactual clauses, the negative particle awa occurs before or after the irrealis marker iya, as seen in (184) and (185).
(184) [iya
awa imoti
=iya awa
=IRR NEG katyatere hoka] hekota iya tyaonahitaha kalini katyate -re hoka heko -ta =iya tyaona -h -ita -ha kalini non=Indian NMLZ CON time IFV =IRR live PL IFV PL now 'If they were not taken away by the non-Indian Taviano, they would still be living here now.' (formoso onetse)
(185) [awaiya
awa =iya wi= meza -ne
NEG $=$ IRR 1 pl table POSSED
hatyokoa iya waterohokene haka wamokene hatyo -koa =iya waterohoko =ene haka wa= mok =ene 3 sg LOC =IRR ? move 1 pl put 30
'If I did not pull up our table, we would move and put [things] on it.' (ketetse)
(186) wakobratita [awaiya witso haliti indio kabixinae wa $=$ kobra -t -ita awa =iya witso haliti indio kabixi-nae $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ charge TH IFV $\mathrm{NEG}=\mathrm{IRR} 1 \mathrm{pl}$ person Indian PN PL hoka] mazaimanehare degratsaiya kalini hatyo hoka ma- zaima -ne -hare de graça =iya kalini hatyo CON NEG gift POSSED MASC for-free =IRR now that rodovia txiyeta rodovia $Ø=$ txiye -ta road 3sg pass IFV
'Today we are charging [a toll], if it were not for us Kabixi Indians, today that road would be free'

### 7.6.8 Negative indefinites

Paresi forms negative indefinites by using the standard negation particle maiha/maitsa to negate indefinite pronouns (see §7.4.1), which cross-linguistically is the most common strategy of forming negative indefinites, according to Kahrel (1996). These indefinite pronouns can also be used in questions as interrogative pronouns: zala 'who', zoare 'what', and zoana 'what'. In (188), maiha appears twice, the first maiha negates the verb haka 'work' and the second one occurs with zoare meaning 'nothing'.
(187) maiha atyo zala iraezeha ekakoa
maiha =atyo zala $\varnothing=$ irae -ze -ha $\mathrm{e}=$ =kakoa
NEG =TOP INT 3sg talk NMLZ PL 3sg =COM
'Nobody talks to him.' (iraiti-JM)
(188) maiha maiha zoare hakare
maiha maiha zoare haka -re
NEG NEG INT work NMLZ
'They did not do anything.' (iraiti-JM)
(189) maiha zoana witsaonare
maiha zoana wi= tsaona -re
NEG INT 1 pl COP NMLZ
'We did not do anything.' (Kamoro nawenane)

### 7.6.9 Double negation

There are cases of double negation when the particle maiha negates a privative stative predicate already negated by $m a$-. The double negation results in a positive meaning, with the negative focus xini emphasizing it. Example (190) illustrates the use of maiha and two privative stative predicates mazotyare 'not-red' and mairazehare 'notsmelling'. The speaker chose the use of double negation, instead of non-negation, because he wants to emphasize the change of state of the red, sweet-smelling pequi fruit which loses its color and fragrance.

| (190) maiha | zotere, |  | maiha | zotere, | awaiya |  | hoka | maiha |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maiha | zotya | -re | maiha | zotya | -re | awa | =iya | hoka | maiha |
| NEG | red | NMLZ | NEG | red | NMLZ | NEG | $=I R R$ | CON | NEG |
| mazotyare |  | maiha | mairazeharene |  |  | xini |  |  |  |
| ma- zotya | -re | maiha | ma- airaze | hare | -ne | xini |  |  |  |
| NEG | be.red | NMLZ | NEG | NEG sweet-smelling MASC NMLZ | NEG |  |  |  |  |
| tyaona |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tyaona |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

'It is not red, it is not red. If it was not that, it would be very red and sweet-smelling (lit.: it would not be non-red and not-smelling).' (kani)

In the next example, the positive clause with the attributive $k a$ - is used (191), and then the speaker negates the privative stative predicate in order to emphasize that they were really sad (192).
(191) kirakoane witsaona
k - irakoane wi= tsaona
ATTR have.pity 1 pl live
'We were sad.' (Aug nawenane)
(192) maiha mairakoanehare xini witsaona maiha ma- irakoane -hare xini wi= tsaona NEG NEG have.pity MASC NEG 1 pl COP
'We were very sad (lit.: our sadness was not a little bit)'(Aug nawenane)

In (193), the speaker uses the privative stative predicate, and then in (194), he/she negates the privative predicate.
(193) matsemakahare
xini iraiti
ma- tsema -ka -hare xini irai -ti
NEG hear PASS MASC NEG talk UNPOSS
'The saying that was never heard.' (Iheroware)
(194) maiha matsemakahare xini zakaihakere
maiha ma- tsema -ka -hare xini zakaihake -re NEG NEG hear PASS MASC NEG tell.story NMLZ
'the stories [they] told should be heard' (lit.: 'the stories [they] told should not never be heard'). (Iheroware)

## Chapter 8 - Clause combining

### 8.0 Introduction

In this chapter, I will describe coordination and the three types of subordination: relative clauses, complementation and adverbial clauses. Coordination involves juxtaposition and the use of the connector hoka. Subordination strategies are nominalization, juxtaposition, and the use of subordinating particles. The nominalization strategy is used for all types of clauses, while juxtaposition (parataxis) is used for coordination, complement relations, and for adverbial clauses (with the connector hoka), see Table 102. Nominalization is a common subordination strategy used in South American languages, and nominalization and juxtaposition are common among the Arawakan languages according to Van Gijn et al. (2011).

The description of certain constructions as involving coordination or subordination is not always straightforward in Paresi. For example, complement clauses and some adverbial clauses with hoka are syntactically juxtaposed, with no marking of subordination, similar to coordination constructions. However, semantically these clauses are dependent.

Table 102: Types of clause combinations and their encoding

|  | NMLZ | Juxtaposition | hoka | hiyeta | ezahe | maheta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| coordination |  | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |  |
| relative clause | $*$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| complementation | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |  |  |
| reason | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |
| conditional | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |  |
| concessive <br> conditional |  | $*$ | $*$ |  | $*$ |  |
| purpose | $*$ |  |  |  |  | $*$ |

### 8.1 Event coordination

Coordination "refers to syntactic constructions in which two or more units are combined and have the same semantic relations" (Haspelmath, 2007:1), and neither clause is syntactically dependent on the other. They can be expressed through juxtaposition in Paresi or by the use of the connector hoka. The semantic relation expressed by event coordination are conjunction, adversative coordination, and disjunction.

As seen in §4.7.1.1, the coordination of noun phrases is expressed by juxtaposition, and the same strategy is used for event coordination. These constructions express ordered events that are in succession and occur always in that order, as seen in (1), or occur at the same time, as in (2).
(1)
 'I was gathering there like this, and that old lady was gathering behind me.' (ketetse)

The connector hoka is also used to express temporal ordering of events (3) through (5) or unordered events (6). It either follows the first pair of coordinated clauses as in (3) and (5), each coordinated clause as in (4), or else only occurs after the last coordinated clause as in (6).
(3)

| Hatyaotsetala | witsaohena | mene | hoka, nikare |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyaotseta $=$ la | wi tyanana | -hena mene | hoka nikare | headwater.' (JT nawenane)

(4) awitsa ite nozani nowaiya hoka, nozani awitsa =ite no= zan -i no= waiya hoka no= zan -i soon =FUT 1sg go 1 sg 1 sg see CON 1sg go 1 sg nawahatene hoka, nixakene na= waha -tya -ene hoka ni= xaka =ene 1sg long.time TH 3 O CON 1sg shoot 30
'Today I will go there to see, and then I will wait, and I will shoot it.' (Katomo
iraiti)
(5) hatyohekotala wazerore ainakoa hoka, zane hatyohekota $=$ la wazerore ainakoa hoka $\varnothing=$ zane then $\quad=$ FOC type.of.owl fly CON $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ go 'Then the owl flew and went away' (Dirizonae)
(6) kahare ala waitsa, holokihityala, wanitsala, kahare =ala w= aitsa holokihitya =la wa= nitsa =la a.lot $=$ FOC 1 pl= kill cook meat $=F O C \quad 1 p l=$ eat meat $=F O C$ wanitsa, fetatyahala hoka
wa= nitsa $\quad \varnothing=$ fetatya -ha $=$ la hoka $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ eat meat $\quad 3 \mathrm{sg}=$ bless $\quad$ PL $=$ FOC $\quad$ CON 'We killed a lot, he cooked, we ate and offered. ${ }^{89 \prime}$ (emaniya)

Adversative and contrast relationships do not have dedicated markers, and may be expressed by juxtaposed clauses, though the frustrative zaore is frequently present and leads to an adversative interpretation. Examples without the frustrative such as in (9) and (10) are rare.
${ }^{89}$ Offerings are generally made of cooked food before people eat.
(7) Dirizonae ala haboatanetxoako zaore
Dirizonae ala ha= boata -ne -txoa -ko zaore
Dirizonae FOC 3sg boot POSSED big LOC FRUST
waiyakotya, maiha zoare hekoti
$\varnothing=$ waiya -ko -tya maiha zoare hekoti
$3 \mathrm{sg}=$ see LOC TH NEG INT at least
'Dirizonae was looking inside of the boot, but there was nothing.' (Dirizonae)
(8) eaotseta ena halakoare Kerakoama zaore
eaotseta ena halakoa -re Kerakoama zaore
then man one side NMLZ PN FRUST
xahenene, ekoatene
$\varnothing=$ xa -hena =ene $\quad \varnothing=$ ekoatya =ene
$3 \mathrm{sg}=$ shoot $\mathrm{TRS}=3 \mathrm{O} \quad 3 \mathrm{sg}=$ make.mistake $=3 \mathrm{O}$
'Then from the side of the man, Kerakoama shot, but he missed' (Txinikalore)
(9) barato nika hatyohare mezatse hoka maiha
barato nika hatyohare meza -tse hoka maiha
cheap ? this table CLF:small CON NEG
wiyare
w= iya -re
1 pl buy NMLZ
'This type of table is cheap but we do not buy it.' (ketetse)
(10) "korenatse harenaete xitseheta" neaha,
kore -natse hare -nae =te $x=$ itse -heta $\varnothing=$ nea -ha
arrow CLF:long also PL =FUT 2pl= give PERF 3sg= say PL
nozani
no= zan -i
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ go 1 sg
'They said: "Give us the rifle!", but I went away.' (JG nawenane)

In examples (11) and (12), a counter expectation or contrast is marked by the frustrative zaore in the first clause preceding the connector hoka.
(11) abebe inityohalotihena, zaore hoka maitsa witso
abebe inityohaloti -hena zaore hoka maitsa witso
grandmother elder.female TRS FRUST CON NEG 1pl
akere atyo akai neare]
akere =atyo nea -re
similar, the.same.as =TOP say NMLZ
'My grandmother is an old lady but she does not (say) akai (when complaining of
pain like we do).' (Enore)


The disjunction of clauses or phrases may also be indicated by juxtaposition with the epistemic modality of uncertainty zamani. ${ }^{90}$ In (14), there is an example of interrogative disjunction using the dubitative ( $k$ )ore.

| hihokaka | zamani, hiwaini | zamani, | zoana | kawe |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hi $=$ | hokaka | zamani | hi $=$ | waini | zamani |
| zoana | zawe |  |  |  |  |
| 2 sg $=$ be.sick | DUB | 2 sg $=$ die | DUB | INT | hurt |
| hamokoa | zamani |  |  |  |  |
| ha $=$ mok -oa | zamani |  |  |  |  |
| 3 sg $=$ put INTR | DUB |  |  |  |  |
| 'Either you get sick, or you die, or you may get hurt.' (toahiyere-NB) |  |  |  |  |  |


| one | haokita | oloniti ore | haokita? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| one | h= aoka -ita | oloniti ore | $\mathrm{h}=$ aoka -ita |
| ate | $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ say IFV | chicha DUB | 2sg= say IFV |
|  | you like chich | water?'(E) |  |

### 8.2 Subordinate clauses

Subordinate clauses are formed either through the combination of two finite clauses or through nominalization. The three types of subordinate clauses are: relative, complement, and adverbial clauses. All three types can use the nominalization strategy. Complement and adverbial clauses can also be formed through the combination of two

90 For details on modality see $\S 6.4$.
finite clauses.
Relative clauses can be marked for tense, aspect, mood, and negation (see chapter 6), but the marking is slightly different from that seen in main clauses. Nominalized relative clauses can be preceded by determiners, or occur with the nominal number marking -nae. There are two types of nominalizations used in these clauses: one with -re (in which aspect is marked); and the other without overt nominalization (the nominalized form occurs with the possessed marker -ne and no aspect is marked). Complement clauses in paratactic constructions differ from main clauses because they are usually unmarked for aspect and tense. Nominalized complement clauses can be marked for aspect and occur with the postposition kakoa. Nominalized adverbial clauses are unmarked for aspect, contrary to what occurs in other types. More detailed discussion is given in the sections below.

### 8.2.1 Relative clauses

In Paresi, predicates in relative clauses (henceforth RC) are nominalized. These constructions occur with the verbal suffix -re (for masculine referents) or -lo (for feminine referents) which is a marker of nominalization. There are headed and headless RCs. It seems there is no essential distinction between restrictive and non-restrictive relative clauses.

Nominalizations functioning as an argument of a clause are different from other types of nominalizations (seen in chapter 4). The nominalization involved in RC is a intermediate form between lexical nominalization and verb forms, similar to gerunds in English, see (15). By intermediate form I mean the nominalized RCs take morphology of both lexical nominalizations and verbs. The nominalizer -re occurs in both types of nominalizations, but nominalized RCs take aspect markers (the most common are the transitional -hena and the imperfective -ita (15c); the regressive is rarely found in texts with RCs) and personal marking used with verbs.
a. $\varnothing=$ moko-tya 'he hit'

3sg=hit-TH
b. moko-re 'beat' hit-NMLZ
c. moko-hene-re 'the one who was hit' hit-TRS-NMLZ

Headless RCs have the same structure of headed RCs, except that they lack a nominal head. They occur as the subject of the main clause as in (16), or as the object as in (17). In (16) the plural marker -nae attached on the nominalization replaces the head noun. Example (18) shows that a headless RC can function as a recipient, being marked with the postposition. Headless RCs are more common than headed RCs.
(16) [tyotya tyoketerenae] maiha waiyeze aoka
tyotya tyoke -ta -re -nae maiha waiye -ze $\varnothing=$ aoka all sit IFV NMLZ PL NEG good NMLZ 3sg= say 'He said, "All the ones who are sitting are not good people".' (E)

| [nenatyolo | kokotero | nola |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| nenatyolo | Kokotero | $\mathrm{n}=$ |
| ? ola |  |  |
| $?$ | mythical figure | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ game hunting |

anitsazatitere] waitsa ah
a- nitsa -za -tya -ite -re w= aitsa

CAUS eat.meat CLF:liq TH IFV NMLZ 1 pl= kill
'We kill the one who is eating the food of Kokotero.' (SZ kinohaliti)


Examples (19) and (20) have two noun phrases in apposition. The apposition is clear in (19) where kamati 'death' and wainihenere 'the one who die' with the adverb kafaka 'yesterday' between them. The evidence for the apposition in (20) and (21) is the pause between the two noun phrases. The headless RC in (22) is a dislocated topic
referring to the noun phrase mama 'mom'.

| kalini | kamati, kafaka | [wainihenere, | tyoa, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kalini kama | kafaka | $\varnothing=$ waini -hene -re | $\varnothing=$ tyoa |
| now death | yesterday | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ die | TRS NMLZ |
| hikoa | hoka |  |  |
| $\varnothing=$ hikoa |  | hoka |  |
| $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ come.out, show.up | CON |  |  |

'The deceased, the one who died recently, came and showed up.' (JT nawenane)
 'My desceased uncle Taronha, the one who Bocanho killed, they shot each other and that was not good, he came here' (Kotitiko wenakalati)
(21) mainikereta kotyatya ita awaezore,
mainikere -ta kotyatya ita awaezore
whole EMPH ? there awaezore
[walolohenere]
mainikere
Ø= walolo -hene -re mainikere
3sg= be.rotten TRS NMLZ whole
'It is whole, that awaezore there, the one whole is rotten.' (tolohe) ${ }^{91}$
(22) hatyaotseta mamatyo tyaonehetehena wihaliya
hatyaotseta mama =tyo $\quad \varnothing=$ tyaona -heta -hena wi= =haliya
then mom $=$ TOP 3sg= live PERF TRS $1 \mathrm{pl}==$ near, next to
[kirawanetere natyo]
Ø= kirawane -te -re natyo
$3 \mathrm{sg}=$ argue $\quad$ IFV NMLZ 1sg
'Then my mother came to live together with us, the one who fought with me.'
(Kotitiko wenakalati)

Headed RCs may be externally or internally headed. In externally headed RCs,

[^65]RCs follow the head noun in the main clause. These clauses most frequently exhibit the imperfect or progressive aspects (marked by -hena and -ta respectively). In (23), the relative clause is formed with -ita and -re.

'Yesterday, I went to see the manager of the Citylar store and this radio that I owe.'
(Ketetse)

There are also RCs headed by the demonstrative eze 'this'. There are a few examples of this type, and to date there is no evidence of a formal or semantic difference that these RCs headed by a demonstrative form a distinct class of RC as in Polish, where demonstrative pronouns head distinct "light-headed" (Citko, 2004).

```
ahekoita tyomaha maheta, eye
Ø= aheko -ita }\varnothing=\mathrm{ tyoma -ha maheta eye
3sg= think IFV 3sg= make PL PURP this
[hakiterenae,] [kahehaliti hakiterenae
Ø= haki -te -re -nae kahehaliti Ø= haki -te -re -nae
3sg= work IFV NMLZ PL illness 3sg= work IFV NMLZ PL
kakoa] tehitiya ahekotyahitaha
=kakoa =te hitiya }\varnothing=\mathrm{ aheko -tya -h -ita -ha
=COM =FUT again 3sg= think TH PL IFV PL
'They are thinking about the ones who work with illness (in the health service),
and they are thinking about this project.' (makani tahi)
```

| hoka maha | hakakoare | notyomita, | eze |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hoka maha | hakakoa -re | no $=$ | tyoma | -ita | eze |
| CON NEG | similar NMLZ | $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ | make IFV | this |  |

'Therefore I could not make them all, only the ones I know I am making.' (omatiZK)

### 8.2.1. $\quad$ Position of the RC with respect to the head

In externally headed relative clauses, the relativized noun appears to the right of the RC, as shown in (26) (where the head kawalo 'horse' is external to the RC). This type of construction is more common than internally headed RCs.


Internal RCs have the head noun within the RCs, and they precede the main clauses. This type of RC was only observed in elicitation. In (27), the head is ena 'man' which also is in the RC functioning as the object. The preferred constituent order in internal RCs is SOV (but not the only one).

| [ohiro ena | waiyehenere] | iya | kawalo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ohiro ena $\emptyset=$ waiye -hene -re | $\emptyset=$ iya kawalo |  |  |
| woman man $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ see TRS NMLZ | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ buy horse |  |  |

When verbs are not marked for the future tense, it may be hard to determine whether the head noun is inside or outside of the RC. The head noun kawalo can be analyzed as an object in focus position (before the subject) in the main clause (28), or it can be inside of the RC (29). However, there is evidence that the head is outside the RC when the main clause is in the future. In the future, the second position clitic ite 'FUT' occurs after the second noun phrase in the clause. In (30), the clitic occurs after kawalo indicating that this noun phrase is in the main clause. If kawalo 'horse' were inside of the RC, the clitic would occur after ena 'man'.
(28) [ohiro waiyehenere] kawalo ena iya
ohiro $\quad \varnothing=$ waiye -hene -re kawalo ena $\varnothing=$ iya
woman 3sg= see TRS NMLZ horse man 3sg= buy
'The man bought the horse that the woman saw.' (E)
[ohiro waiyehenere kawalo] ena iya ohiro $\varnothing=$ waiye -hene -re kawalo ena $\varnothing=$ iya woman 3sg= see TRS NMLZ horse man 3sg= buy 'The man bought the horse that the woman saw.' (E)


### 8.2.1.2 The role of the relativized noun within the RC

In this section, I describe the role of the relativized noun within the relative clause. The relativized noun can function as a subject, an object, or an oblique. When the relativized noun's role is indirect object or oblique, the preferred strategy is the internally headed RC.

## Relativized noun is the subject of the relative clause

Examples in (31) to (32) illustrate when the relativized noun's role is the subject of the RC. In (31), the relativized noun is the subject of the RC and also of the main clause. In (32), the relativized noun is the subject of the RC, and the object of the main clause.


## Relativized noun is the object of the relative clause

The examples in (33) to (36) illustrate when the relativized noun's role is as the object of the RC. In (33), the relativized noun is both the object of the RC and of the
main clause. Either object (direct object or recipient/beneficiary) of a ditransitive clause may be relativized, but I have not found examples of this in texts. In (34), the head noun netati 'necklace' is the theme, placed inside of the RC , and it is the subject of the main clause.
(33) nokaokiheta zoima [namokohenere]
no= kaoka -heta zoima $\varnothing=$ mokotya -hene -re
1sg arrive PERF child 3sg= hit TRS NMLZ
'I found the child, the one that I hit.' (E)

| [ena | itsehenere | netati | ohiro | ana] | waiyehare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ena | $\varnothing=$ itsa -hena -re | netati | ohiro | ana | waiyehare |
| n | 3sg= give TRS NMLZ | necklace | woman | BEN | beautiful |
|  | 'The necklace th | ave to | woma | beaut | bul.' (E) |

In (35), the recipient in the RC is the object of the main clause, and therefore, it is not marked with the benefactive. However, in another construction, the recipient has the benefactive postposition ana, evidence that it is inside of the RC (36).
(35) maiha nowaiyehitita zoimahaliti [olo Kezo
maiha no= waiye -heta -ita zoima -hali -ti olo Kezo
NEG 1sg see PERF IFV child MASC UNPOSS money Kezo
axikahenere]
Ø= axika -hene -re
3sg= send TRS NMLZ
'I have not seen the boy to whom Kezo sent money.' (E)
[Kezo itsehenere olo zoimahaliti
Kezo $\varnothing=$ itse -hene -re olo zoima -hali -ti
Kezo 3sg= give TRS NMLZ money child MASC UNPOSS
ana] maiha nowaiyehitita
=ana maiha no= waiye -hit -ita
$=\mathrm{BEN}$ NEG 1 sg see PERF IFV
'I have not seen the boy to whom Kezo gave money.' (E)

## Relativized noun is oblique in a relative clause

In elicitation, a relativized noun has been seen to function as an oblique argument in the RC. In (37), the head noun atyakatse 'stick' is inside the RC exhibiting the
comitative marker kakoa, and it is the object of the main clause. This example shows that the internally headed RC cannot follow the main clause, only an externally headed RC can be in that position. In (39), the head noun atyakatse 'tree' is outside the RC.


| nakeratya | atyakatse | [txihore | nehohenere] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| na= kera -tya | atya -katse | txiho -re | $\mathrm{n}=$ eho -hene |
| 1sg burn TH | tree CLF: long | door NMLZ | 1sg shatter TRS NMLZ |
| I burned the stic | broke the do | ith.' (E) |  |

A headless RC can also function as a comitative (40).

| nozaniheta | [hakitere | kakoa] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no= zane -heta | $\varnothing=$ haka -ite -re | $=$ kakoa |
| 1sg go PERF | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ work IFV NMLZ | $=$ COM |
| 'I went away with the one who works.' (E) |  |  |

In examples (41) to (42), the nominalized clauses express the time when an event happens but they are not considered adverbial clauses. Their structure is similar to the one seen above for relative clauses. They are relative clauses which modify the head nouns zowaka or heko 'moment'.

| nali | kotyoi | ali | zowaka | [nixahenere] |  | nika |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nali | kotyoi | ali | zowaka | $\mathrm{ni}=$ xa |  | -hene | -re | nika |
| there | tapir | here | time | $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ shoot | TRS | NMLZ | $?$ |  |
| ita | ezoa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ita | ezoa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| right here | fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

'The tapir is there, at the time when I shot it, it fell down over here.' (Katomo nali)

| [wamokazahenere] |  |  |  | heko | kahare | kolomi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wa= moka | -za | -hene | -re | heko | kahare | kolomi |
| $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ put | CLF:liquid | TRS | NMLZ | moment | a.lot | type.of.fish |
| wiyaiya | hoka |  |  |  |  |  |
| wi= yaiya | hoka |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ see | CON |  |  |  |  |  |

'At the moment we were beating the fish toxin we saw a lot of kolomi fish.' (ximatyati)

Therefore, there are few restrictions on the role of the relativized noun in the RCs. A relativized noun can have the role of an oblique and all roles to the left of it in the Keenan and Comrie (1977) accessibility hierarchy in (43).

## (43) Subject $>$ Direct Object $>$ Indirect Object $>$ Oblique $>$ Genitive $>$ Object of Comparison

Example (44) shows that a reading in which the possessor is the relativized noun with a headed RC is not possible. The relativized noun must be the noun halanatse 'dog' possessed by ohiro 'woman'.
(44) ohiro halanatse, [tyalohenere Justino,] waini
ohiro halanatse $\varnothing=$ tyalo -hene -re Justino $\varnothing=$ waini woman dog 3sg= bite TRS NMLZ Justino 3sg= die
'The woman's dog that bit Justino died'/ *The woman whose dog bit Justino died.'
(E)

### 8.2.2 Complementation

I follow Cristofaro (2003:95) in defining complementation as relations which "link two subordinate clauses such that one of them [the main one] entails that another one [the dependent one] is referred to", since this definition is more appropriate for Paresi
than Noonan's (2007) more restrictive definition of complementation as when a notional sentence or predication functions as an argument of a predicate (Noonan, 2007). Based on the morphology of the complement types, there are two types of complementation in Paresi: paratactic complementation and nominalized complements. All classes of complement-taking predicates occur in paratactic complementation. Most of them may also occur with nominalized complements, but this complementation strategy was found only in elicitation. Complement predicates are generally unmarked for aspect (but there are a few exceptions) because time reference is marked in the complement-taking predicate. However, more work about which TAM markers can occur in these predicates still needs to be done.

### 8.2.2.1 Paratactic complementation

Paratactic complements exhibit the following characteristics according to Noonan (2007: 65): (i) they do not have any marking of coordination or subordination; (ii) each verb phrase contains a fully inflected verb; (iii) no special verb forms are used; and (iv) the predicate may agree with the subject, but does not form a constituent with it (as with serial verbs). Structurally, complementation is distinct from coordination by the fact that a complement clause functions as an argument of the verb in the main clause. As I will discuss below, constructions with the complement-taking predicates aheko 'think', waiyore 'know, learn', waiya 'see', tsema 'hear', and maotsera 'lie' (in examples (64) and (67)) are not clearly complementation because the predicates do not require a complement, and syntactically they look like coordination constructions. Paratactic complements occur with all types of complement-taking predicates: utterance verbs, predicates of propositional attitude, knowledge, desiderative, immediate perception, pretence and achievement. The word order of constituents in complements tend to be verb final, the same tendency that is seen in main clauses.

## Utterance verbs, propositional attitude and desiderative predicates

Paractatic complementation is found with both direct and indirect quotation.

Direct quotation precedes complement-taking predicates. The verbs used in quotation are the quotative verb nea and the verb aoka 'say' (which can also be used as a propositional attitude or desiderative predicate ${ }^{92}$ ). The quotative verb nea always requires a complement clause, as seen in (45) and (46). In (46), irai 'talk' is also used, but this verb does not require a complement; the complement clause is required by the verb nea at the end. The complements precede the complement-taking predicates.
(45) ["korenatse harenaete xitseheta!"] neaha,
kore -natse hare -nae $=$ te $x=$ itse -heta $\quad \varnothing=$ nea -ha arrow CLF:long also PL =FUT 2pl= give PERF 3sg= say PL
nozani
no= zan -i
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ go 1 sg
'They said, "Give us the rifle!", but I went away' (JG nawenane 1)
(46) hoka iraihitiya ["hiyane wiyeta nola
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { hoka } & \text { irai -hitiya } & \mathrm{hi}= & \text { zane } & \mathrm{wi}=\text { yeta } & \mathrm{n}= \\ \text { ola } \\ \text { CON } & \text { talk again } & 2 \mathrm{sg}=\text { go } & 1 \mathrm{pl}=\text { sacred.flute } & 1 \mathrm{sg}=\text { game hunting }\end{array}$ hamaira nozai Koima!"] nea
ha= maira nozai Koima $\varnothing=$ nea
3sg= be.afraid my nephew PN 3sg= say
'And he said again, "My nephew Koima, go fishing for the food of our sacred flute!"' (iyamaka-BO)

The verb aoka 'say' is used in both direct (47) and indirect quotations (48).

| $[" z o i m a h a l o t i ~$ | waini"] | aokaha <br> zoimahalo -ti$\quad \varnothing=$ waini |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\varnothing=$ aoka -ha |  |  |

girl UNPOSS $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ die $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ say $\quad$ PL 'They said "she died when she was a girl".' (Katomo Aug nali)
(48) ali baba tyaona aoka
ali baba tyaona $\varnothing=$ aoka
here dad become 3sg= say
'My father said he was born here' (Bacaval wenakalati)

The verb aoka 'say' is used as a propositional attitude predicate with the meaning

[^66] They are interchangeable as propositional or desiderative predicates.
'say to oneself. ${ }^{33}$ The complements precede the complement-taking predicate, as seen in (49) and (50). In (51), the use of the noun ezahekola 'his/ their thought' in the beginning of the clause is also indication that aoka means 'say to oneself'.

| [zeneia | Ponenga | zoaha | nikala | bitxo] waokita, | bitxo |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zeneia | Ponenga | zoaha | nika $=1 \mathrm{la}$ | bitxo | w $=$ aoka | -ita |
| bitxo |  |  |  |  |  |  |

'We thought that Zeneia and Ponenga were beasts, we thought it' (lit.: 'We said:
"Zeneia and Ponenga are beasts", we said it). (ximatyati)

| hoka [imoti | atyo | ite | nokaheako | hatyo | documento |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hoka imoti | =atyo | =ite | no= kahe -ako | hatyo | documento |
| CON non-Indian | =TOP | =FUT | $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ hand LOC | that | document |
| aokahitere |  | itsa] | naokita |  |  |
| Ø= aoka -h -ite | -re | Ø= it | $\mathrm{n}=$ aok -ita |  |  |
| 3sg= say PL IFV | NMLZ | $3 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{gi}$ | $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ say IFV |  |  | 'I thought the non-Indian will give me that document, the one he talked about, in my hand.' (lit.: 'I said: "the non-Indian will give me that document, the one he talked about, in my hand"') (JG nawenane 2)

 'They thought to them "maybe the line will be over", they thought.' (lit.: 'Their thought to them "maybe the line will be over", they said') (Katomo Aug nali)

Another use of the verb aoka 'say' is as a desiderative complement-taking predicate. It takes the subject coreference marker -wi, which is not used when aoka is used in a utterance or propositional attitude predicate. The complement-taking predicate and the complement may have a different subject, as shown in (52) and (53), or the same

[^67]subject, as in (54) and (55). In the latter, there is a cross-reference marker -wi. Complements precede the complement-taking predicates.
(52) [kala nenani tahi nozakaihakaheta xihiye] zaoka kala nawenane tahi no= zakaihaka -heta xi=hiye $z=$ aoka DUB life about 1 sg tell REG 2pl BEN 2pl say 'So, you all want me to tell you all the story of my life.' (lit.: 'So, you all said that I should tell you all the story of my life') (Fenare nawenane)

| [witsaona] | aokahitaha, |  | xitsotatyo | maiha |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wi= tsaona | $\emptyset=$ | aoka -h -ita -ha | xitso -ta | tyo | maiha |
| $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ stay | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ | say PL IFV PL | you all $\mathrm{EMPH}=\mathrm{TOP}$ | NEG |  |

'They want us to stay, but you all do not want us to.' (lit.: 'They said we should stay, but you all do not want us to".') (iraiti JM)
[kalini bazerenae kolatya natyo] aokowihena hoka kalini bazere -nae $\varnothing=$ kolatya natyo $\varnothing=$ aoka -wi -hena hoka now priest PL 3sg= take 1sg 3sg= say SS TRS CON 'Then the priest wanted to take me.' (lit.: 'Then the priest said that he will take me.)
(JT nawenane)
(55) [marechal Rondon ityani kakoa nirai] marechal Rondon ityani $=$ kakoa $n=$ irai marshal PN son, daughter $=\mathrm{COM}$ 3sg= talk naokowita $\mathrm{n}=$ aoka -wi -ita 1sg= say SS IFV
'I want to talk to the sons of marshal Rondon' (lit.: 'I said I will talk to the sons of marshal Rondon.') (JG nawenane 2)

Another alternative to express the notion of wanting is by means of the desiderative particle katsani, as in (56). In general katsani is used when the reference is the first person (57), but it can also be used with third person (58). The desiderative may also be used in the complements of the predicate aoka.

| katsani | Paula | olo | itsa | nomani |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| katsani | Paula | olo | $\emptyset=\quad$ itsa | no $=$ mani |
| DESID | Paula | money | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ give | $1 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{BEN}$ |

(57) [katsani Paula olo itsa nomani] naokita ene katsani Paula olo $\varnothing=$ itsa no= mani $\mathrm{n}=$ aoka -ita =ene DESID Paula money $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ give $1 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{BEN} 1 \mathrm{sg}=$ say IFV $=$ PST 'I wanted Paula to give me money; I said.' (E)
(58) [katsani Jurandir nozaniheta] aokita katsani Jurandir no= zane -heta $\quad \varnothing=$ aok -ita DESID PN 1sg= go REG 3sg= say IFV 'Jurandir wants me to go away; he said.' (E)

In negative constructions with aoka, the negation is in the main clause and not in the embedded one. In general, verbs in negative clauses are marked as nonfinite by the nominalizer -re. The main predicate of complement clauses is also marked by this nominalizer in negative clauses. In (59), the negation is in the main complement-taking predicate aoka 'say' which receives the nominalizer -re; if the negation were in the verb zane 'go', the form would be naoka.
(59) maiha [zanehitaha] naokare maiha $\varnothing=$ zane -ha -ita -ha n= aoka -re NEG 3sg= go PL IFV PL 1sg say NMLZ
'I do not want them to go.' (Fenare nawenane)

In addition to the verb aoka, the propositional attitude predicate aheko 'think' may appear with a complement clause, as in (60).

| nahekoita | [kafaka | Jura | weta | kaoka $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ | aheko | -ita | kafaka | Jura |
| weta | $\emptyset=$ kaoka |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ think | IFV | yesterday | PN | early |
| 'I think Jura | 3rrived $=$ arrive |  |  |  |

## Predicates of knowledge

The predicate of knowledge waiyore 'know, learn' may occur with another clause
but it does not require a complement, nor is there a marker of dependency. The classification of a construction as a complement clause is not always straightforward. Syntactically, constructions like the ones in (61) and (62) may be analyzed as coordination by juxtaposition. On the other hand, waiyore occurs with juxtaposed clauses that function as its semantic arguments. Therefore, these clauses may be treated as waiyore's complements.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { ah [tyotya } & \text { notyomita }] & \text { nawaiyolo }  \tag{61}\\
\text { tyotya } & \text { no= tyomi }- \text {-ta } & \text { na= waiyo -lo } \\
\text { everything, all } & \text { 1sg= make IFV } & 1 \mathrm{sg}=\text { know } & \text { NMLZ }
\end{array}
$$

'Ah, I know how to make everything/ Ah, I know; I make everything.' (Tarsila nawenane)

| wawaiyore | atyo | [wola | waitxita] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wa= waiyore | atyo | $\mathrm{w}=$ ola | $\mathrm{w}=$ aitx -ita |
| 1 pl know | TOP | 1 pl game hunting | 1 pl kill IFV |

'We learned how to kill game/ We learned; we killed game.' (JT nawenane)

## Immediate perception, achievement and pretence predicates

The following perception predicates may be analyzed as occurring with complements: waiya 'see' and tsema 'hear'. On one hand, one could argue that the embedded clause functions semantically as an argument of the main clause. On the other hand, these constructions do not show any marking of subordination, like coordination, and the verbs do not require a complement. In the examples below, both translations provided are possible. The same occurs with the achievement predicate otya 'remember', as in (66) and (67).

'She was shouting, Preto saw Poniya standing very close to the anaconda and
shouting./ She was shouting, Preto saw; Poniya was standing very close to the anaconda and shouting.' (ximatyati)

| nowaiyita | [maiha hokakita | xini] |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no= waiya -ita | maiha $\varnothing=$ hokaka | -ita | xini |
| 1sg see IFV NEG 3 sg $=$ be.sick | IFV | NEG |  |
| 'I saw that he is not sick./ I saw; he is not sick.' (E) |  |  |  |


| watsema | [zane | mairatyaha $]$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wa $=$ tsema | $\varnothing=$ zane | $\varnothing=$ maira | -tya | -ha |
| 1 pl | hear | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ go | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ fish | TH | PL

'I heard they went fishing./ I heard; they went fishing.' (E)

to my mom .' (E)

| maotseratita |  | nika | [hatyola | zola | waita |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\emptyset=$ maotsera | -t | -ita | nika | hatyo $=$ la | $\mathrm{z}=$ | ola |$\quad$ waita (Katomo Aug iraiti)

One piece of evidence that a construction of this type may actually be coordination comes from examples such as (68) and (69). It is possible to have a pronominal object marker attached to the verb. The pronominal object marker cannot cooccur with the object noun phrase, and therefore the following clause cannot be interpreted as a complement.

| nowaiyitene | [maiha hokakita | xini] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no $=$ waiya -ita $=$ ene | maiha $\quad \varnothing=$ hokaka -ita | xini |
| 1 sg see IFV 3sO | NEG $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ be.sick IFV | NEG |
| 'I am seeing it; he is not sick' (E) |  |  |


| namaotseratene | [maiha | natyo | xini | nokaiwene] |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| na $=$ maotsera | -t $=$ ene | maiha | natyo | xini | no $=$ kaiwa $=$ ene |
| 1sg= lie | TH $=30$ | NEG | 1 sg | NEG | $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ steal $=3 \mathrm{O}$ |
| 'I denied it; I stole it.' (E) |  |  |  |  |  |

### 8.2.2.2 Nominalized complements

In Paresi, two types of nominalizations can be used as a complement strategy: nominalization with no overt morpheme and with the nominalizer -re. Examples of these constructions were only rarely found in my text corpus, and the examples below come from elicitation. Almost all types of complement-taking predicates occur with nominalizations: utterance verbs, propositional attitude predicates, predicates of knowledge, desiderative, immediate perception, and pretence predicates (one exception may be achievement predicates ${ }^{94}$ ).

Complement predicates nominalized with -re are structurally similar to RCs because they can also take the aspect markers -hena 'TRS' or -ita 'IFV'. However, different from RCs, nominalized verbs functioning as complements can take the postposition kakoa 'comitative'. The other type of nominalization is the zero nominalization. This nominalized form occurs with the possessed marker -ne. The only verbs in my corpus which can occur with -ne when functioning as complements are the following: maira 'fish', kaoka 'arrive', haka 'work', hikoa 'show up', and zane 'go.' (see examples (74), (77), and (78)). It is not clear why only this small set of verbs can take -ne (not -re). Silva (2013) mentions that only unergative verbs can function as a complement of a predicate. Furthermore, unaccusative verbs such as kaoka 'arrive' would occur as complements if they were nominalized and with the postposition -kakoa. As seen in the examples below, unaccusative verbs such as hokaka 'be sick' do occur as complements of

[^68]predicates (84). And other kinds of verbs beyond unaccusative verbs can be nominalized and take kakoa (73).

## Utterance verbs and desiderative predicates

Nominalizations using both zero nominalization and -re strategies were attested with the utterance predicates aoka 'say', in (70) and (71), and irai 'talk', in (72) and (73). Both types of nominalization may occur with the comitative kakoa, as in (70) and (73). The use of the postposition in these contexts is not clear, and further research will clarify its function.

| naokita | [waiye | wetonane | kakoa] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ aok -ita | waiye | $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{e}=$ tona -ne | $=$ kakoa |
| 3sg say IFV | good | lpl ? walk POSSED | $=\mathrm{COM}$ |

'I hope our journey goes well' (E)
(71) [nimezani manakata] aokita
n= imeza -n -i manakata $\varnothing=$ aok -ita

3sg= gather POSSED 1sg type.of.fruit 3sg= say IFV 'He wants the fruit I gathered.' (E)
(72) Kafaka Célio hiye nirai [nahahenere] kafaka Célio =hiye $n=$ irai $n a=$ ha -hene -re yesterday $\mathrm{PN}=\mathrm{BEN} 1 \mathrm{sg}=$ talk $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ work TRS NMLZ 'Yesterday I told Celio that I was working.' (E)
(73) kafaka nirai Maria hiye [tehohenere kakoa]
kafaka $\mathrm{n}=$ irai Maria =hiye $\varnothing=$ teho -hene -re =kakoa yesterday 1sg talk Maria $=$ BEN 3sg= smoke TRS NMLZ =COM 'Yesterday I told Maria that he was smoking.' (E)

## Propositional attitude predicates

Nominalizations created by both zero nominalization and re can occur in complements of the propositional attitude aheko 'think' and tyaikoltyakeko 'believe'. It is interesting to note that the verb kaoka 'arrive' may be nominalized and used with the possessed -ne (74), but tyoma 'make' cannot, as shown in (76). The zero nominalization in (74) is different from the nominalization in (75) because it does not take aspect
markers, and the predicate exhibits a pronominal clitic used only with nouns $e=$ ' 3 sg '. All complement predicates nominalized by zero nominalization have the possessed marker -ne. The postposition kakoa can occur with both nominalizations, as in (75) and (78).

| nahekoita | [ekaokene | ite | weta] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{n}=\quad$ aheko | -ita | $\mathrm{e}=$ kaoka -ne | =ite | weta

'I think his arrival will be early.' (E)
(75) nahekoita [hitsomehenere (kakoa)]
$\mathrm{n}=$ aheko -ita hi= tsome -hene -re =kakoa
1sg think IFV 2sg make TRS NMLZ =COM
'I was thinking about what you did.' (E)

| *nahekoita | hitsomene |
| :---: | :---: |
| aheko -ita | hi= tsome -ne |
| lsg= think IFV | $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ make POS |


| nahekotya | emairatyane | weta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{n}=$ aheko -tya | $\mathrm{e}=$ maira -tya -ne | weta | 3sg= think TH 3sg= fish TH POSSED early 'I think that he will fish early.' (E)


| maiha | notyaikore | [Celio hatene | kakoa] |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maiha | no $=$ tyaiko | -re | Celio | ha | -te |
| -ne | =kakoa |  |  |  |  |
| NEG | $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ believe NMLZ | Celio | work ? POSSED | =COM |  |

'I do not believe Celio will work.' (E)

## Predicates of knowledge and immediate perception predicates

The complement-taking predicate waiyore 'know' can also take nominalizations as complements. Example (79) illustrates a zero nominalization complement and the postposition kakoa. The other two examples are similar to RCs with ambiguous interpretations. Further research will test whether these ambiguous clauses are complement clauses (by kakoa being able to appear after the nominalization), or relative clauses, which cannot have kakoa after the nominalization.

'I won't go to the party because I don't know if she will be there.' (E)

| a. nawaiyoretyatyo |  | [ena | waiyehenere |  |  | zoima] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| na= waiyore -ty | -tya $=$ tyo | ena | Ø= waiye | -hene | -re | zoima |
| 1sg know T | TH $=$ TOP | man | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ good | TRS | NMLZ | child |
| 'I know that the man saw the child' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. nawaiyoretyaty |  | ena | [waiyehene |  |  | zoima] |
| na= waiyore - | -tya $=$ tyo | ena | Ø= waiye | -hene | -re | zoima |
| 1sg know T | TH $=$ TOP | man | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ good | TRS | NMLZ | child |

'I know the man who saw the child.' (E)
a. wawaiyore [oliti aitsehenere]
wa= waiyore oliti $\quad \varnothing=$ aitse -hene -re
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ know game hunting $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ kill TRS NMLZ
'We knew that he killed game'
b. wawaiyore oliti [aitsehenere]
wa= waiyore oliti $\quad \varnothing=$ aitse -hene -re
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ know game hunting $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ kill TRS NMLZ
'We knew the game that he killed.' (E)

Immediate perception predicates also take nominalized forms which occur with the possessed marker $-n e$, as in (82) and (83). The ambiguity seen above with the nominalizer -re does not occur with immediate perception predicates because nominalized forms with -ne cannot be interpreted as RCs. (84) shows a headless RC with the nominalizer -lo (the feminine form of -re). This construction is not a complement, as shown by the ungrammaticality of its use with the postposition kakoa (85).

| nowaiya | [nohokakini] |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no= waiya | no = hokaka -ne | -i |
| 1sg see | 1sg be.sick POSSED | 1 sg |
| 'I saw that I am sick.' (E) |  |  |


| natsema | [hiyanene] |
| :--- | :--- |
| na $=$ tsema | $\mathrm{hi}=$ yane -ne |
| $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ hear | $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ go $\quad$ POSSED |

'I heard that you are going away.' (E)
nowaiyita [hokakihenelo]
no= waiyi -ta $\quad \varnothing=$ hokake -hene -lo
1sg see IFV 3sg= be.sick TRS FEM
'I saw the one who is sick.' (E)
(85)

| *nowaiyita | [hokakihenolo] | kakoa] |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no $=$ waiyi | ta | $\emptyset=$ hokake -hena | lo |
| = kakoa |  |  |  |
| 1 sg | see IFV | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ be.sick TRS | FEM |
| = COM |  |  |  |

## Pretence predicates

I have a few examples in the corpus of pretence predicates taking nominalizations as complements, as in (86). It appears with a complement nominalized by zero nominalization.

| namaotseratya | [nozanini |  | wenakalati] |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| na $=$ maotsera | -tya | no $=$ zane | -ne | -i |
| awenaka |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ lie | TH | $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ go | POSSED 1 sg | village |
| 'I lied about going to the village.' (E) |  |  |  |  |

### 8.2.3 Adverbial clauses

Adverbial clauses are dependent clauses that correspond to the circumstances under which the main clauses take place (Cristofaro, 2003: 155). In Paresi, structurally, subordinate clauses use the same two types of structures seen in complement clauses: clause combination of two finite structures and nominalized adverbial clauses. Semantically, adverbial clauses are used to express simultaneity, reason, condition, counterfactual, concessive condition, and purpose. Concessive condition and purposive clauses can be used with both structures.

### 8.2.3.1 Clause combination of two finite structures

In this section, I will describe four types of adverbial clauses formed by the combination of two finite structures: reason, condition, concessive condition, and purpose
clauses. At first it is not easy to describe whether these constructions involve coordination or subordination. Syntactically, they show symmetry, that is, the two clauses have the same status, with no marking of subordination. However, semantically the clause with the connector is dependent.

## Reason clauses

Reason clauses are linked by the connectors hiyeta and hoka. The clause preceding the connector hiyeta is a reason clause, which can either follow or precede the matrix clause. The morpheme hiyeta has two functions: as a connector in subordinate clauses, and as a discourse particle meaning 'then'. Silva (2013:414) says hiyeta occurs to the left of the subordinate clause. However, in the examples where hiyeta is clause-initial, hiyeta is better analyzed as a discourse particle, and not as a subordinate connector. The dependent clause with hiyeta can also occur by itself, but hiyeta has a different meaning in this context: "Then she was already there'.

| (87) | [nali | terota | tyaonita |  | hiyeta,] | waiyolo |  | natyo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | nali | terota | Ø= tyaona | -ita | hiyeta | Ø= waiy | -lo | natyo |
|  | LOC <br> akeret | already | $3 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{COP}$ | IFV | because | 3sg= know | FEM | 1 sg |
|  | akere | -ta |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | the.sa | me.as IFV |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 'Because she was already there, she knows as much as I do. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Another connector used with reason clauses is hoka. These constructions are syntactically similar to the coordinated clauses described with hoka in §8.1. In the examples below, the clauses with hoka ${ }^{95}$ express a motivating event. In general they follow the matrix clause.

[^69]| awaizore | hiteretya |  | waiye | malakaka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| awaizore | $\mathrm{h}=$ ita -re | -tya | Ø= waiye | malaka -k |
| type of yuca | 2sg say NMLZ?? | TH | $3 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{good}$ | pull.off TH |
| [ehaotatse |  | hoka] |  |  |
| Ø= ehaota | -tse | hoka |  |  |
| $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ be.on.su | rface CLF:small | CON |  |  |

'This one that you say it is awaizore, it is good to pull up, its roots are on the surface.' (tolohe)

| maihatyo | zala | wihiye, | zala | hekoti |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maiha =tyo | zala | wi= =hiye | zala | hekoti |
| NEG =TOP | who | $1 \mathrm{pl}==$ BEN | who | at least |

kanaliyaotseze wihiye [maihatyo haliti
Ø= kanaliyaotse -ze wi= =hiye maiha =tyo haliti
3sg= look.after NMLZ $1 \mathrm{pl}==\mathrm{BEN} \quad$ NEG $=$ TOP Paresi.person
koni xini hoka]
koni xini hoka
among NEG CON
'Nobody looked after us, we were not among our people.' (Fenare nawenane)
(90) [kala eye kolaliye kalore seguraita neaha hoka,]
kala eye kolaliye kalore $\varnothing=$ segura -ita $\varnothing=$ nea -ha hoka
DUB this grass a.lot 3 sg= hold IFV 3sg= say PL CON
maitsa atxohaliyatyare
maitsa $\quad \varnothing=$ atxo =haliya -tya -re
NEG $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ weed $=$ along TH NMLZ
'They said this grass was holding (the sand), and they did not weed around.' (iraiti-JM)

## Conditional clauses

In Paresi, conditionals do not have a dedicated marker. Rowan \& Burgess (1969) and Silva (2013) analyzed the clitic iya (or its reduced form $y a$ ) as a conditional marker. As discussed in Brandão (2010), I consider the clitic to be an irrealis marker because it also occurs in negation (see §7.6). Another argument against the conditional analysis is that iya only occurs in counterfactual and concessive conditions, not in all conditional.

The connector hoka follows the conditional clause (similar to the constructions seen above in reason clauses), as seen in (91) and (92), which refer to habitual or generic
situations, and (93) which refers to a past situation:
(91) txinitse te militsa hitso [iniho hanoloka txini -tse $=$ te militsa hitso in= iho ha= noloka jaguar CLF:small =FUT scratch you 3sg= tail 3sg= pull hoka]
hoka
CON
'The cat is going to scratch you if you pull his tail.' (E)

| [irikati | aotse | hikahe | hamoka | hoka] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| irika -ti | -aotse | $\mathrm{hi}=$ kahe | ha $=$ moka | hoka |
| fire UNPOSS | place where | $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ hand | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ put | CON | hikerali

hi= kera -li
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ burn CLF:round
'If you put your hand in the fire, you will get burnt.' (E)
(93) [aitereze tyoma hoka] waiye kaitsehare aitere -ze $\varnothing=$ tyoma hoka $\varnothing=$ waiye kaitsehare it.is.true NMLZ 3sg= do CON 3sg= good EMPH 'If she really did it, then she will get better.' (E)

Examples (94) and (95) are examples of unreal conditions which refer to hypothetical situations. Like clauses with real conditions they do not occur with the irrealis marker.

```
aze "nixakenetya ite" nea owano
azeze ni= xaka =ene -tya =ite nea owano
older.brother 1sg= shoot =3O FOC =FUT say do.not.know
kato [waiya hekoatene hoka]
kato Ø= waiya h= ekoat =ene hoka
my.brother 3sg= see 2sg= get.wrong =3O CON
hemahakaitseretya witso
h= e= ma- hakaitse -re -tya witso
2sg= CAUS NEG ? NMLZ TH 1pl
'My brother said: "I will shoot him", I do not know brother, if you get it wrong,
we are in trouble.' (kozeto)
```

| [awitsa | ite | nowaiyehitiyene | hoka] | nazene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| awitsa | $=$ ite | no= waiya hitiya $=$ ene | hoka | n= aza =ene |
| soon | =FUT | 1sg | see again 3O | CON |
| 1sg ask 30 |  |  |  |  |

Unreal conditions in which one guesses what will happen are called predictive, and are marked with the irrealis iya, as shown in the examples below. Theses clauses are marked for irrealis aspect differently from independent clauses and the dependent clauses seen above (which do not occur with iya).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[\text { hatyo iyatyatyo }} & \text { ali } & \text { hoka,] tyoma hare nakairati }  \tag{96}\\
\text { hatyo }=\text { iya -tya }=\text { tyo } & \text { ali } & \text { hoka tyoma hare nakaira -ti } \\
\text { 3sg } & =\text { IRR TH }=\text { TOP }
\end{array}
$$

'If she is here, then she will cook food.' (iraiti Batsaji)

| [nozaneheta | iya | hoka] | Onizokae | amaikohare |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no $=$ zane -heta | $=$ iya | hoka | Onizokae | amaiko -hare |
| 1sg= go PERF | =IRR | CON | PN | be.sad MASC |
| 'If I go away, Onizokae will get sad.' (E) |  |  |  |  |

## Concessive condition

Concessive conditional clauses describe a situation in which the main clause event would not be expected. These clauses use the connector ezahe in preverbal position.

```
[hatyo imitinae hitiyayatyo ezahe maiha nabakaita hoka]
hatyo ima -nae hitiya =iya =tyo ezahe maiha na= baka -ita hoka
that cloth PL again =IRR TOP CON NEG 1sg pay IFV CON
nakolatya, maiha zoare ako festa zaoka zoimanae
na= kolatya maiha zoare ako festa z= aoka zoima -nae
1sg bring NEG INT LOC party 2pl say child PL
kiranezenae itxoita
```



```
ATTR AFF? POSSED NMLZ PL 3sg= come.in IFV
    'Even if I do not pay for the clothes, I will bring [them] otherwise the children
    won't have clothes to wear at the party' (iraiti Batsaji)
```

| [alita | ite | ezahe nowawi | notyaona] | maiha [alita |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ali -ta | ite | ezahe no= wawa -i | no= tyaona | maiha ali -ta |
| here EMPH nakikitsoa | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FUT }  \tag{99}\\ \text { nea } \end{array}$ | CON 1sg be.alone 1sg | 1sg stay | NEG here EMPH |
| na= kikitsoa |  |  |  |  |
| 1sg move.ou | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ | say |  |  |
| 'Even if I | y alon | n | Bacav | kalati) |

## Purposive clauses

Purposive clauses are followed by the connector maheta ${ }^{96}$ in Paresi. In (100) through (103), there are examples of purposive clauses with different and same subjects.
(100) kalikini ehare niraeheta [era notxikolaharenae
kalikini ehare $\mathrm{n}=$ irae -heta era no= txikolahare -nae
now this 1sg talk PERF ? 1sg descendant PL
tsemeheta maheta]
Ø= tsema -heta maheta
3sg= hear PERF PURP
'I am telling this now so my descendants can listen to it.' (hitsehaliti)
(101) [owene xiyayene maheta] nakolaita
owene $\mathrm{xi}=$ yaya $=$ ene maheta na= kola -ita
there 2 pl see 30 PURP 1 sg bring IFV
'There it is, I brought it for you all to see it.' (Wazare)
(102) [ako zane tomehaliyatya maheta] zane
ako $\varnothing=$ zane $\varnothing=$ toma =haliya -tya maheta $\varnothing=$ zane
LOC 3sg= go 3sg= kill.bird =along TH PURP 3sg= go
'He went to kill birds.' (katomo Aug nali)
(103) wakolatya nakairati homana himahokaka
wa= kolatya nakaira -ti $h=$ om- =ana hi= ma- hokaka
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ bring food UNPOSS 2sg= LK =BEN 2sg= NEG be.sick
maheta
maheta
PURP
'We brought this food so you don't get sick' (E)

Rowan \& Burgess (1969: 28) mentions another purposive conjunction ira which

[^70]was not found in the Paresi varieties I studied. Instead a similar form era was found only rarely in texts. The morpheme era precedes a purposive clause, as in (104). It is not clear whether era is a purposive marker ${ }^{97}$ because it can also co-occur with maheta, as seen above in (100). (105) and (106) show that they may be interchangeable without change in meaning. One hypothesis is that era is a formal subordinator form used in traditional texts and spells, as was suggested by one of the speakers.

$\begin{array}{lllllllc}\text { (104) } & \text { hatyaotseta, } & \text { nikahena } & \text { koko } & \text { mazazalane, } & \text { ainakoahena } & & \text { [era } \\ \text { hatyaotseta } & \text { nika -hena } & \text { koko } & \text { mazazalane } & \text { ainakoa } & \text {-hena } & \text { era } \\ \text { then } & ? & \text { TRS } & \text { uncle } & \text { type.of.bird } & \text { stand.up, fly } & \text { TRS } & \text { ? } \\ \text { witsera } & \text { woloza] } & & & & & \\ \text { wi= tsera } & \text { w }=\text { olo } & \text {-za } & & & \\ \text { 1pl drink } & \text { 1pl chicha CLF:liq } & & \\ \text { 'Then, come uncle Mazazalane! stand up to drink chicha!' (Wazare) }\end{array}$
(105) nozane namairatya [makani nokanakairi kohatse
no $=$ zane na $=$ maira -tya makani no= ka- nakairi kohatse
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ go $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ fish TH tomorrow $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ ATTR food fish
maheta]
maheta
PURP
'I will go fishing so I can eat fish tomorrow.' (E)
(106) nozane namairatya [era makani nokanakairi
no $=$ zane na= maira -tya era makani no= ka- nakairi
$1 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{go} \quad 1 \mathrm{sg}=$ fish TH ? tomorrow $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ ATTR food
kohatse]
kohatse
fish
'I will go fishing so I can eat fish tomorrow.' (E)

### 8.2.3.2 Nominalized adverbial clauses

Subordinate clauses used for simultaneity, counterfactual condition, concessive condition, and purpose clauses are formed by using the nominalization strategy. Similar to complement clauses, there are two types of nominalizations which can occur with these clauses: zero nominalization and nominalization with -re.

[^71]
## Simultaneity

The morpheme -natse functions as a simultaneity marker in nominalized clauses with -re, as illustrated in examples (107) through (109).
(107) haikoheta haikohetehitiya zakore [kala

Ø= haikoa heta haikoa heta hitiya zakore kala
3sg= come.out REG come.out REG again FRUST DUB
haikohetehenerenatse] iximarene akohitsa
haikoa -heta -hena -re -natse $\mathrm{i}=$ ximarene akohitsa come.out REG TRS NMLZ SIM 3sg youngest.brother make.small.gap
'He came back, but when her youngest brother came back, the hole was small.'
(Wazare)
(108) [memakerenatse] atyo maiha wahatse memaka -re -natse =atyo maiha waha -tse quiet NMLZ SIM =TOP NEG be.long CLF:small
tyaonare hoka hikoare
$\varnothing=$ tyaona -re hoka $\varnothing=$ hikoa -re
3sg= COP NMLZ CON 3sg= come.out, show.up NMLZ
'When it is quiet, it does not take too long and he arrives.' (Katomo nali)
(109) [nohaikoahenerenatse] olo itsa nomani
no= haikoa -hene -re -natse olo itsa no= mani
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ come.back TRS NMLZ SIM money give 1sg= BEN
'When I came back he gave me the money' (E)

## Counterfactual clauses

The clitic iya occurs in the second position in the first clause (regardless of whether the first clause is the protasis or the apodosis) in counterfactual clauses which express unreal conditions that did not or could not really happen. Different from the other clauses with hoka seen above, counterfactual clauses may exhibit a nominalized verb form, with the nominalizer -re marking the subordination. Negative counterfactual conditions occur with finite clauses, and it is unclear if nominalized constructions can also be used in negation.

```
(110)
nozani 
nokirahalo
no= kira -halo
1sg= be.tired FEM
'If I went to beat fish toxin, I would be tired.' (E)
(111) [documento ala kaokehenahetere hoka]
```



```
document =FOC 3sg= arrive TRS PERF NMLZ CON
waganhatya olo
wa= ganha -tya olo
lpl= win TH money
'If our documents had been found, we would have earned money.' (E)
```

The irrealis iya may occur in both sentences, but it is optional in the matrix clause:

| [notyomariya |  | hoka] | nowaini | (iya) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no $=$ tyoma | -re | $=$ iya | hoka | no $=$ |
| waini | =iya |  |  |  |
| 1sg= do | NMLZ | $=$ IRR | CON | 1sg= die |
| If | $=$ IRR |  |  |  |
| 'If I had done this, I would have died' | (E) |  |  |  |

The morpheme eko was described by Rowan (1969: 26) as a counterfactual marker. This marker appears in the corpus, as in examples (113) through (115). However eko does not contribute to the conditional meaning and can be left out of the clause. The morpheme eko also occurs in contexts other than in counterfactual clauses, as in (115). The meaning of eko is not clear, but it could be a variant of hekoti which means 'at least'.
(113) [aliya koezahalitsaha, ekoyatya txiyareha ali =ya $\quad \varnothing=$ koeza -hali -tsa -ha eko =ya -tya $\quad \varnothing=$ txiya -re -ha here $=$ IRR 3sg= laugh ? TH PL ? IRR FOC? 3sg= pass NMLZ PL tyotya hoka] koezahalitsaheneyatya tyotya hoka $\varnothing=$ koeza -hali -tsa -hene =ya -tya everything, all CON 3sg= laugh ? TH TRS =IRR FOC
'Here, they would have laughed at her, if all of them had passed, they would have laughed at her.' (iraiti Batsaji)

| [eko | nika | iyatyo |  | newaoka | ewatyalitse |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eko | nika | = iya | =tyo | $\mathrm{n}=$ ewaoka | $\mathrm{e}=$ watyali | -tse |
| ? | ? | $=\mathrm{IRR}$ | =TOP | 1sg tie.tucum.fiber | 3sg wrist | CLF:small |
| hiye |  | atilikare |  | hoka]... |  |  |
| =hiye | na | katilika | -re | hoka |  |  |
| =BEN | 1 sg | tie | NML | $Z \mathrm{CON}$ |  |  |

(115) kalikini atyo eko witsotehena wawahatya pedage
kalikini =atyo eko witso =te -hena wa= waha -tya pedagio
now =TOP ? we =FUT TRS $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ long.time TH toll
hoka eko wikaoloxita
hoka eko wi= ka- olo -x -ita
CON ? $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ ATTR money POSSED IFV
Today, we are waiting for the toll and at least we have a little money'
(Demarcação)

## Concessive conditional

Concessive conditional clauses are marked by the connector ezahe. The examples below illustrate concessive conditional clauses formed through nominalization with -re when they have different subjects (116) or same subject (117).

(117) [ezahe maiha hawaiyetyarene hoka] waiye
ezahe maiha $\mathrm{h}=$ awaiyetya -re =ene hoka waiye
CON NEG 2sg= like NMLZ 3O CON good
hamokene
ha= moka =ene
$2 \mathrm{sg}=$ put 30
'Even if you do not like him, you should treat him well' (E)

## Purposive clauses

Purposive clauses with non-finite verbs exhibit a nominalized verb form, with no
nominalizer marker but showing nominal (un)possessed forms, as in (118) through (121).
(118) hafitya natyo [hitemahahalone maheta]
ha= fitya natyo hi= temaha -halo -ne maheta
3sg plant 1sg 2sg be.soft? FEM POSSED PURP
'Bury me in a place where my body can be soft.' (kokotero)
(119) maika konare aitsehena [itsene

| maika | konare | $\varnothing=$ | aitsa | -hena |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cará fish | itse | nge |  |  |
| SUG | kill | TRS | give POSSED |  |

nohalateni maheta]
no $=$ halate -n -i maheta
1sg comb POSSED 1sg PURP
'Also ask my father to kill cará fish and give them to me for my comb.'
(kokotero)
(120) makani tyohena [ekolatyatse maheta]
makani $\varnothing=$ tyoa -hena $\mathrm{e}=$ kolatya -tse maheta
tomorrow $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ come TRS $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ bring POSSED PURP
'Tomorrow he will come to take her.' (Enore)
(121)
iniratyo kaomakita, [ehare erati inira $\quad=$ tyo ka- oma -k -ita ehare era -ti small quantity $=$ TOP ATTR make PASS IFV this drink UNPOSS maheta,] inira kaomakita
maheta inira ka- oma -k -ita PURP small quantity ATTR make, do PASS IFV
'Today it is made a small quantity [of chicha], when it is for drinking, it is a small quantity.' (oloniti)

## Chapter 9-Final considerations

In this chapter, I highlight the major contributions of this work. Among the major interesting typological features of Paresi are palatalization of consonants, a noun classification system with multiple classifiers, a verb classification based on agentivity, middle voice marking, the incorporation of postpositions, reality status, and interesting strategies used for coordination and subordination. Except for postposition incorporation and palatalization, these features were not described in previous works.

The phonology was the most studied part of the language to date. The palatalization phenomena were described here and in Silva (2013). The palatalization pattern shows a mixture of primary and secondary place effects, with different conditions on the targets, triggers, and directions of the process.

Another salient feature of Paresi is the noun classification system (§4.5). The morphemes treated here as classifiers were previously described as adjectives in Silva's work. Classifiers are classifying morphemes that refer to the consistency or shape of their referents and can be used with demonstratives, numerals, adjectives, nouns, and verbs. Labelling these morphemes as either class terms or classifiers, using common criteria in the literature, is not straightforward because they share semantic properties with class terms of Grinevald's classification (2000) but have additional morphosyntactic properties, such as their "agreement-like" function and the property of being incorporated into verbs.

The language has also a verb classification depending on the choice of proclitics (§5.2). One set of proclitics is used for agentive verbs and another for non-agentive verbs. The classification is largely, though not completely semantically based. The presence of two sets that can mark both A-subjects or S-subjects is a unusual across languages (in Arawak languages usually one set is for A-subjects and the other for S-subjects).

The relations among the middle voice $-o a$ and the coreferential marker -wi, and the reciprocal -kakoa and the comitative kakoa are very interesting from a historical point
of view (§5.3). The morpheme -oa may have been a historical reflexive marker which is used today as a middle voice marker with inchoative, body posture and motion verbs. The coreferential -wi acquired properties of a reflexive marker. The reciprocal -kakoa may be the source of the comitative kakoa based on comparative historical evidence, when more commonly postpositions become a reciprocal suffixes.

In the section about incorporation of postpositions (§5.3.3.3), I showed that Paresi postpositions are incorporated into verbs and are not applicative morphemes. The postposition incorporation may or not result in the promotion of oblique argument to a core status. Future work is needed to study the semantic effects of this incorporation.

Another typological contribution of this work was the description of the irrealis marker iya (§6.2). One could have analyzed iya as a counterfactual mood used in the contexts of counterfactual, concessive condition and negatives in the future. However, I decided to treat it as a reality status marker and not as mood based on Michael's (forthcoming) study of the reality status in Southern Arawak.

The last chapter on complex clauses was the first preliminary analysis of Paresi syntax which described nominalization and juxtaposition as strategies for subordination in all three types of subordinate clauses. I presented a discussion about the difficulty of establishing the difference between coordination and subordination constructions in Paresi. Some complement clauses formed by paratactic constructions are syntactically similar to coordinated clauses, but they are analyzed as a semantic complement of the predicate. Adverbial clauses with two finite structures also look like coordinated clauses, and the only indicator of subordination is the semantic relation between these clauses and their main clauses.

## Texts

## Batsaji tahi story

| 1 a | eye | wenakalati | tahi | kalikini | inira | nawaiyolini |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | eye | awenaka | $=$ tahi | kalikini | inira | na $=$ waiyoli | -ni

Today I am going to tell you a little history of this village until the time I got to witness and as far as I know.

2 nozakaihakatya inira maheta eye wenakalatya atyo no $=$ zakaihaka -tya inira maheta eye wenakala -tya =atyo $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ tell TH small quantity PURP this village FOC $=$ TOP hetati
hetati
in.the.old.days
I will tell a little bit of the story of this village in the old days.

| 3 | a | kala | mile | novecentos | e | cinquenta | e | nove | atyo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | kala | mil | novecentos | e | cinquenta | e | nove | $=$ atyo |
|  |  | EVID | thousand | nine hundred | and | fifty | and | nine | $=$ TOP |

hetati
hetati
in.the.old.days
I think in the year of nineteen fifty-nine, in the old days.

```
4 baba João garimpeiro Anitala ezanityo
    baba João garimpeiro Anita =la ezanityo
    dad PN PN FOC wife
```

My father, who is João garimpeiro, and his wife, who is Anita.

| 5 | a | Maximiano | dona | Petromilia |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | zoahatyo

and Maximiano and dona Petromilia


They did not live here, they lived there, more down where it is called the Tabidya's neck.
7 hoka hatyo zowaka mile novecentos eye ahoti txiya . hoka hatyo zowaka mil novecentos eye ahoti txiya CON that period thousand nine hundred this road pass
about nineteen hundred this road passed.
8 hoka hatyaotsetatyo eye ezoimalanae kalini Jofena hoka hatyaotseta $=$ tyo eye $\mathrm{e}=$ zoima -la -nae kalini Jofena CON then $=T O P$ this 3 sg= child POSSED PL now PN
Justino
Justino
PN
After that these youths such as jovenal and justino.

| 9 | a | hatyo | zoimanae | hatyo | Tabira | nihohozozo | akota | ali |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | hatyo | zoima | -nae | hatyo | Tabira | n $=$ ihohozozo | ako | -ta | ali |
|  | that | child | PL | that | PN | sg $=$ neck | LOC source | here |  |

Those children from Tabira often came here because of this road.

| 10 hatyaotseta | hetati | ali | kawenakarehenaha |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyaotseta | hetati | ali | k- | awenaka | -re | -hena |
| -ha |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| then | in.the.old.days | here | ATTR village | NMLZ IFV | PL |  |

after that for the first time, they made their village in that place.

| 11 | hatyonae | baba | mama |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyo -nae | baba | mama |  |
| that | PL | dad | mom |

Those ones are my father and my mother.

| 12 | hatyo | Maximiano | Fakianonae | Justinonae | neye | Petromilia | ala |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyo | Maximiniano | Fakiano -nae | Justino -nae | neye | Petromilia | $=$ ala |  |
| that | PN | PN | PL | PN | PL | father | PN | =FOC

Maximiano with his wife Petromilia, Antonio e Justino with their families.

| 13 hoka alihen |  | hatyo | hoka | eye | finado | Siarencio | velho eye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hoka ali | -hena | hatyo | hoka | eye | finado | Siarencio | velho eye |
| CON here | IFV | that | CON | this | deceased | PN | elder this |
| haiyanityo | dona | Apolonia |  |  |  |  |  |
| ha= iyanityo | dona |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ wife | lady |  |  |  |  |  |  |

when they were here, and decesead Siarencio with his wife dona Apolonia

| 14 | eye | Mariquinha | velha | ala | hatyo | a |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eye | Mariquinha | velha | $=$ ala | hatyo |  |  |
| this | PN | elder | $=$ FOC | that |  |  |

the elder Mariquinha
15 Siarencio velho nahahalo zoaha ali maniya tyoa
Siarencio velho $n=$ ahahahalo zoaha ali maniya tyoa PN elder 1sg= sister of a man and here side come
hetati tyaonehenaha
hetati tyaone -hena -ha
in.the.old.days live IFV PL
since the beginning Siarencio and his sister came here, they lived here in the old days.

| 16 ali | hetati | tyaonehenaha | ita | ahoti |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ali | hetati | tyaone | -hena | -ha | ita |$\quad$ aho



For the first time, they came to live here, but not here; it was there in the other side of the road.

where it is called "mocegueiro" tree, where there has only "mocegueiro" tree, it was clean not as it is now.

| 18 | tyaonita | hoka | hatyaotse | hetati |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | tyaonaha |
| :--- |
| tyaona -ita | hoka | hatyaotse | hetati | tyaona -ha |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| live CONT | CON | then | in.the.old.days |
| become PL |  |  |  |

first my father and my mother lived there, as they live until today.

| 19 a mama mama mom | ximalonenae ximalo youngest sister | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-ne } \\ & \text { POSSED } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-nae } \\ & \text { PL } \end{aligned}$ | Natalia <br> Natalia <br> PN | Terezinha <br> Terezinha PN | Inez <br> Inez <br> PN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hatyaotseta | hetati |  |  |  |  |  |
| hatyaotseta | hetati |  |  |  |  |  |
| then | the.old.days |  |  |  |  |  |

The sisters of my mother, Natalia, Terezinha and Inez they also (lived there).

because they married, people from other places were used to visit them.

| 21 | kalikini | a | a | a | hatyaotseta <br> hatyaotseta | kaiyanenehenaha <br> ka- iyanene -hena |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kalikini |  |  |  | ha <br> now |  |  |
| then | ATTR husband IFV PL |  |  |  |  |  |

Then they got married.
22 kalikini Okoizare ala hatyo fi a a Natalia kakoa
kalikini Okoizare =ala hatyo Natalia =kakoa
now PN =FOC that PN =COM
tyaonehena
tyaone -hena
become IFV
Okoizare married Natalia.

| 23 | Bokaino | ala | Terezinha | kakoa | tyaonehena |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Bokaino | $=$ ala | Terezinha | $=$ kakoa | tyaone -hena |  |  |
| PN | $=$ FOC | PN | =COM | become IFV |  |  |

Iyomowekatare atyo Bokaino ene ezowakiya hoka
Iyomoweka -ta -re =atyo Bokaino =ene ezowakiya hoka
Sacre I village SOUR NMLZ $=$ TOP PN $=$ PST period, time CON
Tanorehanatare Okoizar
Tanorehana -ta -re Okoizare
cabeceira do osso village SOUR NMLZ PN
Dito Bokainyo married Terezinha, he was from the Iyomoweka and Okoizare was from Tanorehana.


After this, Narciso married Inez, the youngest sister.


After that, this coexistence remains today, but the father of Marinho lived down here.
26 hoka hatyaotseta eye Marinho neye aitsaha hoka hatyaotseta hoka hatyaotseta eye Marinho neye aitsa -ha hoka hatyaotseta CON then this PN father kill PL CON then eye Cirila hare ali maniya ehaliyaha
eye Cirila hare ali maniya $\mathrm{e}=$ =haliya -ha
this PN also here side 3sg= =near, next to PL
Then, after Marinho's father was killed, Cirila came here to be near them.

| 27 ty | tyaonehetehena |  | kalini | Marinho | zoim |  | Maria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tyoa | tyaone -hete | -hena | kalini | Marinho | zoime |  | Maria |
| come | live RE | IFV | now | PN | child | POSSED | PN |
| Helena | zoimene | hare | tyoa | tyaonehe | tehena | ali | maniya |
| Helena | zoime -ne | hare | tyoa | tyaone | hete -h | ena ali | maniya |
| PN | child POSSED | also | come | live | RE IF | V here | side |

They came to live here fon that side, in the time Marinho and Maria Helena were children.

| 28 | hoka | hatyaotsetatyo | nikare | tyaonahitaha |  | hoka | kahare |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hoka | hatyaotseta | $=$ tyo | nikare | tyaona | -h | -ita | -ha | hoka | kahare

```
atyo ehare haliti tyoa hikoahitaha enomana
=atyo ehare haliti tyoa hikoa -ha -ita -ha e= nomana
=TOP this person come show.up PL CONT PL 3sg= BEN
tsekotare
tseko -ta -re
far SOUR NMLZ
```

They lived like this, but other people came from far away and arrived for them.
29 ezahe kalini baba hinaeharenae eye kalikini Txakinio
ezahe kalini baba hinae -hare -nae eye kalikini Txakinio
CON now dad relatives MASC PL this now PN
hotyalinae finado Tawari, finado capitão Marco familiane hotyali -nae finado Tawari finado capitão Marco familia -ne group PL deceased PN deceased captain PN family POSSED

As for example, the families of my parents, of Txakinyo, of Tawari and of the deceased captain Marco.

| 30 | ferakoa | komita | ene | atyo | waiyetahene |  | minita |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ferakoa | komita | $=$ ene | $=$ atyo | waiye | -ta | -h | $=$ ene | minita |

Almost every day they came to visit them, like the deceased Brito, Mauricio's father.


Their brother-in-law were coming to visit them, they were coming almost every day.
32 hoka ali atyo nikareze atyo hatyo wanone
hoka ali =atyo nikare -ze =atyo hatyo wano -ne
CON here $=$ TOP like this NMLZ $=$ TOP that year POSSED


Here was this way since ninteen sixty in that year, that I had just talked about, there was only one house.

| 33 hatyaotsetala | a | hatyo | kamati | natxikiniyeta |  |  | atyo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hatyaotseta | $=1 \mathrm{a}$ | hatyo | kama | natxikini | -ye | -ta | =atyo |
| then | =FOC | that | death | after, behind | NMLZ | EMPH | =TOP |
| Marinho neye | aitsaha | natx | kinitala |  |  |  |  |
| Marinho neye | aitsa -ha | natx | kini | -ta $=1 \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |
| PN father | kill PL | afte | behind | CONT $=$ FOC |  |  |  |

Then after that death, and after they killed the father of Marinho

| 34 | hetati | ezahe | baba | hoka | haliti |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hetati | ezahe | baba | hoka | haliti |  |  |
| in.the.old.days | CON | dad | CON | person |  |  |
| aimitikoaharehetehena |  |  | hetati | kaolonehena |  |  |

For the first time, my father had a great feast and brought people for the first time.

| 35 hatyo aitsakatyaha |  |  | nomitere |  | zowakatyo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hatyo aitsa | -ka | -tya -ha | nomi - | -te -re |  | zowaka =tyo |
| that kill | PASS | PL | say C | CONT NMLZ |  | period $=$ TOP |
| hetati | eye | mahaliti | hare | e kahehare | eye | e sarampo |
| hetati | eye | ma- haliti | hare | e kahehare | eye | e sarampo |
| in.the.old.days | this | NEG person | also | o illness | this | s measles |
| aokahitere |  | hikoa |  |  |  |  |
| aoka -h -ite | -re | hikoa |  |  |  |  |
| say PL CONT | NML | $Z$ come.out | at, show | w.up |  |  |

as I was saying, at the time that they killed for the first time appeared white a man's disease, which is called the measles.

| 36 | eye | wawenakalakoa |  | maniya | hoka | komita | ene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eye | $\mathrm{w}=$ | awenaka | la | -koa | maniya | hoka | komita |
| this | lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |

in area of our villages, many girls and boys died, almost everyone.


Because of these, some accuse others of witchcraft, and others acuse because of the death of family members.

| 38 | haiyanaete | hitiya | aitsakakoa | nikare | hare | hatyo | Marinho |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| haiya -nae | $=$ te | hitiya | aitsa -kakoa | nikare | hare | hatyo | Marinho |
| some PL | $=$ FUT | also | kill REC | like this | also | that | PN |

neye eye
neye eye
father this
Others also killed each other, like they did to Marinho's father.
39 sarampo aitsa zoimahalotinae kala ityaninae sarampo aitsa zoima -halo -ti -nae kala ityani -nae measles kill child FEM UNPOSS PL EVID son, daughter PL hoka hatyo hiyeta motya hatyo aitsene aoka hoka hatyo hoka hatyo hiyeta motya hatyo aits =ene aoka hoka hatyo CON that therefore INFR that kill $=3 \mathrm{O}$ say CON that hiyeta nikarehare zane tyaona hiyeta nikare -hare zane tyaona therefore like this NMLZ go become
the measles killed the children, and apparently it was him (a person) who killed their siblings, he thought.

| 40 a | katawaneakatyaha |  | hoka | nikare | nikare | atyo | tyaona |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ka- tawane -aka | -tya | -ha | hoka | nikare | nikare | =atyo |
| tyaona |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

And killed, and so was well after that companionship.

| 41 eye, eye | mahaliti | hare | hoka | txiyeta |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eye | eye | ma- haliti | hare | hoka | txiye -ta |
| this this | NEG person | also | CON | pass CONT |  |

And also the non-Indian man passed .

| 42 | hatyaotsetatyo | eye | mahalitihare | ehare | haliti |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyaotseta | =tyo | eye | ma- | haliti | -hare | ehare | haliti |

after that the non-Indians, for example priests, began to address the issue of indigenous lands.

| 43 |  |  | tyaonahitaha |  | katsani | eye | ali | eye |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| heko | -ta | tyaona | -h | -ita | -ha | katsani | eye | ali | eye

Tyairiti maniya aokowiyahitaha
Tyairiti maniya aokowi =ya -h -ita -ha side want $=$ IRR PL CONT PL
they stayed there and the people who lived there, they wanted to take to Utiariti.

44 hoka hatyaotsetatyo
hoka hatyaotseta =tyo
CON then $=$ TOP
Then

| 45 kala | sesseenta oito | sessenta | e | nove | hare | atyo | alimaniya |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kala | sessenta oito | sessenta | e | nove | hare | =atyo | ali maniya |
| EVID | sixty eight | sixty | and | nine | also | =TOP | here side |
| eye Aloizo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| eye Aloizo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| this PN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

I think it was ninteen sixty eight or nine, there this Aloizo

| 46 | kala | eye | tyoheta | mahalitihare | koni | maniyata |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kala | eye | tyo -heta | ma- haliti | -hare | koni | maniya | -ta |
| EVID | this | come RE | NEG person MASC | among | side | CONT |  |
| kalikini | Vilhena | maniyata | . |  |  |  |  |
| kalikini | Vilhena | maniya -ta |  |  |  |  |  |
| now | PN | side | CONT |  |  |  |  |

he came from the middle of the non-Indian man right there from Vilhena.

| 47 | tyoa | hetati | ali | hikoaheta |  | hatyaotseta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tyoa | hetati | ali | hikoa | -heta | hatyaotseta | eye |
| come | in.the.old.days | here | come.out, show.up | RE | then | this | ezaenaetyatseritse


| e- | z- | aenae | -tya | -tse | -ri | -tse |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CAUS | NMLZ | raise | FOC | POSSED | CL.round | CLF.small |

he arrived here, after that the person who adopted him


João Bonito also moved here and after that they increased.

| 49 | hatyaotseta | owa | nozakaitere |  |  | eye | ohironae |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyaotseta | owa | no $=$ zakai | -te | -re | eye | ohiro | -nae |

Then, as I just told, the women married and they had children.

| 50 |  |  | kahare | akekoarenekihetehena |  | hare | haliti |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kahare a- | kekoare -ne | -ki | -hete | -hena | eye | hare | haliti |
| a.lot | CAUS grow POSSED CAUS RE | IFV | this | also | person |  |  |

After this people here have increased here.

| 51 | hatyo | nazakaiterenae | hetati |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyo | na $=$ zakai | -te | -re | -nae | hetati

what I had told before.

in that time, they lived without boss, their boss was the missionaries.

| 53 | kalikini | Iyomoweke | nali | ala | Jorge | tyaonita |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kalikini | Iyomoweke | nali | $=$ ala | Jorge | tyana | lita |  |  |
| now | Sacre I village | LOC | $=$ FOC | PN | live | CONT |  |  |
| Olandola | hatyo |  | Tanorehana |  | maniya | hoka | SPI | nea |
| Orlando | $=l a$ | hatyo | Tanorehana |  | maniya | hoka | SPI | nea |
| PN | $=$ FOC | that | cabeceira do osso village | side | CON | PN | say |  |

hoka
hoka
CON
Jorge was in sacre 1 and Orlando was at the Cabeceira do osso village, and also in this period there was SPI.

| 54 | kalikini | FUNAI | wenekoare | hetati | hatyo | zowaka | SPI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kalikini | FUNAI | wenekoa | -re | hetati | hatyo | zowaka | SPI |
| now | PN |  | NMLZ | in.the.old.days | that | period | PN |
| zaore | atyo | tyaonita | hoka | maihatyo | haliti | kakoa | ehare |
| zaore | $=$ atyo | tyaona -ita | hoka | maiha =tyo | haliti | $=$ kakoa | ehare |
| FRUST $=$ TOP | live CONT | CON | NEG $=$ TOP | person | $=$ COM | this |  |
| wenakalati | xini | tyaonita |  |  |  |  |  |
| awenaka | xini | tyaona -ita |  |  |  |  |  |
| village | NEG | live CONT |  |  |  |  |  |

by this time, the SPI watched over in place of funai but they did not stay in the village with the Indians.

| 55 | e | hacidadene |  | Cuiaba | atyo | tyaonita | nali |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| e | ha $=$ | cidade | -ne | Cuiaba | =atyo | tyaona | -ita | nali

It is in his town in Cuiaba, if someone was smart as today...

| 56 | maihatyo | zala | procura | maihatya | zala | zane | kaokareha |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maiha | =tyo | zala | procura | maiha -tya | zala | zane | kaoka -re | -ha

No one looks for them, no one goes where they are, they do not ask questions when the
non-Indian man comes into conflict with them.
57 zala zema tyaonareha hatyaotsetatyo eye baba hare
zala =zema tyaona -re -ha hatyaotseta =tyo eye baba hare
who =COM2 become NMLZ PL then $=$ TOP this dad also
ezahe mahalitihare hatyo Cuiaba zane hetati ehare
ezahe ma- haliti -hare hatyo Cuiaba zane hetati ehare
CON NEG person MASC that PN go in.the.old.days this
zoalinihare
zoalini -hare
like this MASC
They did not follow anyone, after that my father started going to cuiabá and see some things.

| 58 | ehare | imoti | fazendeiro | hetati | itsoahena | hoka | maiha |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ehare imoti | fazendeiro | hetati | itsoa -hena | hoka | maiha |  |  |
| this non-Indian | farmer | in.the.old.days come.in IFV | CON | NEG |  |  |  |

as the farmers are non-Indians, when they come in they do not let them quiet, and that was what happened.

after that the families, that I had commented, they began to marry and the population increased.

few time ago, it was funai which demarcated our land, in nineteen eighties.

| 61 | eye | demarcação | oitenta | e | um | zowaka | FUNAI | hetati |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eye | dermacação | oitenta | e | um | zowaka | FUNAI | hetati |  |
| this | dermacation | eighty | and | one | period | PN | in.the.old.days |  |
| hitsoahena |  | criahena | kalikini | posto |  | Fomoso | nali |  | .

This demarcation was in eighty-one, when the Funai came and built a health center in the Formoso village

62 kalikini PI Paresi neaha hoka eye Salto nali hatyaotseta eye kalikini PI Paresi nea -ha hoka eye Salto nali hatyaotseta eye now PN PN say PL CON this PN LOC then this
PI Zotyare neaha hoka hatyo Tanorehana eye akiti
PI Zotyare nea -ha hoka hatyo Tanorehana eye akiti PN PN say PL CON that cabeceira do osso village this place
hanama akiti
hanama akiti
three place
As they say, PI (indigenous center) Paresi there in the Salto da mulher village, PI Zotyare, and in the Cabeceira do osso village, there are three places.

| 63 | ezowaka | hetati | FUNAI demarcahena | hatyaotseta | FUNAI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ezowaka | hetati | FUNAI demarca -hena | hatyaotseta | FUNAI |  |
| period, time | in.the.old.days | PN | demarcate IFV | then | PN |
| itsoahena | ehare . |  |  |  |  |
| itsoa -hena | ehare |  |  |  |  |
| come.in IFV |  |  |  |  |  |

For the first time FUNAI entered and demarcated.

```
6 4 \text { wairatyalo hare kakoa ehare escola hare hetati}
    wairatya -lo hare =kakoa ehare escola hare hetati
    cure NMLZ also =COM this school also in.the.old.days
mohenaha
mo -hena -ha
put IFV PL
```

with nurse, and they built a school for the first time.

| 65 | posto | farmacia | escola | hare | ainakehenaha |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| posto | farmacia | escola | hare | ainake | -hena | -ha |
| health center | pharmacy | school | also | stand.up, fly | IFV | PL |

they built a health center, pharmacy and school.

| 66 | escola | zaore | atyo | hatyo | zowaka | ainakitsaha |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| escola | zaore | =atyo | hatyo | zowaka | aina | -ki | -tsa |
| school | FRUST |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| scha | =TOP that | period | stand.up, fly | CAUS | TH PL |  |  |
| hoka maihatyo | komitaene | registrado | xini |  |  |  |  |
| hoka | maiha $=$ =yo | komita $=$ ene | registrado | xini |  |  |  |
| CON | NEG $=$ TOP | almost $=$ PST | registered | NEG |  |  |  |

in this time, they built a school, but it was not registered.

| 67 | kalatyo | aliyakereze | zamani | hoka | maihatyo | registrado |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kala $=$ tyo | aliyakere | -ze | zamani | hoka | maiha | $=$ tyo | registrado |

even though the children studied, I do not know the reason why they were not enrolled.

```
6 8 \text { maihatyo komitaine waiyekehalakere ehare historico}
    maiha =tyo komita =ene waiye kehala -ke -re ehare historico
    NEG =TOP almost PST good fun ? NMLZ this transcript
escolartyo ala maiha itsareha
escolar =tyo =ala maiha itsa -re -ha
school =TOP =FOC NEG give NMLZ PL
```

Almost was not perfectly correct, maybe they did not give transcripts.

| 69 | kalatyo | ehare | alfabetizatyahitaha |  |  | taita | hatyo |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kala | tyo | ehare | alfabetizatya | -h | -ita | -ha | taita | hatyo |
| EVID $=$ TOP | this | teach to read and write | PL | CONT | PL | only | that |  |

I think they taught how to read and write.


And so, after the demarcation happened, many people came here.
71 hatyaotsetatyo hatyo FUNAI hitso natxikini
hatyaotseta =tyo hatyo FUNAI hitso natxikini then $\quad=\mathrm{TOP}$ that PN you after, behind

After FUNAI came.

| 72 | hetati | kala | mile | novecentos | e | noventa | quatro |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| atyo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hetati | kala | mil | novecentos | e | noventa | quatro | $=$ atyo |
| in.the.old.days | EVID | thousand | nine hundred | and | ninety | four | $=$ TOP | hetati

hetati
in.the.old.days
I think it was in nineteen ninety four.
73 eye escola tyaonehena, escola tyaonehena eye escola tyaone -hena escola tyaone -hena this school become IFV school become IFV
this school was built

| 74 | kala | hetati | através | da | prefeitura | kalikini | cacique | Fakiano |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kala | hetati | através | da | prefeitura | kalikini | cacique | Fakiano |  |
| EVID | in.the.old.days | through of | city hall | now | leader | PN |  |  |

The first school through the city hall, at that time the chief Faquiano, as I had mentioned earlier, and all the families lived here.

75 a a a hatyo toli atyo tyaoni ali .
hatyo toli =atyo tyaoni ali
that a lot $=$ TOP live here
All lived there.

| 76 hoka | hatyo | cacique | Fakiano | hetati | lutatya | hoka | prefeitura |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hoka | hatyo | cacique | Fakiano | hetati | luta -tya | hoka | prefeitura |
| CON that | leader | PN | in.the.old.days | fight TH | CON | city hall |  |

the chief Faquiano fought through City Hall and got the municipal school Zozoitero.
77 hoka maiha aliyere xini aotyakitxita
hoka maiha aliye -re xini a- otya -ki -tx -ita CON NEG where? NMLZ NEG CAUS remember CAUS TH CONT
hoka professor Valdemar bororo hetati a .
hoka professor Valdemar bororo hetati
CON teacher PN in.the.old.days
It was not the people from here who were teaching, it was valdemar Bororo teacher who was the first one.

78 aotyakihena hoka hatyaotsetatyo aotyaki -hena hoka hatyaotseta =tyo teach IFV CON then $=$ TOP
he began teaching after that.


And so this school began, I think he taught a year and half.
80 Nilce owa nozakaitere Aloizo hityani

Nilce owa no= zakai -te -re Aloizo $\mathrm{h}=$ ityani
PN right now 1sg= tell CONT NMLZ PN 2sg= son, daughter
hetati professora tyaonehena
hetati professora tyaone -hena
in.the.old.days teacher become IFV
the first time was Nilce teacher, daughter of Aloízio.
81 hatyaotseta kalikini kitxiya hatyo professora tyaonita
hatyaotseta kalikini kitxiya hatyo professora tyaona -ita then now until that teacher live CONT
hekota maiha zaore atyo aotyakihetere
heko -ta maiha zaore =atyo aotyaki -he -te -re
time, period CONT NEG FRUST =TOP teach RE CONT NMLZ
kalikini hoka coordenadoratyo tyaona
kalikini hoka coordenadora =tyo tyaona
now CON coordinator =TOP become
After that until today she is a teacher, she is no longer teaching but today she is coordinator.


What I was talking about, after the year ninety-four the project tucum was created.

| 83 a nivel de magisterio | kahare | hetati | haliti | zanehena |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a nivel de magisterio | kahare | hetati | haliti | zane -hena |
| on the professorship level | a.lot | in.the.old.days | person | go | IFV

for the first time many paresi were on the professorship level.

| 84 | hoka | hatyaotsetatyo | tyaona | hatyaotsetatyo |  | kozaka |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hoka | hatyaotseta | $=$ tyo | tyaona | hatyaotseta | $=$ tyo | kozaka |$]$| CON | then | =TOP | become | then | =TOP | already |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

after this, there are a lot of people here.

| 85 | hatyo | hiyeta | kahare | zoima | tyaonatehitiya |  | hoka | quarta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyo | hiyeta | kahare | zoima | tyaona | $=$ te | hitiya | hoka | quarta |
| that | therefore | a.lot | child | become | $=$ FUT | again | CON | fourth |

Then, many children were born. Furthermore, when they finished the fourth grade, there was no way to continue their studies.

| 86 | hatyaotseta | hetati | primeiro | ano | prefeitura | wiyane | waza |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyaotseta | hetati | primeiro | ano | prefeitura | wi $=$ yane | $\mathrm{w}=$ | aza |
| then | in.the.old.days | first | year city hall | $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ go | $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ ask |  |  |

Then for the first time, all of us, leaders and teachers, went to the town hall to address the issue of high school.

| 87 | tyaona | hoka | eko | waconsiguetsa | escola municipal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tyaona | hoka | eko | wa= conseguir -tya | escola | municipal |
| become | CON | $?$ | $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ get | TH | school municipal |
| fundamental | hetati |  | tyaonehena, |  |  |
| fundamental | hetati | tyaone -hena |  |  |  |
| elementary school | in.the.old.days | become IFV |  |  |  |

That happened until we got the municipal school, the elementary school.

| 88 | hoka | hatyaotseta | nikarehare |  | zetate | hitiya | kahare |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hoka | hatyaotseta | nikare | -hare | zeta | $=$ te | hitiya | kahare |

after that, it was the same thing, many children finished the elementary school, and they could not study more.

89 a eye ensino médio neahitere segundo grau eye ensino médio nea -h -ite -re ensino médio this high school say PL CONT NMLZ high school
the high school called segundo grau
90 kazako hoka nikarehare zeta kaconsiguetsaka
kazako hoka nikare -hare zeta ka- consiguetsa -ka take care CON like this NMLZ ALL ATTR get PASS
kaconsiguetsaka
ka- consiguetsa -ka
ATTR get PASS
we went to ask and we got it again.
91 hoka kalikini ali wenakalatita zoimanae hoka kalikini ali wenakala -ti -ta zoima -nae CON now here village UNPOSS CONT child PL
estudaita
estuda -ita
study CONT

Today children are studying here in the village itself.


Some children from this school are already taking courses, some (are doing) dentistry (at the college).

| 93 haiyanae | co de enfermagem hatyo | owa | niraitere |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| haiya -nae | tecnico de enfermagem hatyo | owa | irai |  |
| some PL | ratical nurse that | right now | talk CONT | N |
| fessonae | otya formado | el de terc | grau |  |
| ofessor -na | tya formado | $l$ de ter | grau |  |
| eacher PL | everything, all graduated | uate sch |  |  |

And others study practical nurse, as I had commented before, all teachers are trained, or have a Bachelor.

| 94 tyotya | forma | do | ha hat | hatyo pros | professo |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tyotya | form | do | ha hat | hatyo pros | professor | -nae |  |  |
| everything, all | gradu | ted |  |  | teacher | PL |  |  |
| aotyakitxitare |  |  |  |  | hoka | nikareha |  | atyo |
| a- otya | -ki | -tx | -ita | -re | hoka | nikare | -hare | =atyo |
| CAUS remember | CAUS | TH | CONT | NMLZ | CON | like this | NMLZ | =TOP |
| tyaona |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tyaona |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| become |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Teachers who are formed are teaching are, that is what happened.

| 95 | hoka | eye | saudetyo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hoka | eye | saude =tyo | kafaka |
| CON | this | health $=$ TOP | yesterday |

The health issue now

| 96 | a | maniyatse | hetati | instituto | tropico |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | neareze


| hatya | instituição | itsoa | hoka | maiha | waiye | hakita | wikakoa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatya | instituição | itsoa | hoka | maiha | waiye | ha | -ita |
| a | institution | come.in | CON | NEG | good | goa | work |
| CONT | $1 \mathrm{pl}==$ COM |  |  |  |  |  |  |

First entered an institution called Tropic which did not work well with us.
97 kahare ehare zoima tifalo inityohaliti wainita hoka
kahare ehare zoima tifalo inityohare waini -ta hoka
a.lot this child be.pregnant old.person die CONT CON
many children, pregnant women and elderly were dying.

| 98 witsota | watsociaçãone |  | zema | nikare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| witso -ta | wa= tsociação | -ne | =zema | nikare |
| we EMPH | $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ association | POSSED | $=\mathrm{COM} 2$ | like this |
| waiyateretyo |  | hoka hahen |  | wikakoa |
| waiya -te | -re =tyo | hoka ha | -hena | wi= =kakoa |
| see, watch CONT | NMLZ $=$ TOP | CON work | IFV | $1 \mathrm{pl}==\mathrm{COM}$ |

We followed the organization that started to work with us.
99 ano dois mili dois zowaka ainicia tyaonehena hetati
ano dois mili dois zowaka iniciar tyaone -hena hetati
year two thousand two period begin become IFV in.the.old.days
associação halitinae hatyota coodenação de saude criatya
associação haliti -nae hatyo -ta coodenação de saude cria -tya
association person PL that EMPH coordination of health create TH
hoka hahena
hoka ha -hena
CON work IFV
In the year two thousand and two that halitinae association was created and through this the coordination of health, and it started working.

| 100 hoka | hatyaotseta | eko | waiya | ene | zaneta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hoka | hatyaotseta | eko | waiya | =ene | zane -ta |
| CON | then |  | see, watch | $=$ PST | go EMPH |
| witsaudeni |  | haliti | kahekoita |  | tyaonita |
| wi= tsaude | -ni | haliti | kahe ko | -ita | tyaona -ita |
| $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ health | NMLZ | person | hand LOC | CONT | become CONT |


| halitita | codenaita, | halitita |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| haliti | -ta | coodenar -ita | haliti | -ta $\quad$ con | coordinar CONT | person | EMPH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Then the indigenous health was going very well, and was in the hands of indigenous and the indigenous coordinated.

| 101 | kazakoita | ene | hoka | kafakatse |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kazako -ita | $=$ ene | hoka | kafaka | -tse | kalini |
| take care CONT | $=$ PST CON yesterday | CLF.small | now |  |  |
| witxiyehenere | terehokoane |  |  |  |  |
| wi= txiye -hene -re | terehokoane |  |  |  |  |
| 1pl= pass IFV NMLZ | year |  |  |  |  |

He was taking care of it befoe last year ago.

| 102 a | mahaliti | hare | ehawareharetya |  | hateniti |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ma- haliti | hare | e- | hawarehare tya | ha | -te -ni | -ti |
|  | NEG person | also | CAUS | be.different | work ? NMLZ UNPOSS |  |  |

The non-Indian man changed the system of work.

| 103 | politica de governo | nikare | mokakatya |  | hoka | associação |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| politica de governo | nikare | moka -ka | -tya | hoka | associação |  |
| policy of the government | like this | put | PASS | TH | CON | association |

is the policy of the government that did it, in fact the association.

| 104 | alitere | atyo | ekoamaniyaha |  | paticipatya | chamamento |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| alitere | $=$ atyo | $\mathrm{e}=$ | koa | maniya | -ha | participar -tya |
| chamamento |  |  |  |  |  |  |

in fact also attended a public call.

```
105 hatya, hatya
    hatya hatya
    a a
```

other, other.
106 hatya zoalini, hatya. hatya zoalini hatya
a like this a
other and other
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllllll}107 \text { a } & \text { hatya } & \text { babera } & \text { associação } & \text { maiha } & \text { nali } & \text { aka } & \text { hoka } & \text { hatyo } & \text { hatyo } \\ & \text { hatya } & \text { babera } & \text { associação } & \text { maiha } & \text { nali } & \text { aka } & \text { hoka } & \text { hatyo } & \text { hatyo } \\ \text { a } & \text { paper } & \text { association } & \text { NEG LOC } & \text { have } & \text { CON } & \text { that } & \text { that }\end{array}\right)$

The organization did not have any document, that one which the non-indigenous people call certificate

| 108 | neahitaha |  | hoka | maiha | hatyo | certidão | aka | seba |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nea | -h | -ita | -ha | hoka | maiha | hatyo | certidão | aka |
| say | seba |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The association does not have this certificate called seba, and just because of that the association has no way to work.

109 kalikini mawaiye kehalaka ehare saude hatene kalikini ma- waiye kehala -ka ehare saude ha -te -ne now NEG good fun TH this health work ? NMLZ

Today messed health work.


It was thus in eighty, ninety, only two thousand and two.

| 111 | dois | mili | dois | taita | come | halitita |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dois | mili | dois | taita | começar | haliti | -ta |
| two | thousand | two | only | begin | person | EMPH |

In two thousand and two was the indigenous own their on began.

| 112 ehare <br> ehare this | cota cota quota | ehare <br> ehare <br> this | haka ha work | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-ka } \\ & \mathrm{TH} \end{aligned}$ | hatyaotseta hatyaotseta then | ehare <br> ehare <br> this | polo base polo base health center |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ahekotya | hoka | tyoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| aheko -tya | hoka | tyoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| think TH | CON | make |  |  |  |  |  |

and started working and made the health center.

| 113 | hanamakiti | tyoma | hanamakiti | Bacava | nali | hetati |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hanama | -kiti | tyoma | hanama -kiti | Bacaval | nali | hetati |
| three | place | make, do three | place | PN | LOC | in.the.old.days |
| hatyaotseta | Rio Verde | hatyaotseta | Três lagoa |  |  |  |
| hatyaotseta | Rio Verde | hatyaotseta | Três lagoa |  |  |  |
| then | PN | then | PN |  |  |  |

it was done in three places, first in Bacaval, then Rio Verde village, after this in Três lagoas.

| 114 | hatyaotseta | kafakatse |  | kaitsere | hare | polo base | mokotse |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hatyaotseta | kafaka | -tse |  | kaitsere | hare | polo base | mokotse |
| then | yesterday | CLF.small | EMPH | also | health center | baby |  |

Then recently there was a small health center in Nova Esperança and Formoso villages.

| 115 | kozaka | tyotya | hatyonae | kaomaka |  | natxikini |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kozaka | tyotya | hatyo -nae | ka- oma | -ka | natxikini |  |  |
| already | everything, all | that PL | ATTR make, do TH | after, behind |  |  |  |
| eakere | hare | mawaiye | kehalaka | kalikini | witsaudeni |  |  |
| eakere | hare | ma- waiye | kehala -ka | kalikini | wi= tsaude -ni |  |  |
| so, then | $?$ | NEG good | fun | TH | now | lpl= health NMLZ |  |
| tahi | hoka | haiyanae | komitaine | maiha | waiye | kehalakere |  |
| $=$ tahi | hoka | haiya -nae | komita | ine | maiha | waiye | kehala -ke -re |
| $=$ about | CON | some PL | almost | NEG | good | fun | TH NMLZ |
| hoka |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hoka |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

after all that has been done, now the health system is messy, many people do not understand the fact that is happening.


We who are seeing that, we are very sorry, we are still fighting, we will fight until the end.

117 hoka nikarehareze atyo tyaona eye
hoka nikare -hare -ze =atyo tyaona eye
CON like this MASC NMLZ $=$ TOP become this
And so was all the events.

| 118 a | wenakalati tyaona | kalikini | kekoare | kalikini | polo base | ali |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | awenaka | tyaona | kalikini | kekoare | kalikini | polo base | ali |
| village |  | become | now |  | now | health center | here |
| tyaonita | kalikini | escola |  |  |  |  |  |
| tyaona | ita | kalikini | escola |  |  |  |  |
| live | CONT | now | school |  |  |  |  |

Recently the village has been growing, there is a health service building and a school.

| 119 | primeiro, | segundo grau | kitxiya | kakoare | tyaona | ezahe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| primeiro | ensino médio | kitxiya | $=$ kakoa | -re | tyaona | ezahe |
| first | high school | until | =COM NMLZ | become | CON |  |

Until the elementary and the high school and the people who live here have their own objects.

| 120 | a | a | ezahe | ehare | mahalitihare |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | ezahe | ehare | ma- halitimazahi |  | -hare | nitima -za |

today even the power of the non-Indian man there is in this village.
121 eye nakiti atyo eye eye natyo akiti atyo nozakai eye eye akiti =atyo eye eye natyo akiti =atyo no= zakai eye this place $=$ TOP this this 1 sg place $=T O P 1 \mathrm{sg}=$ tell this nowaiyani
no= waiya -ni
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ see, watch NMLZ
This is a part that I told until where I got to witness.
122 kitxiya alite hitiya natyo notyaona hatyo taita eye kitxiya ali -te hitiya natyo no= tyaona hatyo taita eye until here CONT also 1 sg 1sg= become that only this
nozakaita
no= zakai -ta
$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ tell CONT
that is it, I am also living here, and that is it.
123 tyotya
tyotya
everything, all

## The story of Kokotero

| 1 | hatyaotseta | nikare | Kokotero | tahi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | hatyaotseta | nikare | Kokotero | $=$ tahi |
|  | then | like this | mythical figure | $=$ about |

Then, the story of Kokotero is like this

| 2 | Kokotero | tahi | kete | kaotyakene | tahi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kokotero | $=$ tahi | kete | kaotyak =ene | $=$ tahi |  |
|  | mythical figure | $=$ =about | manioc | show.up =3O | $=$ about |

about Kokotero e about the criation of the manioc

| 3 | kala | eyaotseta | hetati | zowakiya |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kala | eyaotseta | hetati | zowakiya |  |
|  | EVID | then | in.the.old.days | at this time |

So in the old days
4 kala koerekama ityani kamozalikoa kamalahitsoa
kala koerekama ityani kamozalikoa kamalahitsoa

EVID mythical figure son, daughter mythical figure mythical figure
ityani
ityani
son, daughter
then Koerekama's son, son of Kamazalikoa and Kamalahitsoa

| 5 | Zokowiye | Zakoemalo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | zokowiye | Zakoemalo |
|  | mythical figure | mythical figure |

Zokowiye, Zakoemalo

| 6 | kala | warekoaho |  | Kokotero | warekoahone |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kala | warekoaho |  | Kokotero | warekoaho | -ne |  |
| EVID | place to bathe |  | mythical figure |  | place to bathe | POSSED |
| tyaonita |  | mitikoa | nihatyaka | wayetene |  | . |
| tyaona | -ita | mitikoa | nihatyaka | waiya | -tya $=$ ene |  |
| become | CONT | go.down | always | see, watch | TH $=30$ |  |

Kokotero always saw them when she was going down to the place where they bath
7 kala haliti tihoretseranae
kala haliti tiho -re -tse =ira -nae

EVID person face NMLZ CLF.small =AFF, small PL
So, it looked like human's face

| 8.1 mitikoahena | hoka | Kokotero | niyalitsekatse |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mitikoa | -hena | hoka | Kokotero | iyali | -tse | -katse |
| go.down | IFV | CON | mythical figure | body hair | CLF.small | CLF. long |

Kokotero! sterile! dry placenta, and then they went down jumping

```
8.2 ezoahenahita ezoahita
    ezoa -hena -h -ita ezoa -h -ita
    fall IFV PL CONT fall PL CONT
```

they start to fall, they fell

| ke | mitikoahenaiya |  |  | hoka <br> hoka | Kokotero |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mitikoa | -hena | =iya |  | Kokotero |
|  | go.down | IFV | $=I R R$ | CON | mythical figure |
| niyalitsekatse |  |  |  |  |  |
| iyali | -tse | -katse |  |  |  |
| body hair | CLF.small | CLF. 1 |  |  |  |

When she was going down they always were saying: long pubic hair of Kokotero!
10.1 niyotyako !
niyo tyako
be.dry belly
dry placenta!

## 10.2 mawekolone ! <br> ma- wekolone <br> NEG fertile

sterile!

```
11.1 niyalitsekatse
        !
    iyali -tse -katse
    body hair CLF.small CLF. long
long pubic hair of Kokotero!
\begin{tabular}{lllllll}
11.2 & neaya & hoka & inihatyane & kitxiako & hokorone halaitsoa \\
nea =ya & hoka & \begin{tabular}{l} 
i= nihatya
\end{tabular} & -ne & kitxi ako & halaitsoa
\end{tabular}
```

and then they went down into the mud, in her way
12 eaotseta , kenekoaheta , zoare zamani kala , eaotseta kenekoa -heta zoare zamani kala then go.up RE what DUB EVID
wihatyanekitxixoita haliti tihoretsenae
$\mathrm{w}=$ ihatya -ne -kitxixo -ita haliti tiho -re -tse -nae
$1 \mathrm{pl}=$ cross POSSED CONT person face NMLZ CLF.small PL
then she went up like: "I don't know what it is, it is going down in our path, it looks like human face, all the time I go there"


All the time I go there, they know and they say that I am sterile, that I have dry placenta, long pubic hair, my husband Zatyamare

| 14 | eaotseta | maihatya | hotitene |  | nezanityo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eaotseta | maiha -tya | $\mathrm{h}=$ ot | -it | $=$ ene | $\mathrm{n}=$ ezanityo |
| then | NEG | $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ remember | $\mathrm{CONT}=3 \mathrm{O}$ | $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ wife |  |

Well then, my wife, Kokotero, you did not guess

| 15 | atyotare | nokamani |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $=$ atyo -tare | no $=$ kamani <br>  <br> $=$ TOP |
|  | $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ defunct |  |

This is the deceased


The children of Koerekamae, Zokowiye and Zakoimyalo were generated with other women, and Kamalahitsoa Kamozalikoni

| 17 akaretyahene | nomani |
| :---: | :---: |
| a- karetya -h =ene | no= mani |
| $\mathrm{PL}=3 \mathrm{O}$ | $1 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{BEN}$ |

Handle them with xiri for me

| 18 nozoloehiye |  |  | nokonarexi |  |  | na |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | zolowa | -ye | no= | nar | Xi |  | wets | -hare |
| $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ | type of fish | POSSED | 1 sg | cará fish | POSSED |  |  | M |
| heta | hoka | hoka | zane | hiyahene |  |  | , |  |
| aheta | hoka | hoka | ne | $\mathrm{h}=$ iya | -h =ene |  | ya | , |
| URP | say CON | CON | go | $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ catch | $\mathrm{PL}=3 \mathrm{O}$ | ? |  | $\mathrm{PL}=3 \mathrm{O}$ |

Then said they handle them with xiri to be fishers of my jananqueza fish, my cará fish
19 eaotseta anaehenahene
eaotseta ainai -hena -h =ene then raise IFV PL $=30$

Well then, they began to raise them

| 20 | inityohaloti | inityohalitihenaha |  | xakazatya |  | minita |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| inityohare | inityohare -hena | -ha | xaka | -za | -tya | minita |
| old.person | old.person IFV | PL | shoot | CLF.liquid | TH | always |

When they were growing up they always fished with the arrow

| 21 | eaotseta | kala | Kokotero | Zatyamare | ityani | haterore |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eaotseta | kala | Kokotero | Zatyamare | ityani | haterore |  |
| then | EVID | mythical figure | mythical figure | son, daughter | only |  |
| katiholatetse |  |  | katiholatetse |  | kaka ! |  |
| ka- tiho -la | -te | -tse | ka- tiho -la | -te | -tse | kaka |
| ATTR face POSSED | CLF.small |  | ATTR face | CLF.small | a lot |  |

So Kokotero and Zatyamare had only one daughter, who was full of warts

ityaniha
ityani -ha
son, daughter PL
He saw...but he had only one daughter

| 23 | aba | nea | zakore | ya | haneze | hiye | miyatya |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| taita |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| baba | nea | zakore | ya | $\mathrm{ha}=$ neze | =hiye | miyatya | taita |
| dad | say | FRUST | IRR | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ father | =BEN | finish | only |

enomana
$\mathrm{e}=$ nomana
$3 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{BEN}$
She said "father", but he only whistle to her

| 24 hamiyahazalako ha= miyahazalako | $\begin{aligned} & \text { atyo } \\ & =\text { atyo } \end{aligned}$ | hare hare | nita nea -ita | malo <br> malo | nita <br> nea -ita |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ | =TOP | son | say CONT | daughter | say CONT |
| ihiye |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{i}=\quad=$ hiye |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3 \mathrm{sg}==\mathrm{BEN}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Though the whistle, he said "son and daughter"

| 25 | hoka | zokowiye | Zakoimalo | atyo | aba | nehena |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | hoka

When Zokowiye and Zokoimalo said: father, he answered "son and daughter"
26 eaotseta kala kirakoanehena ihiye
eaotseta kala kirakoane -hena $\mathrm{i}=$ =hiye
then EVID sad IFV $3 \mathrm{sg}==\mathrm{BEN}$
Then, she was sad
27 hafitya natyo ama Kokote hawaiyehehalone
ha= fe natyo mama Kokote ha= waiye -he -halo -ne
$3 \mathrm{sg}=$ plant 1 sg mom mythical figure $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ good FEM POSSED
maheta
maheta
PURP
Bury me in a place where my body can be soft.
28 hafitya natyo hitemahahalone maheta ha $=\mathrm{fe}$ natyo $\mathrm{hi}=$ temaha -halo -ne maheta 3sg= plant 1sg 2sg= FEM POSSED PURP

29 nohinoli kitxiya hafitya natyo nozamatsehare Ohitsaretse no $=$ hino -li kitxiya ha= fe natyo no= zamatsehare $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ neck until $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ plant $1 \mathrm{sg} \quad 1 \mathrm{sg}=$ creator
kino ama Kokote
kino mama Kokote
tree mom mythical figure
bury up my body to my neck, in the trunk of Ohitsaretse

| 30 | maitsa | baba | Zatyamare | azare |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maitsa | baba | Zatyamare | aza -re | natyo |
| NEG | dad | mythical figure | ask NMLZ | 1sg |

Because my father zatyamare does not answer me

| 31 | aba | nomi | zakore | amiyatita | taita | nomani |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| baba | nomi | zakore | amiya | -tya | -ita | taita | no $=$ mani

She said "father", but he only whistle to her


He answered only to Zakoimyalo and Zokowiye "daughter and son". So she was buried.

| 33 | zane | zakore | waiya |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zane | zakore | maitsa |  |
| go | FRUST | see, watch | maitsa |
| NEG |  |  |  |

She was looking and nothing

| 34 maitsano | ama | Kokote | marehareta |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maitsa -no | mama | Kokote | mare | -hare -ta |
| NEG | mom | mythical figure | itching MASC |  |

Nothing happened to my body, mother Kokotero


Nothing happened to my breast nor to my private parts, mother Kokotero

| 36 haimamakoatya | natyo | maiha | ehare | toli | ako | ama |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| h= aimamakoa tya | natyo | maiha | ehare | toli | ako | mama |  |
| 2 sg= transfer | 1sg | NEG | this | a lot | LOC | mom |  |
| Kokote | nea |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kokote | nea |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mythical figure | say |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Transfer me, I do not want to be in the middle of holes, mother Kokote

| 37 | zane | zakore | fehitiyene | zane | waiya | zakore |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| zane | zakore | fe -hitiy $=$ ene | zane | waiya | zakore |  |
| go | FRUST | plant ITER $=30$ | go | see, watch | FRUST |  |

She was burying her (Kokotero) again, then looked

| 38 maitsa | no | ama | Kokote |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| maitsa | no | mama | Kokote |
| NEG |  | mom | mythical figure |

There is nothing, mother kokotero


Nothing happened to my breast nor to my private parts, mother Kokotero
40 haimamakoatya natyo haetawaretse kino nea. $\mathrm{h}=$ aimamakoa tya natyo haetawaretse kino nea 2sg= transfer 1sg tree say

She said "transfer me"
41 haimamakoatene zakore , zane zakore waiya maiha $\mathrm{h}=$ aimamakoa $\mathrm{t}=$ ene zakore zane zakore waiya maiha 2sg= transfer $=3 \mathrm{O}$ FRUST go FRUST see, watch NEG

| no | ama | Kokote |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no | mama | Kokote |
|  | mom | mythical figure |

She was transfered, but when she went looking (Zakoimalo):nothing, mother Kokote


Nothing happened to my breast nor to my private parts, mother Kokotero


Transfers me down into heavy woods

kerehena aotse nea
kere -hena aotse nea
burn IFV place say

| 45 eaotseta eaotseta then | zane <br> zane <br> go | fetene plant | $\begin{aligned} & =\text { ene } \\ & =3 \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | wainamihare wainamihare be.quiet | nokakoi <br> no= kakoi <br> $1 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{COM}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nozamatsehare |  | no | ama | Kokote |  |
| no= zama -tse | -hare | no | mama | Kokote |  |
| $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ | MASC |  | mom | mythical figure |  |

So, she was buried. "I feel resigned, mother Kokote"


You can go, do not look back! If so, I will scream the cry of those who will drink me "yuhoho!"

| 47 yohoho , | zerare <br> zera -re <br> sing NMLZ | malyaotse malyaotse type of flute | kawiyala <br> kawiyatya <br> shout | $\begin{aligned} & =\mathrm{la} \\ & =\mathrm{FOC} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nakawiyahena | ama | Kokote |  |  |
| na= kawiyatya | -hena mama | a Kokote |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ shout | IFV mom | mythical | re |  |

the cry of malyaotse singer, I will scream kokote mother

| 48 | maika | baba | Zatyamare | tyomehena | atyo | tyomehena |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| maika | baba | Zatyamare | tyome | -hena |  |  |
| =atyo | tyome | -hena |  |  |  |  |
| SUG | dad | mythical figure | make, do IFV | =TOP | make, do IFV |  |


| 49 | baba | Zatyamare | ama | Kokote |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | nea 1 nea

My father Zatyamare, mother Kokote

| 50eaotseaotsthen | maikaira | baba | Zatyamare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | maika $=$ ira | baba | Zatyamare |
|  | SUG =AFF, small | dad | mythical figure |

Then ask my father Zatyamare

| 51 wamotse ai | aitsehena |  | itsenira |  | nomani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wamotse ai | aitse -hena |  | itse -n = | =ira | no= mani |
| sow bug kill | kill IFV |  | give = | =AFF, small | $1 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{BEN}$ |
| nozaikehehaloni |  |  | maheta |  |  |
| no= zaikehehal | alo -n | -i | maheta |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ | POSSED | 1sg | PURP |  |  |

Asks the father Zatyamare, sow bug for me to beautify me



Also asks the father to kill Zatyamare pirapotanga and give to me


She said to yellow my body, mother Kokote, also asks to kill green lambari fish, and gives me to green my body

| 55 ama | Kokote | nea | eaotseta | maika |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mama | Kokote | nea | eaotseta | maika |
| mom | mythical figure | say | then | SUG |

She said "mother Kokotero, asks him

| 56 | wakamo | aitsehena | itsene |  | nomani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | wakamo | aitse -hena | itse -ne |  | no= mani |
|  | tuvira fish | kill IFV | give POSSED |  | $1 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{BEN}$ |
| nozaiwezaiwetoani |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $=$ zaiwezai | etoa | -n | -1 | maheta |
|  | $\mathrm{g}=$ somethin | used to ve | te POSSED |  | PURP |

to kill (fish) Tuvira, and give it to me for my beauty


Also ask my father to kill cará fish and give me in order to be (used as) my comb

| 58 | molotya | aitsehena | itsene | nomani |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| molotya | aitse | hena | itse -ne | no $=$ mani |
| cascudo fish | kill | IFV | give POSSED | $1 \mathrm{sg}=\mathrm{BEN}$ |
| notxikoetonone | maheta |  |  |  |
| no $=$ txikoetonone | maheta |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ | PURP |  |  |  |

Also kill cascudo fish, and gives me


60 eaotseta zanehetehena
eaotseta zane -hete -hena
then go RE IFV
Then she went away


The Kokote mother heard the cry of Yuhõhõ, the cry of singer

| 62 | inityo | tiyahaloakatya | ehaikoa | waiyehenatyo |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ityo | tiya | -halo -aka | -tya | ehaik | -oa |
| waiye | -hena | $=$ tyo |  |  |  |

His mother was crying and and she turned back to see, and the forest was too thick to see through

63 eaotseta mai neheta.
eaotseta mai neheta
then
At the same time the plant went down

| 64 |  | tyaoneheta |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| akohakakoanetseta | -ta | tyaone -heta |  |
| akohakakoane | -tse | be a bit short | CLF.small | CONT | become RE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

It became short

| 65 | awa | iya | hoka | kete | iya | wahahare | tyaona |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| awa | $=$ iya | hoka | kete | =iya | wahahare | tyaona |  |
| NEG | =IRR | CON | manioc | =IRR | long | become |  |

If was not this, the cassava would get high
66 eakere kete kaotyakene tahi
eakere kete kaotyak =ene =tahi
so, then manioc show.up $=3 \mathrm{O}=$ about

| 67 | eaotseta | kete | tyaohena |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| eaotseta | kete | tyao | -hena |  |
| then | manioc | become | IFV |  |

So it was born cassava
68 eaotseta eye tahita manatyare
eaotseta eye =tahi -ta mana tya -re
then this =about EMPH deliver a speech NMLZ
Then, this same story has also a song

| 69 manatita mana | -t | -ita | haolone <br> ha= olone | nezaka <br> nezaka | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kakoa } \\ & =\text { kakoa } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| deliver a speech | TRAN | CONT | $3 \mathrm{sg}=$ chicha.drink | news | $=\mathrm{COM}$ |
| kaokehena eye | tahita |  | manaita |  |  |
| kaoke -hena eye | $=$ tahi | -ta | mana | -ita |  |
| arrive IFV this | =about | EMPH | deliver a speech | CONT |  |
| toahiyerehare |  |  |  |  |  |
| toahiye -re | -hare |  |  |  |  |
| in.the.old.days NML | Z MAS |  |  |  |  |

The elders sing when they invite guests

| 70 | kalikini | atyo | manati | tyotya |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kalikini | $=$ atyo | mana | -ti | tyotya |
| now | $=$ TOP | deliver a speech | UNPOSS | everything, all |
| kamiyane |  | $\quad$. |  |  |
| ka- miyatya | -ne |  |  |  |
| ATTR finish | POSSED |  |  |  |

Today this song just came to the end
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Information accessed at: http://pib.socioambiental.org/pt/povo/paresi
    ${ }^{2}$ Indigenous territories are areas inhabited and possessed by indigenous people through a formal process of demarcation by the Brazil government.
    ${ }^{3}$ The origin of the term "Paresi" is unknown. The term was used for the first time by Antonio Pires Campos in the 18th century.

[^1]:    4 Enawenê-nawê is spoken by some 570 people on the margins of the Iquê river in the Juruema Basin, and in Mato Grosso.

[^2]:    5 The orthography has not yet been standardized. The orthography used in the transcriptions was proposed by teachers in the Rio Verde community, and it is different from the one I am using in the grammar.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ There may be few exceptions, for example /onore/ [unure] 'heron'.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ The distributions in Table 5 are rare when they occurred only once or twice in my lexicon ( $\sim 3000$ words), and less common when they occurred in fewer than 5 tokens. $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{yes}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{no}$.

[^5]:    8 The palatalized phoneme $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}} /$ does not occur followed by [e], see section 2.2.2.

[^6]:    9 The contrast between $/ \mathrm{l} /$ and $/ \mathrm{f} /$ occurs within the roots.
    10 Other alternations $/ 1 / \sim / \mathrm{f} /$ are seen in the allomorphy of possessed suffixes (-la $\sim-r a$ ) and nominalizers ($l o \sim-r o$ ), which are discussed in sections $\S 4.3 .2$ and $\S 4.6 .3$, respectively.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ Silva (2009) considers the change $/ \theta /$ to $/ \mathrm{j} /$ to be a process of palatalization followed by coronalization (spreading of the V-place of the consonant to its C-place).
    $12 \mathrm{R}=$ Rowan, 1969, $\mathrm{S}=$ Silva, 2009, $\mathrm{B}=$ Brandão.

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ In this regards, this analysis diverges from the one in Silva (2013). He affirms that suffixes have their

[^9]:    14 Silva (2009) gives the example zotse-ti [ $\theta$ otseti] / eye-UNPOSS 'eye' as the only example in which there is no vowel harmony, contrary to what my data show.

[^10]:    ${ }^{15}$ Another change is [hari] to [hali] because [r] does not occur before [i], as discussed in 2.1.3.3 .
    16 This process is relevant only with this proclitic.

[^11]:    ${ }^{17}$ It is interesting that the consonant $/ \mathrm{t} \mathrm{j} /$ after a proclitic with $/ \mathrm{i} /$ was only found in nouns. In general the verbs with initial consonant $/ \mathrm{t} /$ take the proclitics $n a=$, $w a=, z a=$ instead of $h i=$, wi=, $x i=$.

[^12]:    ${ }^{18}$ Third person plural for verbs is marked by -ha, and for nouns is marked by -ha or -nae. The morpheme -nae is a general plural marker that occurs with nouns generally, not just with proclitics.

[^13]:    these languages. These last two analyses are plausible, but I follow here Rowan \& Burgess' analysis because there is no evidence for a historical third person subject form ene $=$.
    ${ }_{21}$ Aikhenvald (1999:89) provides the proclitic $h a=$ in Paresi as evidence for the proto-Arawak origin form *pa- 'impersonal'. The form $p a$ is also found in languages such as Bahwana, Guajiro and Wapixana. Rowan \& Burgess (1969) analyzes $h a=$ as the third person, and the $e / i=$ prefixes as fourth person (or obviative, that is, a non-salient third person referent).

[^14]:    22 The clitic atyo is analyzed as a topicalizer by Silva (2009) and later as a focus marker (Silva, 2013). I treat it as a topicalizer.

[^15]:    ${ }^{24}$ The subject free pronoun after the nominal predicate is functioning as an intensifier.

[^16]:    25 The demonstrative hatyo also occurs in cases in which the referent is equidistant from speaker and hearer, or is few meters away from hearer.

[^17]:    26 This form may have originated from the anaphoric proclitic $h a=$ and the topicalizer atyo, similar to the free pronouns natyo and hitso.
    27 Silva (2013) does not call hatyo an anaphoric demonstrative, assigning this function to etake instead. However, he affirms hatyo can be used in the same contexts where etake is used, suggesting less of a functional distinction that he has elsewhere asserted.

[^18]:    29 Silva (2013) analyzes hinama and hanama as etymologically composed of $h i=$ 'second person' and $h a=$ 'third person or other' plus the word nama 'quantity'. However, the motivation for the use of $h i=$ and $h a=$ with these numbers is not clear. Evidence for the word nama is its use with kore 'arrow', as in the example below:
    zane zakore hiyaiya korenama
    zane zakore $\mathrm{hi}=$ yaiya kore -nama
    go FRUST $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ see arrow quantity?
    'he went to see the arrows.' (omati-ZK)

[^19]:    Numeral terms hatita and zalakakoa may also be analyzed etymologically. hatita 'one' is composed of $h a$ 'one' plus the morpheme -tita whose meaning is unknown; zalakakoa 'four' may be analyzed as a form composed by the interrogative zala 'who' and the postposition kakoa 'comitative', with the meaning of 'with someone'.
    ${ }^{30}$ The noun phrase with the numeral is in apposition to the noun phrase with the noun konare, as shown by the use of the second clitic position ala between them.

[^20]:    ${ }^{31}$ Unlike the other postpositions in Paresi, which appear to derive historically from nouns, it is most likely that kakoa has as its source the reciprocal -kakoa. However, it is still not clear why the nominalizer is used with kakoa in this construction as nominalizers usually occur only with verbs.

[^21]:    32 Silva (2013) calls it "augmentative collective". He says that it refers only to a group of four or more elements. Because of this, he does not consider -nae to be a plural.

[^22]:    33 Silva (2013: 193) considers toli a noun meaning 'group'. I agree that there is a noun etoli meaning 'pile, group' which is the source of the collective. However, distributional evidence suggests that toli has also been grammaticalized as a quantifier.

[^23]:    ${ }^{34}$ For more details on the allomorphy of personal clitics see $\S 3.2 .1$.

[^24]:    35 Silva (2013) analyzes this form as person agreement marker for the first person in alienable nouns. In contrast to his analysis, I consider - $i$ to be part of the possessed forms.
    ${ }^{36}$ Instrumental nominalizations are also in this group because the last vowel of the instrumental nominalizer -kala.
    ${ }^{37}$ Not all vocative kin terms have a suppletive possessed form, such as aze 'my oldest brother (voc.)', and en=azenanane 'his oldest brother'.

[^25]:    38 He does not present a motivation for considering them part of the root.
    ${ }^{39}$ To differentiate noun roots ending with $a$ from verb roots, which generally end in $a$.

[^26]:    ${ }^{40}$ This allomorphy may be due to neutralization between / $1 /$ and $/ \mathrm{f} /$ when it is both preceded by [a] or [o] vowels and followed by [i], an environment which occurs in the first person, and may have been spread by analogy to the other persons.

[^27]:    ${ }^{41}$ Though 'someone's ground' is a tempting etymology for 'field', matsene cannot be analyzed as matse-ne synchronically since as an inalienable noun, it accepts the unpossessed suffix, i.e. matsene-UNPOSS.

[^28]:    ${ }^{43}$ The word maka is related to the word amaca 'fish net' in Taíno (an Arawak language) which is the origin of the word hamaca 'hammock' in Spanish.

[^29]:    ${ }^{44}$ Meronymy has been widely reported across lowland South American languages including Toba, Pilagá (Klein, 2000: 84-5), and Hup (Epps, 2008).

[^30]:    45 Silva (2013) mentions a similar form -he used in borrowings from Portuguese for things which have a concave-like shape. The only such example in my corpus is balatoahe 'plate.' ( $<$ Port. prato).

[^31]:    ${ }^{46}$ Similar to -ako, there is a postposition related to this classifier which is used to indicate a location on a flat surface.
    ${ }^{47}$ Paresi villages often consist of a number of houses arranged about a large cleared field.

[^32]:    48 The bound noun mili 'skin of is used metaphorically, and its use may become productive similar to classifiers.

[^33]:    ${ }^{49}$ Silva (2013:164) considered the unpossessed suffix - $t i$ to be a thematic nominalizer. In the analysis presented here - $t i$ is not considered a nominalizer, and I do not use the label "nominalization of theme". The zero nominalization described here and Silva's nominalization of theme refer to the same type of nominalization.

[^34]:    ${ }^{50}$ The examples in Table 57 come from elicitation. Only zaotyakitsati 'teaching' was observed in texts.

[^35]:    51 How one could classify a verb when it has an experiencer or theme argument is not clear in Silva (2013).

[^36]:    52 The exception is the nominalized form zaotyakitsatiye 'teacher', which has as its possessed form: zaotyakitsatse.

[^37]:    53 The morphemes $-r a$ and $-z a$ are possessed suffixes.

[^38]:    ${ }^{54}$ The root of the morpheme -hare may be same as for the noun haliti 'person' (which can be decomposed as root hare and the unpossessed suffix -ti).

[^39]:    55 Rowan (1969:73) mentioned the existence of $-k a /-k i$ used with nominal derived forms with a meaning of receptive.

[^40]:    ${ }^{56}$ The quantifier kahare may follow nouns.
    ${ }^{57}$ Another strategy for noun-noun modification is compounding (§4.5).

[^41]:    59 These roots do not occur without the thematic suffix or aspect markers.

[^42]:    ${ }^{60}$ A similar case of prefix choices based on the semantic feature of agentivity is found in Pilaga, Guaykuruan family (Vidal, 2008). According to Donohue \& Wichmann (2008), this type of semantic alignment where there are two different markers (or sets of markers) which can both be used for A or S is typologically unusual.

[^43]:    ${ }^{61}$ This suffix also occurs with inalienable nouns and some postpositions.

[^44]:    ${ }^{62}$ It has an allomorph temaka in persons other than the third person, and it may be derived from the noun maka 'night'
    ${ }^{63}$ Diachronically, tyoka, temaka, and tekoa may be derived verbs from nouns formed by the prefixes tyand $t$-. One evidence is that their causative forms with the causative $-k i$ do not exhibit $t y$ - or $t$-:

[^45]:    aokakitsa 'he made him sit', aemakitsa 'he made him sleep' and ekoakitsa 'he made him run away'.
    However, synchronically the prefixes are already lexicalized in non-causative forms.
    ${ }^{64}$ Other motion verbs such as tema 'run' and tona 'walk' are in the group of verbs taking set A proclitics.

[^46]:    ${ }^{65}$ These two last examples have lexicalized prefixes $t y$ - and $t$ - which do not occur in the causative forms, as seen in the previous section with the intransitive verbs tyoka 'sit' and tekoa 'run away'.

[^47]:    ${ }^{66}$ It has a different behavior in other predicates.

[^48]:    ${ }^{67}$ In the analysis presented in Silva (2013: 280) -oa is considered to be an anticausative marker. He gives one example of anticausativization with the pair taika 'break (tr.)'/taikoa 'break (intr.)'. The other example given with the pair toka 'hold'/ tokoa 'stick' is actually not a case of anticausativization

[^49]:    (because tokoa does not refer to a spontaneous event).
    ${ }^{68}$ This is not an exhaustive list because the derivation with -oa is a productive process.

[^50]:    ${ }^{70}$ The only exception is the incorporation of koni.

[^51]:    ${ }^{71}$ The same construction, where the object becomes an oblique, is also seen in Silva (2013: 262) with the incorporation of the postposition zema: nazawazematya Jurandir haira kakoa na $=$ zawa =zema -ita Jurandir haira =kakoa 1sg= throw COM2 IFV PN ball =COM
    'I threw the ball behind Jurandir.' (PAGRSS27Mar1204.10)

[^52]:    languages such as Bauré, Terena and Trinitário. The northern Arawak languages mark indirect object and oblique semantic roles with postpositions. Southern Arawak languages such as Paresi, Waurá and Guajiro are languages that make use of both strategies, in the case of locative semantic roles: the use of postpositions on nouns and the incorporation of postpositions into the verb.

[^53]:    ${ }^{73}$ As seen in § 6.1.2, the future ite can also be used with nouns, but it has a different distribution.
    ${ }^{74}$ When used with animates, this morpheme can only indicate that the animate is deceased, and cannot indicate a previous state of the animate in a function similar to English ex-.

[^54]:    75 There is a variant -hete due to a vowel harmony process (see §2.6.3).
    76 The morpheme -heta is lexicalized with the verb zane 'go' giving the meaning 'go away'.

[^55]:    77 In Silva (2013), -hena is analyzed as a continuous progressive in the terminology used by Comrie (1985), and it is glossed as an imminent marker. However, -hena is not used in prototypical contexts where the imperfective (or progressive in Comrie's terminology) -ita is used. Rowan \& Burgess (1969) also state that the transitional -hena in Paresi is a progressive marker.

[^56]:    ${ }^{78}$ Silva (2013:365) uses another label for this marker: 'counterfactual conditional'; however the definition he provides is the same as mine: "zakore conveys that an intention or judgement of the speaker about the value of an assertion was frustrated".

[^57]:    79 Again the terminology here is different from that of Silva (2013). He uses the term "potential" instead of dubitative. The clitic ala is described as an alternative form of kala in interrogative sentences. I am not describing ala as a variant of kala because ala is not restricted to dubitative contexts and occurs outside interrogative contexts.

[^58]:    ${ }^{80}$ As seen above, independent pronouns have a tendency to come after the verb.
    ${ }^{81}$ The other verb-initial orders VSO and VOS were attested only in elicitation.

[^59]:    82 Silva does not describe the size or arrangement of the corpus used for counting and does not report figures indicating how frequent each order is in his corpus.

[^60]:    ${ }^{83}$ The clitic ira occurs with nouns and verbs in polite requests and in other contexts to express speakers' sympathy for the entity they refer to. It is not clear whether this is an affective marker or not.

[^61]:    ${ }^{84}$ Silva (2013) reports that the neutralization does not occur in subordinate clauses, except with unaccusative verbs.

[^62]:    85 Miestamo (2005) analyzes negative markers as uninflected auxiliaries (a negative verbal finite asymmetry), and argues that the presence of the negator forces the verb to take a nominalized form. In

[^63]:    ${ }^{86}$ The particle awa is not an exclusive prohibitive particle. It also has another use with the irrealis in counterfactual clauses (see §7.6.7).

[^64]:    88 Note that in order for an active verb to take $m a$-, it needs to be nominalized. In the example mahiyokakahare, also the verb hiyoka is first nominalized with the passive nominalizer -ka before receiving the prefix $m a$-.

[^65]:    ${ }_{91}$ All relative clauses, complements and adverbial clauses in the examples are in square brackets. Negation in complex clauses is described in §7.6.7.

[^66]:    92 The verb awita 'think, want' can also be used with these predicates. Its origin may be the form aoka.

[^67]:    93 It is common to use the verb 'say' for 'think' in cultures where people do not tend to speculate about others' internal states.

[^68]:    ${ }^{94}$ I have not found examples with this predicate in the texts.

[^69]:    95 The morpheme hoka also occurs clause-initially as a discourse particle meaning 'then'. Contrary to the analysis here, Silva (2013: 416) analyzes hoka clause-initially as indicator of sequence, and hoka in clause-final position as indicator of conclusion.

[^70]:    ${ }^{96}$ The morpheme maheta can also be used in an independent clause with a different meaning, as in $h i=t s e r a ~ m a h e t a ~ / ~ 2 s g=d r i n k ~ P U R P ~ ' I t ~ i s ~ f o r ~ y o u ~ t o ~ d r i n k ' . ~$

[^71]:    ${ }^{97}$ One hypothesis is that era is a archaic form used in formal discourse and dropped out of everyday speech.

